STATE OF NEW MEXICO UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT AUDIT REPORT

For the Year Ended June 30, 2010 (with Auditor's Report Thereon)

RICE & ASSOCIATES
CERTIFIED DUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

AUDIT REPORT

For The Year Ended June 30, 2010

(with Auditor's Report Thereon)

•

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District TABLE OF CONTENTS Year Ended June 30, 2010

Introduction Section		<u>Page</u>
Official Roster		i.
Financial Section		
Independent Audito	or's Report	1
<u>Financial Statements</u>		
<u>Statements</u>		
1 Statement of	Net Assets	3
2 Statement of	Activities	4
3 Balance Sheet	- Governmental Funds	5
	Funds: on of the Balance Sheet to it of Net Assets	6
5 Governmental Statement of in Fund Bala	Revenues, Expenditures and Changes	7
Expenditures	n of the Statement of Revenues, and Changes in Fund Balances - Funds to the Statement of Activities	8
7 General Fund: Statement of I and Actual (1	Revenues and Expenditures - Budget Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis)	9
Notes to Financial State	ements	10
Based on an Audit of Fi Performed in Accordance	iance and Other Matters inancial Statements	
Auditing Standards		19
Status of Comments		21
Financial Statement Prep	paration and Exit Conference	34

STATE OF NEW MEXICO UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT Official Roster Year Ended June 30, 2010

Board of Supervisors

 $\underline{\text{Name}}$

Mr. Terry Mitchell Chairman

Mr. Harry Hopson Vice-Chairman

Ms. Caitlin Holmes Secretary/Treasurer

Mr. Bobby L. Casados Supervisor

Ms. Mary Libby Campbell Supervisor

<u>District Personnel</u>

Ms. Crystal May

Administrative

Assistant

AUDITING BOOKKEEPING (505) 292-8275

Rice and Associates, C.D.A.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 11805 Menaul NE Albuquerque, NM 87112

TAX PLANNING
TAX PREPARATION
FAX (505) 294-8904

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Mr. Hector H. Balderas
New Mexico State Auditor
and
Board Chairman and Board Supervisors
Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District
Roy, New Mexico

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and the budgetary comparison for the General Fund of the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the Conservancy District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District's internal control over financial reporting. According, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities of the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District, as of June 30, 2010, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, thereof, and the respective budgetary comparisons for the General

Fund for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 12, 2011 on our consideration of the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The Conservancy District has not presented the *Management's Discussion and Analysis* that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the basic financial statements.

The of Addition Lynn,

December 12, 2011



UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2010

	Governmental Activities			
ASSETS				
Current Assets				
Cash	\$ 862,570			
Total current assets	<u>862,570</u>			
Capital Assets				
Equipment				
Total capital assets				
Less accumulated depreciation				
Total capital assets (net of				
accumulated depreciation)				
Total assets	\$ 862,570			
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ 7,861			
Total current liabilities	7,861			
Net Assets				
Invested in capital assets	_			
Unrestricted	<u>854,709</u>			
Total net assets	<u>854,709</u>			
Total liabilities and net assets	\$ 862,570			

Statement 2

STATE OF NEW MEXICO UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT Statement of Activities Year Ended June 30, 2010

				Prog	ram Revenues			Re v Ch	(Expenses) Jenue and anges in t Assets
Functions/Programs Primary government:	Ex	penses	Charges for Services	G	Operating rants and atributions	Grant	ital s and outions		ernmental tivities
Governmental activities:									
General government	\$	53,713	\$	\$	882,080	\$		\$	828,367
Total governmental activities		53,713	-		882,080				828,367
General Revenues:									
Property taxes									
State aid not restricted to specia	al purpo	se							
Legislative appropriation									
Investment earnings									9,057
Total general revenues									9,483
Change in net assets									837 , 850
Net assets - beginning of year, as p	revious	ly reporte	d						10071
Restatement		± ±							19,371 (2,512)
Net assets - beginning of year, as r	estated							 <u>-</u>	16,859
Net assets - ending								\$	854,709

Statement 3

STATE OF NEW MEXICO UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT Balance Sheet

Governmental Funds June 30, 2010

	General		
ASSETS			
Cash on deposit	\$	862 , 570	
Total assets	\$	862,570	
LIABILITIES			
Accounts payable	\$	7,861	
Total liabilities		7,861	
FUND BALANCE			
Unreserved:			
Designated for subsequent			
years expenditures			
Undesignated reported in:			
General fund		0 E 4 - 7 O O	
		854 , 709	
Total fund balance	-	854 , 709	
Total liabilites and			
fund balance	\$	862,570	

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Statement 4

UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2010

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:

Total fund balances - governmental funds

854,709

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported as assets in governmental funds

The cost of capital assets Accumulated depreciation

Long-term and certain other liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported as liabilities in the funds. Long-term and other liabilities at year-end consist of:

Compensated absences

Net assets of governmental activities

\$ 854,709

Statement 5

STATE OF NEW MEXICO UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

Governmental Funds

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances For the year ended June 30, 2010

		General Fund
REVENUES		
Taxes	\$	
Local sources		1,000
State sources		890,137
Earnings from investments		426
Total revenues		891,563
EXPENDITURES		
Current:		
General government		53,713
Capital outlay		JJ, 71J
Total expenditures		53,713
		
Net change in fund balances		837,850
		00,,000
Fund balance beginning of year,		
as previously reported		17,693
$D \circ \circ + \circ + \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ + \bot$		
Restatement		(834)
Fund balance beginning of year, as restated		1 ~ ^ ~ ^
barance wegaring or year, as restated	 -	16,859
Fund balance end of year	\$	854,709

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Statement 6

UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds
to the Statement of Activities
For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds

837,850

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:

Capital outlays to purchase or build capital assets are reported in governmental funds as expenditures. However, for governmental activities those costs are shown in the statement of net assets and allocated over their estimated useful lives as annual depreciation expenses in the statement of activities. This is the amount by which depreciation expenses exceed capital outlays in the period.

Depreciation expense Capital outlays

Excess of capital outlay over depreciation expense

In the Statement of Activities, certain operating expenses are measured by the amount incurred during the year. In the fund financial statements however, expenditures are measured by the amount of financial resources used (essentially the amounts actually paid). The (increase) decrease in the liabilities for the year were:

Compensated absences payable

Change in net assets of governmental activities

837,850

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

General Fund

Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis)
Year Ended June 30, 2010

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Original Budget		Final Budget	Actual		Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	
REVENUES								
Taxes	\$	_	\$	- -	\$	_	\$	_
Local sources		1,000		1,000		1,000		
State sources		922,080		922,080		890,137		(31,943)
Earnings from investments		1,300		1,300		426		(874)
Total revenues	\$	924,380	\$	924,380	\$	891,563	\$	(32,817)
EXPENDITURES								
Current:								
General government	\$	934,713	\$	934,713	\$	50,073	\$	884,640
Capital outlay						-		
Total expenditures	\$	934,713	\$	934,713	\$	50,073	\$	884,640
BUDGETED CASH BALANCE	\$	10,333	\$	10,333				
EXPENDITURES								
Budgetary basis					\$	50,073		
Increase in accounts payable					T	3,640		
Modified accrual basis					\$	53,713		

STATE OF NEW MEXICO UTE CREEK SOIL & WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT Notes to Financial Statements Year Ended June 30, 2010

1. <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u>

The Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District (District) is organized under the provisions of the Soil and Water Conservation District Act (73-20-25 through 73-20-49 NMSA 1978). The District is a governmental subdivision of the state, a public body corporate and politic, organized for control and prevention of flood, sediment, and soil erosion damage, and to further the conservation, development and beneficial use of water and soil resources. The governing body of the District consists of five elected supervisors, four of whom must be landowners in the district. Two additional supervisors may be appointed to the district board. The Board of Supervisors has chosen to not appoint the two additional supervisors. Supervisors serve a term of three years and continue in office until a successor is elected or appointed.

The financial statements of the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the government's accounting policies are described below.

A. Reporting Entity

In evaluating how to define the government, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP. The basic-but not the only-criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters. A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the government and/or its citizens, or whether the activity is conducted within the geographic boundaries of the government and is generally available to its citizens. A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the government is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. Based upon the application of these criteria, the Conservancy District had no component units.

B. Government-wide and fund financial statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities and changes in net assets) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the Conservancy District. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, which is normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. However, the Conservancy District does not have any fiduciary or business-type activities.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operation or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

C. <u>Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation</u>

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are billed. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 30 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgements, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, franchise taxes, licenses, and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Sales and use taxes are classified as derived tax revenues and are recognized as revenue when the underlying exchange takes place and the revenues are measurable and available. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met. Only the portion of special assessments receivable due within the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accrual as revenue of the current period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the government.

The government reports the following major governmental funds:

The General Fund is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to November 30, 1989, generally are followed in both the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Governments also have the option of following subsequent private-sector guidance for their business-type activities and enterprise funds, subject to this same limitation. The government has elected to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

As a general rule the effect of interfund activity, if applicable, has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements.

Program revenues included in the Statement of Activities derive directly from the program itself or from parties outside the Conservancy District's taxpayers or citizenry, as a whole; program revenues reduce the cost of the function to be financed from the Conservancy District's general revenues. Program revenues include: 1) charges for scrvices to taxpayers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from the goods or services provided by the given function 2) program-specific operating grants and contributions and 3) program specific capital grants and contributions including special assessments.

Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use it is the government's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

D. <u>Budgets and Budgetary Accounting</u>

The budget is prepared on a basis that differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). GAAP basis revenues and expenditures include accrued amounts. The revenues and expenditures reported in the budgetary comparisons are generally recorded on the cash basis. The District uses the following procedures to establish the budgeted amounts reflected in the budgetary comparisons:

- 1. Prior to April 1, the Budget Committee submits to the District Board of Supervisors a proposed revenue and expenditure budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1.
- 2. The Board reviews the budget proposal and makes any necessary adjustments.
- 3. Prior to June 1, the Board approves the budget by passing a resolution.
- 4. Prior to June 20, the approved budget is submitted to the State of New Mexico, Department of Finance and Administration, Local Government Division (DFA-LGD) for approval by the first Monday of September. The Board receives notice of the approved budget.

The legal level at which actual expenditures may not exceed budgeted expenditures is at the total fund level. The Board can revise its budget with the approval of DFA-LGD. There were no changes made to the budget during the fiscal year.

E. <u>Cash and Investments</u>

Cash includes amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments with a maturity of six months from the date acquired by the government. State statutes authorize the government to invest in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, interest bearing accounts with local financial institutions and the State Treasurer Pool.

New Mexico Statutes require that financial institutions with public monies on deposit pledge collateral, to the owners of such monies, in an amount not less that 50% of the public monies held on deposit. Collateral pledged is held in safekeeping by other financial institutions, with safekeeping receipts held by the Conservancy District. The pledged securities remain in the name of the financial institution.

F. <u>Inventories</u>

Inventories are valued at cost, which approximates market, using the first-in/first-out (FIFO) method.

The cost of inventories are recorded as expenditures when purchased rather than when consumed.

G. <u>Capital Assets</u>

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more

than \$5,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. This is an increase from the \$1,000 threshold reported in prior years. This is a change in accounting estimate. All previously reported Capital Assets that do not meet the updated amount will be depreciated currently and in future periods until they are fully depreciated. Donated Capital Assets are recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of donation. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Pursuant to the implementation of GASB Statement No. 34, the historical cost of infrastructure assets, (retroactive to 1979) are included as part of the governmental capital assets reported in the government wide statements. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materiālly extend assets lives are not capitalized.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets of business-type activities is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed.

Property, plant, and equipment of the primary government is depreciated using the straight line method over the following estimated useful lives:

The Conservancy District does not capitalize computer software or software developed for internal use (if applicable) unless it exceeds the \$5,000 threshold.

H. <u>Compensated Absences</u>

It is the policy of the Conservancy District to permit certain employees to accumulate a limited amount of earned but unused vacation, which will be paid to employees upon separation from the Conservancy District's service. All vacation pay is accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in the governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations or retirements. There is no liability for unpaid accumulated annual leave for the fiscal year end.

Sick pay does not vest and is recorded as an expenditure when it is paid.

I. <u>Long-Term Obligations</u>

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities.

J. <u>Net Assets</u>

The governmental activities and business-type activities financial statements utilize a net assets presentation. Net assets are categorized as follows:

<u>Investment in capital assets, net of related debt</u> - This category reflects the portion of net assets that are associates with capital assets less outstanding capital asset related debt.

Restricted net assets - This category reflects the portion of net assets that have third party limitation on their use.

<u>Unrestricted net assets</u> - This category reflects net assets of the Village not restricted for any project or other purposes.

K. <u>Fund Equity</u>

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose. Designation of fund balance represent tentative management plans that are subject to change. The Village designates the portion of the year-end fund balance, not otherwise designated or reserved, for subsequent years' expenditures. These designations are established to earmark resources for specific future use and to indicate that the fund equity does not represent available spendable resources.

L. <u>Estimates</u>

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

M. Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss due to torts, theft or damage of assets, errors and omissions and natural disasters. The District's supervisors and employees are covered by an errors and omissions liability policy purchased by the New Mexico State University, Department of Agriculture, to which the District is administratively attached. The limits for this policy are \$1,000,000 for each wrongful act and \$1,000,000 for the policy aggregated. The District is required to obtain a corporate fidelity bond on behalf of persons responsible for District assets. The District currently maintains a \$10,000 fidelity bond on its Program Director and Board of Supervisors. The District has not sustained any losses during the last several years and is not a defendant in any lawsuit.

2. <u>DEPOSITORY COLLATERAL</u>

According to the Federal Deposit Insurance Authority, public unit deposits are funds owned by the Village's Time deposits, savings deposits and interest bearing NOW accounts of a public unit in an institution in the same state will be insured up to \$250,000 in aggregate and separate from the \$250,000 coverage for public unit demand deposits at the same institution.

The following is the Cash on Deposit at each financial institution.

Community First National Bank Community First	General	Checking	\$	2,688
National Bank New Mexico	General	Checking		13,279
Finance Authorit	У			847,564
			\$	863,531
Total amount on d Deposit in transi Outstanding check	t		\$	863,531 - (961)
Total per financia	al statements		Ŝ	862.570

The following schedule details the public money held by Community First National Bank the pledged collateral provided for the Conservancy District follows:

862,570

	Community First Nat'l Bank
Cash on deposit at June 30 Less <u>FDIC</u> Uninsured funds Funds needing collateralization at 50% (required by State Law)	\$ 15,967 (15,967) -
Pledged collateral at June 30	
Excess of Pledged Collateral	\$

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits - Custodial Credit Risk is the risk that in the event of bank failure, the Conservancy District's deposits may not be returned to it. The Conservancy District does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. As of June 30, \$0 of the Conservancy District's bank balance \$15,967 was exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

A. Uninsured and Uncollateralized B. Uninsured and collateralized with securities held by the pledging banks trust department, but not in the Conservancy Districts's name	\$
Total	\$

NM State Statutes require collateral pledged for deposits in excess of the federal deposit insurance to be delivered, or a joint safekeeping receipt be issued, to the cooperative for at least one half of the amount on deposit with the institution. The types of collateral allowed are limited to direct obligations of the United States Government and all bonds issued by any agency, district or political subdivision of the State of New Mexico.

The amount held at the New Mexico Finance Authority totaling \$847,564 is collateralized within the NMFA guidelines. This information is not available by individual Agency but the financial statements for the NMFA are available by writing to New Mexico Finance Authority, 207 Shelby Street, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501.

3. <u>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES</u>

The Conservancy District had no long-term debt at the end of the fiscal year.

4. PROPERTY TAX

Property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Property tax rates for the year are set no later than September 1 each year by the Secretary of Finance and Administration. The rates of tax are then used by County Assessors to develop the property tax schedule by October 1. Taxes are payable in equal semi-annual installments by November 10 and April 10 of the subsequent year. Taxes are collected on behalf of the Conservancy District by the Harding County Treasurer and San Miguel County Treasurer, and are remitted to the Conservancy District in the month following collection. Because the Treasurer for the county in which the Conservancy District is located is statutorily required to collect taxes as an intermediary agency for all forms of government, distribution of taxes are made through the applicable County to the Conservancy District.

5. <u>CAPITAL</u> ASSETS

The amount of property, plant and equipment in the Governmental-Type Activities consists of the following:

	Balance June 30, 2009	_Additions	<u>Adjustments</u> <u>Deletions</u>	Balance June 30, 2010
Equipment	\$ 6,846	\$	<u>\$ (6,846)</u> <u>\$</u>	\$
Total capital assets being depreciated Less accumulated	6,846		(6,846)	
depreciation for: Equipment	(5,168)		<u>5,168</u> <u> </u>	
Total accumulated depreciation	(5,168)		<u>5,168</u>	
Net capital assets	<u>\$ 1,678</u>	\$	<u>\$ (1,678)</u> <u>\$</u>	\$

6. RETIREMENT PLAN

The Conservancy District does not participate in the State of New Mexico PERA retirement plan or any other retirement plan.

7. RETIREE HEALTH CARE ACT CONTRIBUTIONS

The Conservancy District has elected not to participate in the Retiree Health Care Act of New Mexico's retiree health care program.

8. RESTATEMENT - FUND BALANCE/STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

The June 30, 2009 audit report did not report capital assets correctly for an asset that the Conservancy District no longer has in its possession, in the amount of \$6,846. The June 30, 2009 audit report did not report accumulated depreciation correctly for a difference of \$5,168. The ending cash balance was also overstated by \$834. The total net effect being \$2,512.

9. <u>RESTATEMENT - FUND BALANCE/STATEMENT OF REVENUES EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE</u>

The June 30, 2009 audit report did not report the ending cash balance correctly. The cash balance was overstated by \$834.

AUDITING BOOKKEEPING (505) 292-8275

Rice and Associates, C.D.A.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 11805 Menaul NE Albuquerque, NM 87112

TAX PLANNING
TAX PREPARATION
FAX (505) 294-8904

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Mr. Hector H. Balderas
New Mexico State Auditor
and
Board Chairman and Board Supervisors
Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District
Roy, New Mexico

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and the budgetary comparisons of the General Fund of the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated December 12, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Conservancy District's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses as

defined above. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying Status of Comments and Responses that we consider to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting. The are listed as Bank Account Reconciliation (10-02), Employee Files (10-04), NMFA Transactions (10-06), Personnel Policy Updated (10-08), Accrued Leave Records (10-09), Penalties and Interest (10-10) and Segregation of Duties (10-11). A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservancy District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, non-compliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards which is described in the accompanying Status of Comments and Responses as Late Audit Report (10-01), Receipt Books (10-03), Employee Files (10-04), Purchase Orders Required (10-05) and Depreciation Policy (10-07).

We noted certain matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards paragraph 5.14 and 5.16, and Section 12-6-5 NMSA 1978, which are described in the accompanying Status of Findings and Responses as Lack of Approved Audit Contract (10-12).

The Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservation District's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Status of Findings and Responses. We did not audit the Ute Creek Soil & Water Conservation District's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Office of the State Auditor, the New Mexico State Legislature, applicable federal grantors and the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration and is not intended to be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

The state of the s

December 12, 2011

STATUS OF COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Prior Year Audit Findings:

1. Preparation of Financial Statements (07-01) - Resolved.

Current Year Audit Findings:

- 1. Late Audit Report (10-01)
- 2. Bank Account Reconciliations (10-02)
- 3. Receipt Books (10-03)
- 4. Employee Files (10-04)
- 5. Purchase Orders Required (10-05)
- 6. NMFA Transactions (10-06)
- 7. Depreciation Policy (10-07)
- 8. Personnel Policy Updated (10-08)
- 9. Accrued Leave Records (10-09)
- 10. Penalties and Interest (10-10)
- 11. Segregation of Duties (10-11)
- 12. Lack of Approved Audit Contract (Other Matter) (10-12)

Late Audit Report (10-01)

CONDITION The audit report was submitted to the State

Auditor after the required deadline of

December 1, 2010.

CRITERIA Conservancy District audits are required to

be submitted to the State Auditor by December

1 as required by NMAC 2.2.2.9A(1)(d).

CAUSE The Conservancy District was unable to obtain

a completed contract in time to have the

audit completed by the due date.

EFFECT NMAC 2.2.2.9A(1)(d) was not followed.

RECOMMENDATION Management needs to ensure that the audit

contract is obtained in a timely manner so the audit can be performed before the State

Auditors deadline.

RESPONSE Once the 2011 fiscal year is completed, all

future audit reports will be submitted on a

timely basis.

Bank Account Reconciliation (10-02)

CONDITION Management of the Conservancy District did

not properly reconcile any of the bank

accounts for the fiscal year.

CRITERIA All bank accounts should be reconciled

monthly upon receiving the bank statement.

This is to comply with 6-6-3 NMSA 1978.

CAUSE Management of the Conservancy District did

not complete this procedure.

EFFECT Cash could be misstated.

RECOMMENDATION The Conservancy District now has a qualified

staff member that can adequately reconcile the monthly bank statements to the books of

record.

RESPONSE Current management is correctly reconciling

all bank reconciliations to the books of

record and will continue to do so.

Receipt Books (10-03)

CONDITION Conservancy District management did not prepare a receipt for all monies received.

CRITERIA According to Statute 6-6-3 NMSA 1978 the Village is to keep all records in the form prescribed by the Local Government Division.

CAUSE

It is unclear why the Conservancy District employees did not use receipt books to verify the receiving of any/all revenues.

EFFECT Conservancy District management used source documents to post transactions to the books of record.

RECOMMENDATION Current Conservancy District management should use receipt books for all monies being received by the Conservancy District.

RESPONSE Current management is obtaining training on the current software to prepare computer generated receipts that post directly to the general ledger.

Employee Files (10-04)

CONDITION A review of the one employee file revealed

that it was missing all required documents 1) an I-9 form, 2) a W-4 form, and 3) a wage pay

rate form.

CRITERIA According to IRS regulations and INS

regulations these forms are required to be

included in the employees personnel file.

CAUSE It is unknown why the employees personnel

file is missing.

EFFECT Various payroll regulations have not been

complied with.

RECOMMENDATION Conservancy District management should obtain

these forms for all employees immediately and

have them filed in their personnel file.

RESPONSE Current management has a complete and current

employee file that includes all relevant

required documents.

Purchase Orders Required (10-05)

CONDITION The Conservancy District is not using

purchase orders for purchases.

CRITERIA According to the Department of Finance and

Administration regulations purchase orders are to be used as part of the internal control process. They are used to ensure the purchase has been authorized, cash and budget are available and the correct fund and line

item have been charged.

CAUSE Purchase orders have not been used in the

Conservancy District for some time.

EFFECT Department of Finance and Administration

regulations have not been followed.

RECOMMENDATION The Conservancy District should start using

purchase orders as soon as possible.

RESPONSE Current management is looking into creating a

purchase order that will include all relevant data that can be integrated with the current

business software.

NMFA Transactions (10-06)

CONDITION

The Conservancy District did not record any of the New Mexico Finance Authority transactions on the DFA report or on any books of record. These transactions totaled \$881,080 in cash, \$881,080 in revenues and \$33,516 in expenditures.

CRITERIA

According to Statute 6-6-3 NMSA 1978 all local governments are to submit an accurate quarterly performance report to the Department of Finance and Administration. Also, to comply with 6-6-3 NMSA 1978 all transactions of the Conservancy District should be posted to the books of record.

CAUSE

It is unknown why these transactions were not recorded by the Conservancy District.

EFFECT

None of the taxpayers, Board or DFA are receiving adequate financial information to properly show the transactions of the Conservancy District.

RECOMMENDATION

The Conservancy District should implement policies to ensure that all transactions of the Conservancy District are properly recorded on the books of record and then on DFA quarterly reports.

RESPONSE

Current management is posting all NMFA transactions to the books of record correctly and will continue to do so.

Depreciation Policy (10-07)

CONDITION The Conservancy District has not approved a

depreciation policy so depreciation can be

charged to capital assets.

CRITERIA The Conservancy District needs a depreciation

policy so capital assets can be depreciated correctly. This is due to the implementation

of GASB 34.

CAUSE The District's Board has not approved a

policy for management to follow.

EFFECT Depreciation may be charged incorrectly

because no set policy is in place.

RECOMMENDATION The District's Board should approve a policy

as soon as possible. Management should then

implement that policy as soon as possible.

RESPONSE Management is currently preparing several

examples of a policy for the board to approve

at the next board meeting.

Personnel Policy Updated (10-08)

CONDITION	The	Conse	ervancy	Distr	ict	has	an	outdated
	perso	nnel	policy.	Ιt	has	not	been	updated

since .

CRITERIA Personnel policies should be updated

periodically so that they cover any changes in IRS, DFA, PERA, etc. laws and regulations.

CAUSE Neither the Board or Management has taken the

time to ensure this policy is updated to include any relevant changes in laws or

regulations.

EFFECT Personnel laws or regulations may not be

followed.

RECOMMENDATION Management of the Conservancy District is

currently trying to update this policy. It is recommended that it be approved by the

Board as soon as possible.

RESPONSE Management has been reviewing and making

changes to the personnel policy and will be

approved by the board as soon as possible.

Accrued Leave Records (10-09)

CONDITION The Conservancy District is not keeping

adequate sick and annual leave records for

its employee.

CRITERIA Sick and annual leave records should be kept

for all employees. These records should accumulate leave as it is earned and subtract

all leave as it is used.

CAUSE Management of the conservancy District did

not understand or use the current leave

program correctly.

EFFECT The employee could have his/her leave

understated/overstated at any given time.

RECOMMENDATION Current management is adequately trained on

how to use the leave program. Upon review it appears that the program is accruing leave

and subtracting all leave used.

RESPONSE Current management is correctly preparing and

updating leave records on the software system

and will continue to do so.

Penalties and Interest (10-10)

CONDITION	Conservancy	District	management	submitted
	their monthl	y employmer	nt reports to	Taxation
	and Revenue	and the I	RS after the	required
	deadline.			

CRITERIA	Taxation	and	Rev	enue	and	the	IRS	req	uire
	monthly	repor	cts	to	be	submit	ted	bу	the
	following	g mont	h.						

CAUSE	Conservancy	District	management	did	not
	ensure these	reports wer	e submitted	timel	У•

EFFECT	The	Conservanc	y District	t was charged
	penalt	ties and int	erest that	were not budgeted
	for c	or allowed	as an exp	enditure by the
	Depart	tment of Fir	nance and Ad	ministration.

RECOMMENDATION	Conservancy District management should ensure
	that these reports are submitted timely and
	avoid any interest and penalties.

RESPONSE	Current management is aware of all required
	deadlines and is submitting them on a timely
	basis and will continue to do so.

Segregation of Duties (10-11)

CONDITION

The Administrative Assistant performs all payroll functions, billing and receipting functions and all procurement functions.

CRITERIA

Good internal controls require segregation of payroll, procurement and receipting functions.

CAUSE

The Conservancy District is a small agency and does not have the resources to separate the functions. Also the Conservancy District has not established any compensating controls.

EFFECT

The lack of segregation ensures the inability to safeguard the Conservancy District's assets.

RECOMMENDATION

The Supervisory Board should implement compensating controls in each area to ensure its assets are adequately safeguarded.

RESPONSE

Management is aware of its exposure in this area and will develop board policy describing additional duties for particular elected supervisors that provide needed checks and balances.

Lack of Approved audit Contract (Other Matter) (10-12)

CONDITION

The audit contract for the June 30, 2010 fiscal year-end audit was not approved by the State Auditor prior to submittal of the completed audited financial statements to the Office of the State Auditor on December 22, 2011.

CRITERIA

Section 12-6-14 NMSA 1978 (Contract Audits) states the "the State Auditor shall notify each agency designated for audit by an independent auditor, and the agency shall enter into a contract with an independent auditor of its choice in accordance with procedures prescribed by rules of the State Auditor...each contract for auditing entered into between an agency and an independent auditor shall be approved in writing by the State Auditor. Payment of public funds may not be made to an independent auditor unless a contract is entered into and approved as provided in this section".

CAUSE

The Auditor was trying to ensure that the audit was completed before the only employee went on maternity leave. However, in the IPA's haste to conclude the audit an approved contract was not obtained.

EFFECT

The audit of the District was performed without an approved contract and therefore did not meet the requirements of the 2010 State Audit Rule. Payments in the amount of \$2,063.00 made to the District's IPA were not allowed under the 2010 State Audit Rule.

RECOMMENDATION

The District and it's IPA should ensure that the audit contract is approved in accordance with Section 12-6-14 NMSA 1978.

RESPONSE

The District will ensure that all future audit contracts will be approved before the audit commences.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT PREPARATION

The combining and individual fund financial statements and notes to the financial statements for the year ended, June 30, 2010 were prepared by Rice & Associates, CPA, based on managements chart of accounts and trial balances including any adjusting, correcting or closing entries approved by management. These services are allowable under SAS 115.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 12, 2011. In attendance were Mr. Terry Mitchell, Chairman, Mr. Harry Hopson, Vice-Chairman, Ms. Caitlin Holmes, Secretary/Treasurer, Ms. Terica Jeffrey, Administrative Assistant and Ms. Pamela A. Rice, CPA, Contract Auditor. A quorum was present at the exit conference. A properly closed regular session was held.