# STATE OF NEW MEXICO NORTH RANCHOS DE PLACITAS WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UP PROCEDURES

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO NORTH RANCHOS DE PLACITAS WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introductory Section	<u>Page</u>
OFFICIAL ROSTER	2
FINANCIAL SECTION	
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UP PROCEDURES	3
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenses Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis)	6
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	7
OTHER DISCLOSURES	8



## STATE OF NEW MEXICO NORTH RANCHOS DE PLACITAS WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT

## OFFICIAL ROSTER JUNE 30, 2010

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

NameTitleTrey YoungPresidentEmil SchukarTreasurerRob OakesSecretary

Brent Burdick Director

Don Lewis Director

#### **ADMINISTRATION**

Jeanne Burnley Bookkeeper

### Gwen K. Farner

**CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT** 

P.O. Box 3076 Corrales, NM 87048 (505) 922-0300, Fax (505) 922-0325 QFarner@comcast.net

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Honorable Hector H. Balderas New Mexico State Auditor and Members of the Board North Ranchos de Placitas Water and Sanitation District Placitas, New Mexico

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the State of New Mexico North Ranchos de Placitas Water and Sanitation District (the District), solely to assist the District with respect to the District's cash and capital assets as June 30, 2010, and the District's revenue, expenditures, and budget for the year ended June 30, 2010. The District's management is responsible for the company's accounting records and financial information. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this repost has been requested or for any other purpose.

We were engaged to perform the following agreed-upon procedures for the time period July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010:

#### 1. Cash

- a. We obtained copies of all bank reconciliations as of June 30, 2010. We mathematically checked the bank reconciliations and compared the resultant cash balance per book to the respective general ledger account balance.
- b. We traced reconciled items reflected on the July 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 bank statement to determine that they were cleared. We traced deposits in transit per the bank reconciliation to deposits in the cutoff bank statement, and inspected selected canceled image checks returned with the cutoff bank statement. We traced checks dated before the balance sheet date to the list of outstanding checks, and inspected the dates that checks cleared the bank.
- c. Monthly bank reconciliation reports were tested for accuracy and bank reconciliations were being performed in a timely manner.
- d. We determined whether the local public body's financial institutions had provided it with 50% of pledged collateral on all uninsured deposits as required by Section 6-10-17 NMSA 1978, NM Public Money Act. This procedure is not applicable to the District because the bank account balance was less than \$250,000, the amount covered by FDIC.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 2. CAPITAL ASSETS

- a. We obtained a copy of the summary listing of inventory of assets, and compared it to the general ledger, and then compared it with prior period actual capital asset list, and reconciled any differences to current year additions and retirements.
- b. We performed an analytical review by examining invoices for significant repairs and maintenance expenditures, and determined if the expenditures contained significant components that should be capitalized as current year additions to property or equipment.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 3. REVENUE

- a. We obtained monthly water revenue billing reports and tied the totals to the general ledger.
- b. We performed an analytical review by testing actual revenue compared to budgeted revenue for the year for each type of revenue.
- c. We examined 1,348 transactions out of 2,332 water bills, representing \$42,945 revenue amounts out of \$73,642 total:
  - We examined each homeowner's water usage and compared that to the water billing amount for accuracy.
  - We traced the amount of revenue recorded in the general ledger to ensure those amounts match with supporting documents as well as checking bank statements for proper posting.
- d. We reviewed the general ledger account to ensure postings were properly classified, amounts were correct, and were recorded in the proper period with supporting documentation.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 4. EXPENDITURES

- a. We selected a sample 30 cash disbursements transactions from cash disbursement ledgers for the period July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010, representing 50% of the total amount of expenditures of the population and tested them.
- b. We traced cash disbursement transactions to vendor invoices.
- c. We determined that all transactions were recorded in the proper period. Any un-clearing to the bank in the same accounting period as they were initialed were properly reflected as reconciling items on bank reconciliations.
- d. We determined the amount recorded as disbursed agrees to adequate supporting documentation. We verified amount, payee, date and description agreed to the vendor's invoice, purchase order, contract and canceled checks, as appropriate.
- e. We determined the disbursements were properly authorized and approved in compliance with the budget, legal requirements and established policies and procedures.
- f. We determined the bid process (request for proposal process if applicable), purchase orders, contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Procurement Code (Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-199 NMSA 1978) and State Purchasing Regulations (1.4. NMAC) and Regulations Governing the Per Diem and Mileage Act (2.42.2 NMAC).

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 5. JOURNAL ENTRIES

- a. We obtained monthly general ledger for the period July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010. We scanned for non-routine journal entries, such as adjustments or reclassifications that were posted to the general ledger.
- b. We determined journal entries appear reasonable and have supporting documentation.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### 6. BUDGET

- a. We obtained the District's original fiscal year July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010 annual budget approved by the Governing Body.
- b. We verified by reviewing minutes of meetings and correspondence, that the District did not make any changes to the approved final budget.
- c. We determined the total actual expenditures did not exceed the original budget for each fund.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the District's cash and capital assets as June 30, 2010 and the District's revenue, expenditures, and budget for the year ended June 30, 2010. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the District, the audit committee, the State Auditor, the New Mexico Legislature, and applicable federal grantors, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Gwen K. Farner, CPA Corrales, New Mexico September 28, 2010

human b. form



# STATE OF NEW MEXICO NORTH RANCHOS DE PLACITAS WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

	Budgeted amount				Actual on Budgetary		Variance Favorable	
	Original		Final		Basis		(unfavorable)	
Operating revenues:								
Charge for services & fees	\$	75,000	\$	75,000	\$	70,252	\$	(4,748)
Gross receipt tax	Ψ	3,500	Ψ	3,500	Ψ	3,390	Ψ	(110)
Total operating revenues		78,500		78,500		73,642		(4,858)
rotal operating revenues		70,000		70,000		73,042		(4,000)
Operating expenses:								
Legal professional		14,000		14,000		5,211		8,789
Repairs and maintenance		17,500		42,500		17,280		25,220
Operating contract		12,000		12,000		10,928		1,072
Office and administrative expenses		8,000		8,000		8,442		(442)
Insurance		5,000		5,000		5,024		(24)
Taxes and fees		4,500		4,500		3,779		721
Utilities		7,000		7,000		7,139		(139)
Total operating expenses		68,000		93,000		57,803		35,197
Non-operating revenues/(expenses):								
Investment income		4,500		4,500		2,767		(1,733)
Property tax revenue		20,000		20,000		22,724		2,724
Capital outlay		(35,000)		(10,000)		,		10,000
Total non-operating revenues/(expenses)		(10,500)		14,500		25,491		10,991
Net income/(loss)	\$		\$			41,330	\$	41,330
Reconciliation to GAAP Basis:								
Depreciation						(19,581)		
Amortization						(218)		
Change in net assets-GAAP basis					\$	21,531		
Change in not assets Chin basis					Ψ	21,001		

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO NORTH RANCHOS DE PLACITAS WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

A.	Prior year findings:
	None
B.	Current year findings:
	None

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO NORTH RANCHOS DE PLACITAS WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT OTHER DISCLOSURES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

#### **EXIT CONFERENCE**

This report was discussed with the following individuals at an exit conference held on Tuesday, September 28, 2010.

North Ranchos de Placitas Water and Sanitation District

Trey Young, President

Emil Schukar, Treasurer

Jeanne Burnley, Bookkeeper

**Audit Firm** 

Gwen K Farner, CPA