STATE OF NEW MEXICO
VILLAGE OF VIRDEN
TIER 5 AGREED UPON PROCEDURES REPORT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
OFFICIAL ROSTER	1
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	2
CAPITAL OUTLAY AWARDS	3
AGREED UPON PROCEDURES: Schedule of Procedures and Results	4 - 13
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditure, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual	14 - 18
Schedule of Findings and Responses	19 - 24
Entrance and Exit Conference	25
Copy of Year- End Financial Report to DFA	26 - 30

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO VILLAGE OF VIRDEN OFFICIAL ROSTER JUNE 30, 2019

#### **Board of Supervisors**

NAME	TITLE
Rulene Jensen	Mayor
Shannon Kempton	Council Member
Jacob Bigler	Council Member
Jackie Hale	Council Member
Donald Payne	Council Member

#### Village Personnel

Bridget Payne Deputy Clerk
Kassi Mortensen Clerk/ Treasurer
Kristie Wright Clerk/ Treasurer



Christine Wright, CPA, Partner Brad Beasley, CPA, Partner Tony Morán, CPA, Partner Christopher Salcido, CPA, Partner

### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Mr. Brian Colón, State Auditor and the Board of Supervisors of the Village of Virden, New Mexico

We have performed the procedures enumerated in the attached schedule of procedures and results, which were agreed to by the Office of the State Auditor and the Village of Virden, have specified, listed in the attached schedule, as require by Tier 5 of the Audit Act- Section 12-6-3B(6) NMSA 1978 and Section 2.2.2.16 NMAC for the year ended June 30, 2019. Village of Virden's management is responsible for the Village's accounting records. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in the attached schedule either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and results are included in the attached schedule.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the subject matter of the Village of Virden for the year ending June 30, 2019. Accordingly, the firm does not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Village of Virden, the New Mexico State Auditor's Office, Department of Finance Administration, Local Government Division, and the New Mexico Legislature and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Blasty Mitchell & Co. Las Cruces, New Mexico
December 16, 2019





# STATE OF NEW MEXICO VILLAGE OF VIRDEN OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES CAPITAL OUTLAY AWARDS

	Al	gislative location 0791 DFA
Amount awarded Received through June 30, 2019 Expended through June 30, 2019 Remaining balance as of June 30, 2019	\$ \$	75,000 54,454 54,454 20,546
Project effective date through	Jun	e 30, 2019

#### Legislation:

The Legislative Allocation is authorized by the Laws of 2014, Chapter 66.

The amounts received and expended as shown above are cumulative amounts from grant inception through June 30, 2019.

#### 1. Revenue Calculation and Tier Determination

#### **Procedures**

Verify the local public body's revenue calculation and tier determination documented on the form provided at www.osa.org under "Tiered System Reporting Main Page".

#### **Results of Procedure**

Village of Virden (Village) had revenue excluding federal grants and capital asset appropriations of \$216,196; further, the Village received and expended capital appropriations funds, therefore a Tier 5 agreed upon procedure engagement is required.

#### 2. Cash

#### **Procedures**

- a) Determine whether bank reconciliations are being performed in a timely manner and whether all bank and investment statements for the fiscal year are complete and on-hand.
- b) Test at least 30% of the bank reconciliations for accuracy. Also trace ending balances to the general ledger, supporting documentation, and the financial reports submitted to DFA-Local Government Division.
- c) Determine whether the local public body's financial institutions have provided it with the 50% of pledged collateral on all uninsured deposits as required by Section 6-10-17 NMSA 1978, NM Public Money Act, if applicable.

#### **Results of Procedure**

a) We obtained bank statements and corresponding reconciliation's for 5 out of 12 months (42%) of the Village's accounts for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019. No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Bank reconciliation's were completed in a timely manner, and all bank statements and reconciliation's were complete and on hand.

#### 2. Cash (Continued)

#### **Results of Procedure**

- b) It was noted that 7 out of 20 reconciliations tested did not tie to the general ledger with a total difference in cash of \$10,249. We obtained all quarterly DFA reports for the Village for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019. It was also noted that 16 out of 16 reconciliations did not tie to the financial reports submitted to DFA. This finding is detailed in our Schedule of Findings (2019-005).
- c) The Village of Virden's deposits are required to be collateralized in accordance with Section 6-10-17 NMSA 1978, NM Public Money Act. Pledged collateral documentation was reviewed for the year ending June 30, 2019 no exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. The Village is in compliance with collateralizing uninsured deposits as required by Section 6-10-17 NMSA 1978, NM Public Money Act.

#### 3. Capital Assets

#### **Procedure**

Verify that the local public body performing a yearly inventory as required by Section 12-6-10 NMSA 1978.

#### **Results of Procedure**

The Village's inventory count was not completed during FYE 19. Proper certification by the governing body was not performed during FYE 19. This finding is detailed in our Schedule of Findings (2019-003).

#### 4. Revenue

#### **Procedures**

Identify the nature and amount of revenue from sources by reviewing the budget, agreements, rate schedules, and underlying documentation.

a) Perform an analytical review, test actual revenue compared to budgeted revenue for the year for each type of revenue.

Select a sample of revenues equal to at least 30% of the total dollar amount and test the following attributes:

- b) Amount recorded in the general ledger agrees to the supporting documentation and the bank statement.
- c) Proper recording of classification, amount, and period per review of supporting documentation and the general ledger. Perform this revenue work on the same accounting basis that the local public body keeps its accounting records on, cash basis, modified accrual basis, or accrual basis.

#### **Results of Procedures**

- a) Actual revenue compared to budgeted revenue for the fiscal year is reasonable and within expectations. The variance analysis for the budgets to actual may be noted in the budgetary analysis on pages 14 18.
- b) A sample of 7 deposits totaling \$134,958 were tested. No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Amounts recorded in the general ledger agreed with supporting documents.
- c) A sample of 7 deposits totaling \$134,958 were tested. No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Amounts were recorded on a cash basis as to classification, amount and period per review of supporting documentation.

#### 5. Expenditures

#### **Procedures**

Select a sample of cash disbursements equal to at least 30% of the total dollar amount and test the following attributes:

- a) Determine that amount recorded as disbursed agrees to adequate supporting documentation. Verify that amount, payee, date and description agree to the vendor's invoice, purchase order, contract and canceled check, as appropriate.
- b) Determine that disbursements were properly authorized and approved in compliance with the budget, legal requirements and established policies and procedures.
- c) Determine that the bid process (or request for proposal process if applicable), purchase orders, contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Procurement Code (Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-199 NMSA 1978) and State Purchasing Regulations (1.4.1 NMAC) and Regulations Governing the Per Diem and Mileage Act (2.42.2 NMAC).

#### **Results of Procedures**

- a) 29 expenditures totaling \$38,950 were tested. No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. The amounts recorded as disbursed agreed to supporting documentation: amount paid, payee date and description agreed with the vendor's invoice, purchase order, contract, and canceled check, as appropriate.
- b) 2 out 6 disbursements tested related to payroll expense were not properly authorized and approved in compliance with established policies and procedures totaling \$988.60. 33 out of 35 disbursements tested were properly authorized and approved in compliance with the budget, legal requirements, and established policies and procedures totaling \$37,961.52. This finding is detailed in our Schedule of Findings (2019-001).
- c) 6 out of 6 per diem disbursements tested were not in accordance with the Per Diem and Mileage Act (2.42.2 NMAC). The Village was reimbursing \$.44 cents totaling \$825.58 per mile rather than the \$.43 required by the State of New Mexico Travel & Mileage Rates. The difference totaled \$8.25. No authorization was noted by the governing board for the actual reimbursement rate. This finding is detailed in our Schedule of Findings (2019-002).

No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure for the bid process (or request for proposal process, if applicable), contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-99 NMSA 1978) and State Purchasing Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-99 NMSA 1978) and State Purchasing Regulations (1.4.1 NMAC).

#### 6. Journal Entries

#### **Procedures**

Test all non-routine journal entries, adjustments, and reclassifications posted to the general ledger for the following attributes:

- a) Journal entries appear reasonable and have supporting documentation.
- b) The local public body has procedures that require journal entries to be reviewed and there is evidence the reviews are being performed.

#### **Results of Procedure**

- a) The Village did not perform any non-routine journal entries during the FYE 19.
- b) The Village did not perform any non-routine journal entries during the FYE 19.

#### 7. Budget

#### **Procedures**

Obtain the original fiscal year budget and all budget amendments made throughout the fiscal year and perform the following:

- a) Verify, through a review of the minutes and correspondence, that the original budget and subsequent budget adjustments were approved by the local public body's governing body and DFA-LGD.
- b) Determine if the total actual expenditures exceeded the final budgets at the legal level of budgetary control; if so, report a compliance finding.
- c) From the original and final approved budgets and general ledger, prepare a schedule of revenues and expenditures budget and actual on the budgetary basis used by the local public body (cash, accrual or modified accrual basis) for each individual fund.

#### 7. Budget (Continued)

#### **Results of Procedure**

- a) The review of Board minutes and letter from the State indicated that the budget and budget adjustments were approved by the board and the DFA.
- b) Total actual expenditures from the general ledger exceeded budgeted amounts by \$7,336 in the capital projects fund as disclosed in the variance analysis for budget to actual on page 16.
- c) A schedule of revenue and expenditures budget and actual was prepared from Village of Virden records on cash budgetary basis on pages 14 18.

#### 8. Capital Outlay Appropriations

#### **Procedures**

The scope of the agreed-upon procedures engagement shall encompass any and all state-funded capital outlay appropriations of the New Mexico Legislature that meet Tier 5 criteria. Request and review all state-funded capital outlay awards, joint powers agreements, correspondence and other relevant documentation for any capital outlay award funds expended by the recipient during the fiscal year that meet the Tier 5 criteria. Perform the following tests on all state-funded capital outlay expenditures:

- a) Determine that the amount recorded as disbursed agrees to adequate supporting documentation. Verify that amount, payee date and description agree to the purchase order, contract, vendor's invoice and canceled check, as appropriate.
- b) Determine that the cash disbursements were properly authorized and approved in accordance with the budget, legal requirements and established policies and procedures.

#### 8. Capital Outlay Appropriations (Continued)

#### **Procedures (Continued)**

- c) Determine that the bid process (or request for proposal process is applicable), purchase orders, contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Procurement Code and State Purchasing Regulations (Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-199 NMSA 1978 and 1.4.1 NMAC).
- d) Determine the physical existence (by observation) of the capital asset based on expenditures to date.
- e) Verify that status reports were submitted to the state agency per terms of agreement and amounts in the status report agree with the general ledger and other supporting documentation.
- f) If the project was funded in advance, determine if the award balance (and cash balance) appropriately reflects the percentage of completion based on the project schedule and expenditures to date.
- g) If the project is complete, determine if there is an unexpended balance and whether it was reverted per statute and agreement with the grantor.
- h) Determine whether cash received for the award was accounted for in a separate fund or separate bank account that is non-interest bearing if so required by the capital outlay award agreement.
- Determine whether reimbursement requests were properly supported by costs incurred by the recipient. Determine whether the costs were paid by the local public body prior to the request for reimbursement.

#### 8. Capital Outlay Appropriations (Continued)

#### **Results of Procedure**

- a) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Amount recorded agrees with disbursed amounts and have adequate support.
- b) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Cash disbursements were property authorized in accordance with budget and legal requirements
- c) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Capital outlay expenses were tested and properly procured.
- d) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Observation of remodeled kitchen in event center and related purchases were noted.
- e) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. All required reports were submitted timely.
- f) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Procedure not applicable as funding is done on a reimbursement basis.
- g) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. Remaining balance of \$354 in FY 18 for Colonias Grant Cl-3192 was reverted per statue and agreement with the grantor. Additionally, in FY 19 a total of \$ 9,843 was unspent for the Legislative Allocation 15-079 and reverted per statue and agreement with the grantor after year end.
- h) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. It was noted that the appropriation had been done on a reimbursement basis thus the Village does not hold any grant monies.
- i) No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure. All costs were expensed prior to reimbursement and all supporting documentation was available.

#### 9. Other

#### **Procedures**

If Information comes to the IPA's attention (regardless of materiality) indicating any fraud, illegal acts, noncompliance, or any internal control deficiencies, such instances must be disclosed in the report as required by Section 12-6-6 NMSA 1978. The findings must include the required content per Section 2.2.2.10(1)(3)(C) NMAC.

#### **Results of Procedure**

No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure.

# OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) AND ACTUAL GENERAL FUND

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

REVENUES:	inal Budget		Final Budget	-	ctual on getary Basis	Fir	riance with nal Budget Positive Negative)
Taxes:							
Property	\$ 1,500	\$	1,500	\$	794	\$	(706)
Gross receipts	10,000		10,000		7,361		(2,639)
Gas tax	5,004		5,004		4,587		(417)
Motor vehicle tax	350		350		165		(185)
State sources	90,000		90,000		90,000		-
Charges for services	14,330		14,330		12,147		(2,183)
Miscellaneous	 600	_	600		438		(162)
Total revenues	121,784		121,784		115,492		(6,292)
EXPENDITURES:							
General government	84,059		84,059		54,355		29,704
Public Works	2,700		2,700		2,843		(143)
Other miscellaneous	6,000		6,000		-		6,000
Culture recreation	 12,240	_	12,240		14,812		(2,572)
Total expenditures	 104,999		104,999		72,010		32,989
Net changes in fund balance	16,785		16,785		43,482		(39,281)
Fund balance - beginning of the year	 266,145	_	266,145		266,145		
Fund balance - end of the year	\$ 282.930	\$	282.930	\$	309.627	\$	(39.281)
Reconciliation of budgetary basis to GAAP basis: Net change in fund balance budgetary basis				\$ <u></u>	43,482		
Net change in fund balance GAAP basis				\$	43.482		

# OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) AND ACTUAL SPECIAL REVENUE FUND - FIRE PROTECTION

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

	Orig	inal Budget	Fin	nal Budget	-	Actual on getary Basis	Fin-	ance with al Budget Positive egative)
REVENUES: State Sources Miscellaneous	\$	75,667 40	\$	75,667 40	\$	80,914 123	\$	5,247 83
Total revenues		75,707		75,707		81,037		5,330
EXPENDITURES: Public safety		71,659		71,659		42,390		29,269
Total expenditures		71,659		71,659		42,390		29,269
Net changes in fund balance		4,048		4,048		38,647		34,599
Fund balance - beginning of the year		114,178		144,178		144,178		-
Fund balance - end of the year	\$	118.226	\$	148.226	\$	182.825	\$	34.599
Reconciliation of budgetary basis to GAAP basis: Net change in fund balance budgetary basis					\$	38,647		
Net change in fund balance GAAP basis					\$	38.647		

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) AND ACTUAL

#### CAPITAL PROJECT FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

	Origi	inal Budget		Final Budget	Actual on Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
REVENUES: Miscellaneous Interest income	\$	- 30	\$	- 30	\$ 182 48	\$ 182 18
Total revenues		30		30	230	200
EXPENDITURES: Culture and recreation					7,336	(7,336)
Total expenditures			_	-	7,336	(7,336)
Excess of revenues over expenditures		30	_	30	(7,106)	(7,136)
Net changes in fund balance		30	_	30	(7,106)	(7,136)
Fund balance - beginning of the year		96,026	_	96,026	96,026	<u> </u>
Fund balance - end of the year	\$	96.056	\$	96.056	\$ 88.920	\$ (7.136)
Reconciliation of budgetary basis to GAAP basis: Net change in fund balance budgetary basis					\$ <u>(7,106</u> )	
Net change in fund balance GAAP basis					\$ (7.106)	•

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) AND ACTUAL

#### DEBT SERVICE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

	Original	Budget	Final Budget	 ctual on Jetary Basis	Find	ance with al Budget ositive egative)
REVENUES: State Sources	\$		\$	\$ 	\$	<u>-</u>
EXPENDITURES: Principal and Interest		1,835	1,835	1,835		
Total expenditures		1,835	1,835	 1,835		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(1,835)	(1,835)	(1,835)		
OTHER FINANCING USES Transfers in		1,835	1,835	 1,835		-
Total other financing uses		1,835	1,835	 1,835		
Net changes in fund balance		<u>.                                    </u>		 		
Fund balance - beginning of the year			_	 		-
Fund balance - end of the year	\$ -		\$ -	\$ 	\$	<u>-</u>
Reconciliation of budgetary basis to GAAP basis: Net change in fund balance budgetary basis				\$ <u>-</u>		
Net change in fund balance GAAP basis				\$ -		

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS) AND ACTUAL

ENTERPRISE FUND- WATER AND SEWER FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

	Origi	nal Budget	F	inal Budget	-	Actual on Igetary Basis	Fin	iance with al Budget Positive egative)
REVENUES:	•	13.795	\$	13.795	\$	16,928	\$	3.133
Charges for Services Miscellaneous	\$ 	13,793	<b>-</b>	13,793	<b>—</b>	3,899	Ф <u>—</u>	3,839
Total revenues		13,855		13,855		20,827		6,972
EXPENDITURES: Operating		26,625		26,62 <u>5</u>		17,17 <u>3</u>		9,452
oporating		20,020		20,020		17,170		7,402
Total expenditures		26,625		26,625		17,173		9,452
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(12,770)		(12,770)		3,654	_	16,424
Net changes in fund balance		(12,770)	_	(12,770)		3,654		16,424
Fund balance - beginning of the year		64,955	_	64,955		64,955		
Fund balance - end of the year	\$	52.185	\$	52.185	\$	68.609	\$	16.424
Reconciliation of budgetary basis to GAAP basis: Net change in fund balance budgetary basis					\$	3,654		
Not observe in fund belance CAAD basis					¢	2 / 5 /		
Net change in fund balance GAAP basis					ъ.	3.654		

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO VILLAGE OF VIRDEN OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

#### PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS CURRENT STATUS

2019-005 DFA Report Not in Agreement with General Ledger Revised and Repeated

# CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS CURRENT STATUS 2019-001 Lack of Approval of Payroll Timesheets New 2019-002 Inaccurate Per Diem Rates New 2019-003 Capital Asset New 2019-004 Budgetary Control New

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

### DFA Report Not in Agreement with General Ledger 2019-005 (2016-001) - Other Noncompliance

general ledger with a total difference in cash of \$10,249. It was also noted that 16 out of 16 reconciliations did not tie to the financial reports submitted to DFA. The Village has made progress towards implementing prior year planned corrective action plan that included reconciling general ledger amounts to the DFA report. The Village Deputy Clerk is closely working with DFA budget analyst to

correct this issue in future fiscal years.

**CRITERIA**The Department of Finance and Administration regulations require

that financial reports be prepared from the entity's general ledger, and that reports reflect all transaction that the entity has made for

the period of the report.

**CAUSE** The Village did not follow its reconciliation policy regarding the DFA

financial report.

**EFFECT** Improper reporting could lead to transaction misinterpretation by

DFA, and misinterpretation of financials by the Legislative Finance Committee and the New Mexico Legislature. In addition, DFA

regulations have been violated.

**RECOMMENDATION** It is recommended that the Village review the reconciliation

process, and establish internal controls to mitigate the risk of error. Reconciliations should be reviewed and approved by

management.

**RESPONSE** The Village will compare the general ledger to the DFA report for

accuracy. This will be done commencing with the next quarterly

report due for the quarter ended March 31, 2020.

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

#### Lack of Approval of Payroll Timesheets (2019-001) - Significant Deficiency

**CONDITION** During expense testwork, it was noted that in 2 out of 6 payroll

samples selected for pay periods 9/28/18 and 11/30/18 totaling \$988.60, employee timesheets were missing a supervisor approval

signature.

CRITERIA NMAC 2.20.5.8 states that each local body shall establish and

maintain an internal control structure to provide management with reasonable assurance that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of general purpose financial statements in accordance with GAAP. The internal control structure shall include written administrative controls. The entity should establish sufficient internal controls over employee files and payroll

processing.

**CAUSE** Lack of management oversight.

**EFFECT** Lack of management oversight may lead to unauthorized expenses

related to payroll.

**RECOMMENDATION** The Village should ensure there are internal controls in place to

prevent unauthorized expenses related to payroll.

**RESPONSE**The Village has policies in place to review and approve employee

timesheets every pay period. The Village will ensure to review all

employee timesheets for approval of supervisor moving forward.

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

#### Inaccurate Per Diem Rates (2019-002) - Other Noncompliance

**CONDITION** During expense test work, it was noted that in 6 out of 6 per diem

samples totaling \$825.58, employees were reimbursed \$.44 per mile, .01 cent more than the required rate in the State of New Mexico and

a total difference of \$8.25.

CRITERIA Per the State of New Mexico Travel & Mileage Rates, mileage

reimbursement should be made at a rate of \$.43 per mile.

CAUSE The Village had been using an old Travel Form template that

indicated reimbursement of \$.44 per mile.

**EFFECT** Mileage reimbursement can be overstated if rates are not accurate

and up to date.

**RECOMMENDATION** The Village should update per diem rates every year to assure

reimbursement rates remain accurate.

**RESPONSE** The Village is currently working on updating Travel Forms with

accurate per diem rates.

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

Capital Assets (2019-003) - Other Noncompliance

**CONDITION** An annual physical inventory of assets for the fiscal year June 30,

2019 was not performed or certified by the Village's board.

CRITERIA 2.2.10.W.2 Agencies shall conduct an annual physical inventory of

chattels and equipment on the inventory list at the end of each fiscal year in accordance with the requirements of Section 12-6-10 NMSA 1978. The agency governing authority shall certify the correctness of the inventory after the physical inventory. This

certification shall be provided to the agency's auditors.

**CAUSE**The Village has experienced high turnover in clerk positions. An asset

inventory list had not been kept up to date in FY 19. The Deputy Clerk at the time of the engagement was not aware of the certification requirement for physical inventory of assets, therefore,

the annual inventory was not certified by the board.

**EFFECT** The Village is not in compliance with NMAC 2.2.10.W.2.

**RECOMMENDATION** We recommend that the board certify the annual inventory upon

completion of the physical inventory.

**RESPONSE**The Village will have the board certify the correctness of the annual

inventory following the completion of the inventory.

### OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

#### Budgetary Control (2019-004) - Other Non-Compliance

CONDITION	The actual expenditures incurred in the capital project fund were greater than the budgeted expenditures. The Village did not submit a corrected budget.							
	Fund	Budget Actual Expenses Expenses Difference						
	Capital Project Fund	<u>- 7,336 7,336</u>						
	Totals	\$ <u>-</u> \$ <u>7,336</u> \$ <u>7,336</u>						
CRITERIA	·	to make corrections, revisions and ed budgets to meet the requirements of 6-6-2-0).						
CAUSE	Village personnel have not had training in the use of controls and reconciliations to ensure accuracy in budgetary control processes.							
EFFECT	The Village is at risk of budget.	unknowingly exceeding the approved						
RECOMMENDATION		llage provide accounting personnel with atrols and reconciliations to accurately get.						
RESPONSE	<u> </u>	c is in the process of implementing dget review and amendments to correct						

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO VILLAGE OF VIRDEN OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR TIER 5 AGREED- UPON PROCEDURES ENTERANCE AND EXIT CONFERENCE

An entrance conference was conducted December 2, 2019 in a closed meeting of the Village of Virden pursuant to Section 12-6-5 NMSA, 1978 with the following individuals in attendance:

#### Village of Virden

Donald Payne Council Member
Bridget Payne Deputy Clerk
Margaret Walton Financial Clerk

Beasley, Mitchell & Co., LLP

Itza Sosa Staff Auditor

An exit conference was conducted December 14, 2019 in a closed meeting of the Village of Virden pursuant to Section 12-6-5 NMSA, 1978 with the following individuals in attendance:

#### Village of Virden

Rulene Jensen Mayor

Bridget Payne Deputy Clerk

Beasley, Mitchell & Co., LLP

Itza Sosa Staff Auditor