Charles L. Henry

Certified Public Accountant 666 Cook Avenue Raton, New Mexico 87740 (505) 681-9762

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Vermejo Conservancy District

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Vermejo Conservancy District

Table of Contents June 30, 2015

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION SECTION	
Table of Contents	i
Official Roster	ii
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Accountant's Report	
on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	iii - vi
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures - Budget and	1
Actual (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis)	
Copy of Year End Financial Report to DFA	2
Schedule of Findings and Responses	3 - 9
Exit Conference	10

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Vermejo Conservancy District

Official Roster June 30, 2015

Official Roster

Board Members Position Roger Kuchan President Ray Nystul Vice President Mary Lou Kern Secretary Bill Goebel Treasurer Karl Shubert **Board Member Administrative Staff Position** Henry Hicks General Manager

Office Manager

Shawna Lindsley

Charles L. Henry

Certified Public Accountant 666 Cook Avenue Raton, New Mexico 87740 (505) 681-9762

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Karl Shubert, Current President and Members of the Board Vermejo Conservancy District and Honorable Timothy Keller New Mexico State Auditor

I have performed the procedures enumerated below for the Vermejo Conservancy District (District), for the year ended June 30, 2015. The District was determined to be a Tier 4 entity under the Audit Act, Section 12-6-3 B (4) NMSA 1978 and Section 2.2.2.16 NMAC. The procedures were agreed to by the District through the Office of the New Mexico State Auditor. The management of the Vermejo Conservancy District is responsible for the organization's accounting records. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, I make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. My procedures and findings are as follows:

VERIFY THE LOCAL PUBLIC BODY'S REVENUE CALCULATION

PROCEDURES:

Verify the local public body's revenue calculation and tier determination documented on the form provided at www.osanm.org under "Tiered System Reporting Main Page."

OBSERVATIONS:

Based on the review of the District's General Ledger, total revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 were \$236,773. Based on this information the District properly determined to be a Tier 4 for the fiscal year 2015 since their revenues were between \$50,000 and \$250,000 and there was no capital outlay appropriations during the year.

CASH

PROCEDURES:

 Determined whether bank reconciliations are being performed in a timely manner and whether all bank and investment statements for the fiscal year are complete and on-hand.

CASH PROCEDURE - Continued

- b) Perform a random test of bank reconciliations for accuracy. Also, trace ending balances to the general ledger, supporting documentation and the financial reports submitted to Department of Finance and Administration-Local Government Division (DFA-LGD).
- c) Determined whether the local public body's financial institutions have provided it with the 50% of pledged collateral on all uninsured deposits as required by Section 6-10-17 NMSA 1978, NM Public Money Act, if applicable.

OBSERVATIONS:

- a) The District has one checking account, one money market account, two savings accounts and two Certificates of Deposit. The QuickBooks software package is used to record District transactions. All bank statements were found to be complete and on hand but nine of the twelve months reconciliations were not prepared timely. (Finding - 2015-001)
- b) It was decided that all bank reconciliations for the fiscal year were to be re-computed and all bank transactions were to be traced to general ledger entries as part of the application of the agreed upon procedures. It was found that there were no instances of situations where District transactions were unrecorded or improperly recorded.
- c) The District minutes reflect compliance with budget requirements of the DFA-LGD. The preliminary and final budget provided by the District was reviewed and a copy is attached. The District was not able to provide documents indicating final acceptance by DFA-LGD. (Finding - 2014-003)
- d) Bank account balances did not exceed uninsured limits during the year ended June 30, 2015 and therefore, pledged collateral was not required for any financial institution.

CAPITAL ASSETS

PROCEDURES:

Verify that the local public body is performing a yearly inventory as required by 12-6-10 NMSA 1978

OBSERVATIONS:

The District was not able to provide a list of all of movable chattels and equipment costing more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) and under the control of the governing authority. (Finding - 2014-002)

REVENUE

PROCEDURES:

Identify the nature and amount of revenue from sources by reviewing the budget agreements, rate schedules, and underlying documentation.

 Perform an analytical review; test actual revenue compared to budgeted revenue for the year ended June 30, 2015 for each type of revenue source.

Select a sample of revenue - Based on Auditor Judgment - and test using the following attributes;

- b) Amount recorded in the general ledger agrees to supporting documentation and the bank statement.
- c) Proper recording of classification, amount, and period per review of supporting documentation and the general ledger. Perform this review work on the same accounting basis that the local public body keeps its accounting records on, cash basis, modified accrual basis, or accrual basis.

OBSERVATIONS:

- a) The District provided revenue and expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2015 that were compared to the budget as provided to DFA-LGD. The minutes of the District reflect the approval of the budget by the board and quarterly information as provided to DFA-LGD was reviewed. The District was unable to provide a approval letter from DFA-LGD for the final budget and the budget approval by DFA-LGD was not made part of the minutes as required by Section 6-6-5 NMSA 1978. (Finding 2014-003)
- b) Cash balances recorded in the general ledger agreed with the bank reconciliations but due to the untimely reconciliations the procedure is compromised. (Finding 2015-001)
- c) A sample of 75 transactions were selected to test for proper classification, amount, timely entered, supporting documentation and traced to the general ledger. This sample represented 44% of total sales as recorded. One instance was found in which supporting documents for a water delivery charge dated May 29, 2015 could not be located. (Finding - 2015-003)

EXPENDITURES

PROCEDURES

Select a sample of cash disbursements - Based on Auditor Judgment - and test using the following attributes:

- a) Determine that amounts recorded as disbursed agree to adequate supporting documentation. Verify that amount, payee, date and description agree to the vendor's invoice, purchase order, contract and cancelled check, as appropriate.
- b) Determine that disbursements were properly authorized and approved in compliance with the budget, legal requirements, and established policies and procedures.
- c) Determine that the bid process (or request for proposal process if applicable), purchase orders, contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Procurement Code (Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-99 NMSA 1978) and State Purchasing Regulations (1.4.1 NMAC) and Regulations Governing the Per Diem and Mileage Act (2.42.2 NMAC).

OBSERVATIONS:

- a) As part of the test of transactions Federal Payroll Tax Reports (941) were requested for all quarters of the fiscal year and were compared to disbursements as reflected on the general ledger. The required monthly payments to the Internal Revenue Service for December 2014, March 2015 as well as all required deposits for the 2nd Quarter 2015 was not found and the listed deposit for January 2015 never cleared the bank. (Finding - 2014-004)
- b) A sample of 31 expenditure transactions was selected to test for proper authorization, compliance with the budget and supporting documents. This sample represented 68% of all district expenditures excluding payroll. All transactions tested were found to be compliant with the test objectives with one exception. (Finding 2015-002)
- c) The bid process (or request for proposal process, if applicable), purchase orders, contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Procurement Code (Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-99 NMSA 1978) and State Purchasing Regulations (1.4.1 NMAC). Regulations Governing the Per Diem and Mileage Act (2.42.2 NMAC) were found to be compliant.

JOURNAL ENTRIES

PROCEDURES

If non-routine journal entries, such as adjustments or reclassifications, are posted to the general ledger, test significant items for the following attributes:

- a) Journal entries appear reasonable and have supporting documentation.
- b) The local public body has procedures that require journal entries to be reviewed and there is evidence the reviews are being performed.

OBSERVATIONS:

a) The District made no journal entries for the period and it is noted that journal entries are not normally prepared by the District.

BUDGET

PROCEDURES

Obtain the original fiscal year budget and all budget amendments made throughout the fiscal year and perform the following:

- a) Verify, through a review of the minutes and correspondence, that the budget and subsequent budget adjustments were approved by the local public body's governing body and DFA-LGD.
- b) Determine if the total actual expenditures exceed the final budget at the legal level of budgetary control; if so, report a compliance finding.

BUDGET PROCEDURE - Continued

c) From the original and final approved budgets an general ledger, prepare a schedule of revenue and expenditures - budget and actual on the budgetary basis used by the local public body (cash, accrual or modified accrual basis) for each individual fund.

OBSERVATIONS:

- a) The District provided quarterly information provided to DFA-LGD for the fiscal year and the minutes reflected approval of the final budget to be provided to DFA-LGD but there was no entry in the minutes that DFA-LGD approved the budget as required. (Finding 2014-003)
- A review of the budget as well as the expenditures found that the actual expenditures did not exceed budgeted expenditures.

OTHER

PROCEDURES

If information comes to the IPA's attention (regardless of materiality) indicating any fraud, illegal acts, noncompliance, or any internal control deficiencies, disclose in the report as required by Section 2.2.2 10(I)(3) NMAC.

OBSERVATIONS:

No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedures above indicating any fraud, illegal acts, noncompliance or internal control deficiencies not otherwise mentioned. (Finding - 2014-001)

I was not engaged to, and did not conduct an audit, the objectives of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accounting records. Accordingly, I do not express such an opinion. Had I performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to my attention that would have to be reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the for the information and use of the Vermejo Conservancy District, the New Mexico Office of the State Auditor, New Mexico State Legislature and the DFA-LGD and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Charles L. Henry

Certified Public Accountant

Charle Hey CPA

June 14, 2017

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Vermejo Conservancy District

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis)

For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

		Budget		Actual Cash Basis	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Revenues					
Class A	\$	101,043	\$	138,383	\$ 37,340
Class B		25,261		29,746	4,485
Contracted Services		-		9,301	9,301
Water Charge		42,101		21,698	(20,403)
Pasture / Lake Lease		12,630		6,500	(6,130)
Interest		1,400		2,957	1,557
Rent		3,000		2,881	(119)
Misc. Income		1,000		70	(930)
Canal Assessment		127		121	(6)
NMDOT Surplus Equipment Auction		25,000		25,000	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
Carry Over From Previous Year	a -	_	_	116	116
Total Revenues		211,561		236,773	25,212
Expenditures	1		-		
Equip. Purchase / Lease		27,750		25,000	2,750
Equip. Repair / Supply		15,500		18,051	(2,551)
Gas & Oil		33,000		15,176	17,824
Office Supply		2,200		1,379	821
Insurance		17,050		15,060	1,990
Misc Expense		5,500		2,312	3,188
Salaries / Payroll Tax		88,000		76,294	11,706
Accounting		4,641			4,641
Legal		2,750			2,750
Telephone		1,100		1,041	59
Travel Expense		1,100		1,146	(46)
Utilities		4,400	_	3,145	1,255
Total Expenditures		202,991		158,605	44,387
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures		8,570	_	78,169	(19,175)
Principal Payment on Association Loans	_	8,570	_	4,932	3,638
Excess (Deficiency) of Receipts over Disbursements	_	-		73,237	(22,813)
Cash balance beginning of Year			_	215,565	
Cash balance End of Year			\$_	288,802	

Vermejo Conservancy District Final Budget 2014-2015

	r iliai-Bu	aget 2014-2015	
-	Revenues: Class A		
	Class B	\$ 101,042.59	
	Water Cham	\$ 25,260.65	
	Water Charge	\$ 42,101.07	
	Capital Improvement	\$ 25,000.00	
	Pasture/Lake Lease	1-30:00	
	Interest		
	Rent	\$ 1,400.00	
	Misc. Income	\$ 3,000.00	
	Canal Assessment	\$ 1,000.00	
	Total Income	\$ 127.00	
	- mi monile	\$ 211,561.31	
	Expenditures: Equip. Purchase/Loose		
	The strategy was a second seco	\$ 2,750.00	
	Equip. Repair/Supply	\$ 15,500.00	
	Loan Payment	1000:00	
	Gas & Oil	1-:0:00	
	Capital Improvement Grant	1000.00	
	Office Supply	\$ 25,000.00	
	Insurance	\$ 2,200.00	
	Misc. Expense	\$ 17,050.00	
	Salaries/Payroll Tax	\$ 5,500.00	
	Accounting	\$ 88,000.00	
-	Accounting	\$ 4,641.31	
1	Legal	130111011	
	Telephone	1.00.00	
	Travel Expense		
	Utilities	1.00.00	
	Total Expenditures	\$ 4,400.00	
		\$ 211,561.31	
	\$211,561.31 Total Expenditures		
-	T.O. IOI .UU CINET INCOME /		
	\$168,404.31 Adjusted Total Expenditures		
	C100 in Experiences		
	\$168,404.31 Adjusted Total Expenditures		
-		\$168,404.31 Total Expenditures	
\$	126,303.23 Class A & B Revenue	X 25%	3
_		\$42,101.08	
\$	101,042.59 Class A Total Revenue	- 1850 00 Eat AE	
	7389 Shares	1850.00 Est. AF to be Deliv	erec
\$	13.67 Class A Access	\$22.76 Water Delivery Cha	arge
	13.67 Class A Assessment/ Share		
\$	126,303.23 Class A & B Revenue		
	X 20%		
	25 200 05		
\$			
\$	7143373 Class B Total Revenue		
\$ -	25,260.65 Class B Total Revenue 7142372 Current Year Total Form PTD-13		
\$ -	0.00353673 Current Year Total Form PTD-13		
\$ \$	1142012 Current Year Total Fam.		

June 30, 2015

STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS

2014-001 - Failure to file and provide Tier 4 Engagement Report by the Required Due Dates -- Unresolved & Repeated

2014-002 - No Capital Asset Inventory Listing and No Board Certification of Inventory at Fiscal Year-End -- Unresolved & Repeated

2014-003 - Approved Budget by DFA-LGD was Not Made Part of the Minutes -- Unresolved & Repeated

2014-004 - Required Payroll Tax Deposit Was Not Made -- Modified & Repeated

CURRENT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS:

<u>2014-001 - Failure to file and provide Tier 4 Engagement Report by the Required Due Dates - Compliance Condition:</u>

The District did not submit the completed Recommendation Form for Tiered System Local Public Bodies to the Office of the State Auditor by the required date. In addition the audit report was not delivered to the state auditor by the required due date. As reports for fiscal years 2014 through 2016 were all prepared at the same time no progress is reflected towards implementing the prior year corrective action plan.

Criteria:

2.2.2.16 (D) (6) NMAC required that the District submit the completed Recommendation Form for Tiered System Local Public Bodies by July 1 and the due date for filing this required report was December 1, 2015. Audit Rule 2.2.2 16 (H) NMAC.

Effect:

Since the recommendation form and contract were submitted late, the agreed-upon procedures report was not submitted to the State Auditor by the required due date. By being late the users of the report were not receiving timely information about the results of the agreed-upon procedures and the District was not in compliance with the State Audit Rule.

Cause:

The District became late in acquiring the required Audit/Agreed Upon Procedures services when the IPA selected was unable to perform the services in the previous year. The Board believed they should stay with the individual chosen as the most expedient way to comply with the required reporting.

Recommendation:

The District should be proactive in the selection of their IPA by starting the process of selection earlier in the fiscal year as well as verifying the quality of and ability to perform the needed services are addressed as much as possible.

Management Response:

The District was aware of the Audit Rule requirements and had a long relationship with the IPA. The lack of performance was not anticipated and the District was not prepared to deal with the contracted IPA's inability to perform contracted services and the District concurs with the above recommendation. **Corrective Action Plan:** The Board will file the required IPA recommendation form timely for FY 2018. The board will also contract with a approved IPA for the 2017 Tier Engagement in sufficient time to allow for its completion prior to the 2017 required submittal date.

June 30, 2015

CURRENT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS:

<u>2014-002 - No Capital Asset Inventory Listing and No Board Certification of Inventory at Fiscal Year-End</u> <u>- Material Weakness & Compliance</u>

Condition:

The District did not perform and document a physical inventory of its capital assets for the physical year ended June 30, 2015. For all movable chattels and equipment costing more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) and under the control of the governing authority the description of the asset, date acquired, cost, location, useful life, and ending balance should be recorded on the Districts capital asset inventory. Also the governing body of the District did no certify the correctness of the capital asset inventory after the physical inventory was performed. As reports for fiscal years 2014 through 2016 were all prepared at the same time no progress is reflected towards implementing the prior year corrective action plan.

Criteria:

Section 12-6-10 (A) NMSA 1978 STATES: The governing authority of each agency shall, at the end of each fiscal year, conduct a physical inventory of movable chattels and equipment costing more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) and under the control of the governing authority. Upon completion, the inventory shall be certified by the governing authority as to correctness. Each agency shall maintain one copy in its files.

Effect:

By not having or maintaining a verifiable capital asset inventory the controls over the maintenance and existence of fixed assets have been compromised and District assets are at risk of misuse or fraud.

Cause:

The District was not aware of the requirement to certify a physical inventory or the requirement to maintain the detailed records as required by statute.

Recommendation:

At the end of each fiscal year, the District should perform and document a complete physical inventory of its capital assets. For each capital asset, the description of the asset, date acquired, cost, location, useful life, and ending balance of its capital assets should be recorded on the District's capital asset listing. The District should develop and implement written policies and procedures to perform the capital asset inventory on an annual basis, and record and account for its capital assets in accordance with state laws and regulations.

Management Response:

The District now understands the requirement for a complete physical inventory. **Corrective Action Plan:** The District manager will perform and document a complete physical inventory of capital assets for the June 30, 2017 fiscal year which will include the required data. After the inventory is performed, the inventory listing will be certified as to correctness and signed by the Board.

June 30, 2015

CURRENT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS:

2014-003 - Approved Budget by DFA-LGD was Not Made Part of the Minutes - Compliance Condition:

The district minutes do not provide the required approval of the final budget by DFA-LGD and no final acceptance letter from DFA-LGD was available. As reports for fiscal years 2014 through 2016 were all prepared at the same time no progress is reflected towards implementing the prior year corrective action plan.

Criteria:

Section 6-6-5 NMSA 1978 requires that budgets approved by DFA-LGD be made part of the minutes of the governing body.

Effect:

The District has not complied with state statute and it is not clear if the final budget was approved from the information required to be provided to all interested individuals.

Cause:

The District was not aware that the final budget approval was required to be part of the minutes.

Recommendation:

The District should record all approval letters from DFA in the minutes as received.

Management Response:

The District understands and agrees with the above recommendation.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> The Board will insure that the final budget for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018 will be approved by DFA-LGD and will be will be made part of the minutes as required.

June 30, 2015

CURRENT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS:

2014-004 - Required Payroll Tax Deposit Was Not Made - Material Weakness

Condition:

Various errors and omissions were noted in the preparation of required payroll tax reports. The required payroll tax deposit for December 2014 was not made and the January 2015 deposit recorded as being made "on line" never cleared the bank. The March 2015 deposit was made December 30, 2015 and the entire second quarter 2015 payroll tax deposits were not made during the fiscal year. As reports for fiscal years 2014 through 2016 were all prepared at the same time no progress is reflected towards implementing the prior year corrective action plan.

Criteria:

The Internal Revenue Service and Federal Tax law requires that all deductions from gross payroll associated with Federal Withholding, Social Security and Medicare taxes and any required employer matching funds be timely remitted to the Internal revenue service.

Generally accepted accounting principles require internal control procedures to provide that errors or omissions will have a high probability of being detected.

Effect:

The required deposit of amounts withheld are a fiduciary responsibility and requirement of the District. The Internal Revenue Service will impose penalties and related interest to all unpaid amounts as well as a potential civil penalty if payment is not made that can become a personal liability of those charged with governance.

Cause:

The District office manager did not perform and/or understand the payroll tax reporting, related deposit requirements and associated penalty and interest assessments imposed by law. These omissions were not noticed by the board due to deficiencies in internal control and the normal time delay from the Internal Revenue Service in sending deficiency response letters.

Recommendation:

It is important for anyone who is in the Office Manager position be aware or the related recording and reporting requirements. Adequate training should be provided and when required reports are prepared their preparation or resulting obligations should be documented and evidenced in the minutes of the board to the point that expended funds be included in the approval of payables.

Management Response:

The board agrees with the above recommendation.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> The District Board will provide a review policy to be drafted during FYE 2018 to insure that required payroll tax reports are filed, all payments verified by the office manager and be reflected in the minutes each quarter.

June 30, 2015

CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS:

2015-001 - Bank Reconciliations not performed timely - Material Weakness

Condition:

The District bank reconciliations for October 2014 through April 2015 were not performed until August 2015 and the reconciliations for May and June 2015 were prepared in December of 2015. As reports for fiscal years 2014 through 2016 were all prepared at the same time no progress is reflected towards implementing the prior year corrective action plan.

Criteria:

Section 6-10-2 NMSA 1978 states every public official or agency of this state that receives or disburses public money to maintain a cash record in which is entered daily, in detail, all items of receipts and disbursements of public money. The cash record shall be balanced daily so as to show the balance on hand at the close of each day's business and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and good internal control over financial assets require that bank accounts to be reconciled each month in a timely manner.

Effect:

A key element of internal control over cash is proper and current bank reconciliations. Bank accounts that are unreconciled or improperly reconciled are subject to undetected error, misuse of agency funds as well as possible fraud.

Cause:

The District had no measures in place to monitor the reconciliation of bank accounts and the office manager simply stopped performing the required reconciliations.

Recommendation:

The board should be made aware of the importance of bank reconciliations and explore a way to have the reconciliations be generated by someone other than the Office Manager who records and prepares all bank transactions.

All bank statements should be reconciled within 5 days of receipt of the bank statements and their completion be made part of the financial report.

Management Response:

The District became aware of the lack of reconciliations and other filing requirements during the fiscal 2016 when the District office manager resigned. It is the belief of the board that this issue has been addressed with the new office manager.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> The board will assign a person to participate in the reconciliation of bank statements. This corrective plan will be put into place by September 1, 2018.

June 30, 2015

CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS:

<u>2015-002 - Lack of Supporting Documentation and Related Party Transaction - Significant Deficiency Condition:</u>

A sample of 31 expense transactions was chosen to test for proper authorization, classification as well as having adequate supporting documentation and agreement with posting to the general ledger. This sample tested 68% of all district expenses excluding payroll and one transaction of \$1,440 was a purchase from a business owned by a director of the District and did not have supporting documentation. As reports for fiscal years 2014 through 2016 were all prepared at the same time no progress is reflected towards implementing the prior year corrective action plan.

Criteria:

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require that transactions be recorded properly, adequately supported and the resulting financial information be accurate and meaningful to the statement reader. Section 6-6-3 NMSA 1978 states every local public body shall keep all the books, records and accounts in their respective offices in the form prescribed by DFA-LGD. Section 10-16-7 NMSA 1978 discusses contracts and purchases involving public officers or employees but does allow exceptions for sole source or small purchases.

Effect:

This recorded expense cannot be supported by source documentation. There is a indication of a lack of internal control over cash disbursements that can result in misuse of funds. The fact that this expenditure is to a business that is owned by a Director of the District causes other considerations of related party transactions. A related party can exert undue influence over the District or its management without compensating controls and there is often no independent review or approval of transactions involving a related party.

Cause:

The Office Manager believes this invoice is simply misplaced or perhaps never provided. All other invoices were located and with the changes in the Office Manager position being misfiled is a possibility as well as never receiving the invoice from the Director Vendor.

Recommendation:

The District support the current office manager in obtaining adequate training in office filing and management systems and controls that might include outside assistance and adequate documentation. That any dealings with this Director owned business be approved by the board to insure a arms length transaction.

Management Response:

The Board also understands that appearance is important and note that there were only two transactions all year with the Director owned business.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> The Board will specifically discuss and approve in the minutes all expenditures beginning in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018. The District will work with the Office Manager to develop and maintain a adequate filing system during the year ending June 30, 2018.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Vermejo Conservancy District Schedule of Findings and Responses

June 30, 2015

CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS:

2015-003 - Revenue transaction not supported by proper documentation - Significant Deficiency Condition:

A sample of 75 transactions were selected to test for proper classification, amount, timely entered, supporting documentation and traced to the general ledger. This sample represented 44% of total sales as recorded. One transaction of \$185.52 was found in which supporting documents for a water delivery charge dated May 29, 2015 could not be located. As reports for fiscal years 2014 through 2016 were all prepared at the same time no progress

Criteria:

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require that transactions be recorded properly, adequately supported and the resulting financial information be accurate and meaningful to the statement user.

Effect:

The lack of supporting documentations indicates a internal control issue in which a misstatement of financial information may not or will not be detected. This condition can contribute to misleading financial information, misuse of funds and potential fraud.

Cause:

The District office manager was not performing the job requirements as required. Some water delivery charges have been misplaced.

Recommendation:

The District board should develop a job description for the office manager, require the general manager to report to the board about the quality and job performance of all employees of the District. The filing system should be reviewed and if necessary have outside assistance to insure that all records are maintained and available as required by good record keeping policies.

Management Response:

The District office manager resigned in fiscal year 2016. The directors become aware of the shortcomings of the office filing system and reporting requirements after she left and are currently working with the current office manager to correct the problem.

<u>Corrective Action Plan:</u> The Directors and field manager will work with the new office manager to develop a strong filing system and improve internal control. This corrective action plan will be put in place by the board in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
Vermejo Conservancy District
Exit Conference
June 30, 2015

FINANCIAL STATEMENT PREPARATION

The accompanying financial information was prepared by Charles L. Henry CPA. However, the contents remain the responsibility of the District.

EXIT CONFERENCE

On June 14, 2017 an exit conference was held in the offices of Vermejo Conservancy District . In attendance was Karl Shubert- current District President, Roger Kuchan - current Board Member, Joe Hronich the current District General Manager as well as Tammy Brandfas current Office Manager and Charles Henry CPA