Leasburg Mutual Domestic Water Association

Independent Auditors' Report On Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures for the Year Ended December 31, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
OFFICIAL ROSTER	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	2
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL	6
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	7
EXIT CONFERENCE	8

OFFICIAL ROSTER DECEMBER 31, 2013

Board of Directors

Tom Austin President

James Zabriskie Vice President

Mary Anne Owen Secretary/Treasurer

John McGaw Director

Esther Armijo Director



416 North Stanton Suite 600 El Paso, Tx 7990 I ph. 915_532_8400 fax_ 915_532_8405 www.cpawsc.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Hector H. Balderas, State Auditor and the Board of Directors of the Leasburg Mutual Domestic Water Association Organ, New Mexico

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by Leasburg Mutual Domestic Water Association, (the Association), for the year ended December 31, 2013. The Association was determined to be a Tier 4 entity under the Audit Act, Section 12-6-3 B (4) NMSA 1978 and Section 2.2.2.16 NMAC. The procedures were agreed to by the Association through the Office of the New Mexico State Auditor. Leasburg Mutual Domestic Water Association's management is responsible for the Association's accounting records. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1. Cash

Procedures

- a) Determine whether bank reconciliations are being performed in a timely manner and whether all bank and investment statements for the fiscal year are complete and on-hand.
- b) Perform a random test of bank reconciliations for accuracy. Also, trace ending balances to the general ledger, supporting documentation and the financial reports submitted to DFA-Local Government Division.
- c) Determine whether the local public body's financial institutions have provided it with the 50% of pledged collateral on all uninsured deposits as required by Section 6-10-17 NMSA 1978, NM Public Money Act, if applicable.

Observation

- All bank and investment reconciliations are performed on a timely basis and all were complete and onhand.
- b) All bank reconciliations tested did not reveal any exceptions.
- Bank account balances did not exceed the uninsured limits during the year ended December 31, 2013 and therefore, pledged collateral was not required for any bank account.

2. Capital Assets

Procedures

Verify that the local public body is performing a yearly inventory as required by Section 12-6-10 NMSA 1978.

Observation

Leasburg Mutual Domestic Water Association has a detail of capital assets and performed an inventory of capital assets for the year ended December 31, 2013.

3. Revenue

Procedures

Identify the nature and amount of revenue from sources by reviewing the budget, agreements, rate schedules, and underlying documentation.

a) Perform an analytical review; test actual revenue compared to budgeted revenue for the year for each type of revenue.

Select a sample of revenues based on auditor judgment and test using the following attributes:

- a) Amount recorded in the general ledger agrees to the supporting documentation and the bank statement.
- b) Proper recording of classification, amount, and period per review of supporting documentation and the general ledger. Perform this revenue work on the same accounting basis that the local public body keeps its accounting records on, cash basis, modified accrual basis, or accrual basis.

Observation

- a) Analytical review and test of actual revenue compared to budgeted revenue for the year for each type of revenue revealed an under budget of total revenues at the end of the year as the result of higher water usage.
- b) Amounts recorded in the general ledger agreed with supporting documentation and the bank statements.
- c) Amounts were properly recorded on a cash basis as to classification, amount and period per review of supporting documentation.

4. Expenditures

Procedures

Select a sample of cash disbursements based on auditor judgment and test using the following attributes:

- a) Determine that amount recorded as disbursed agrees to adequate supporting documentation. Verify that amount, payee, date and description agree to the vendor's invoice, purchase order, contract and cancelled check, as appropriate.
- b) Determine that disbursements were properly authorized and approved in compliance with the budget, legal requirements and established policies and procedures.

c) Determine that the bid process (or request for proposal process if applicable), purchase orders, contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Procurement Code (Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-99 NMSA 1978) and State Purchasing Regulations (1.4.1 NMAC) and Regulations Governing the Per Diem and Mileage Act (2.42.2 NMAC).

Observation

- a) The amounts recorded as disbursed agreed to supporting documentation: amount paid, payee, date and description agreed with the vendor's invoice, purchase order, contract and cancelled check, as appropriate.
- b) The bid process (or request for proposal process, if applicable), purchase orders, contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Procurement Code (Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-99 NMSA 1978) and State Purchasing Regulations (1.4.1 NMAC) and Regulations Governing the Per Diem and Mileage Act (2.42.2 NMAC).

5. Journal Entries

Procedures

If non-routine journal entries, such as adjustments or reclassifications, are posted to the general ledger, test significant items for the following attributes:

- a) Journal entries appear reasonable and have supporting documentation.
- b) The local public body has procedures that require journal entries to be reviewed and there is evidence the reviews are being performed.

Observation

- a) Journal entries appear reasonable and have supporting documentation.
- b) Leasburg Mutual Domestic Water Association has a review process for journal entries and the journal entries tested have evidence of review.

6. Budget

Procedures

Obtain the original fiscal year budget and all budget amendments made throughout the fiscal year and perform the following:

a) Verify, through a review of the minutes and correspondence, that the original budget and subsequent budget adjustments were approved by the local public body's governing body and DFA-LGD.

- Determine if the total actual expenditures exceeded the final budget at the legal level of budgetary b) control; if so, report a compliance finding.
- From the original and final approved budgets and general ledger, prepare a schedule of revenues c) and expenditures – budget and actual on the budgetary basis used by the local public body (cash, accrual or modified accrual basis) for each individual fund.

Observation

- A review of Board minutes indicated that the budget was approved by the Board and by DFA. a)
- Total actual expenditures did not exceed the final budget approved for the Association. b)
- A schedule of revenues and expenses budget and actual was prepared from the Association c) records on the cash budgetary basis.

7. Other

Procedures

If information comes to the IPA's attention (regardless of materiality) indicating any fraud, illegal acts, noncompliance, or any internal control deficiencies, such instances must be disclosed in the report as required by Section, 12-6-6 NMSA 1978. The findings must include the required content per Section 2.2.2.10 (I) (3) (C) NMAC.

Observation

No indication of any fraud, illegal acts, noncompliance, or any internal control deficiencies were noted during the agreed-upon procedures testwork.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accounting records. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Leasburg Mutual Domestic Water Association, the New Mexico State Auditor's Office and the DFA-LGD and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

El Paso, Texas

February 20, 2014

White + Samaniege + Campbell, UP

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BUDGET AND ACTUAL (CASH BASIS) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual on Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget Favorable (Unfavorable)
Operating Income				
Water revenue	\$ 128,530	\$ 128,530	\$ 137,187	\$ 8,657
Other income	3,730	3,730	4,303	573
Total operating income	132,260	132,260	141,490	9,230
Operating Expenses				
Accounting/Legal	37,500	37,500	36,495	1,005
Interest expense	15,500	15,500	15,568	(68)
Depreciation	100,000	100,000	104,985	(4,985)
Office expenses	3,710	3,960	4,716	756
System supplies and maintenance	21,250	21,000	11,228	9,772
Salaries/contractors	26,000	26,000	25,324	676
Taxes and insurance	10,000	10,000	9,981	19
Utilities	17,400	17,400	15,891	(1,509)
Total operating expenses	231,360	231,360	224,188	7,172
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(99,100)	(99,100)	(82,698)	16,402
Nonoperating revenues				
Grants	350,000	350,000	360,242	10,242
Interest	250	250	456	206
Total nonoperating revenues	350,250	350,250	360,698	10,448
Revenues over (under) expenses	\$ 251,150	\$ 251.150	\$ 278.000	\$ 26.850

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND RESPONSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

No findings

EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

The report contents were discussed at an exit conference held on February 20, 2014 with the following individuals in attendance:

Leasburg Mutual Domestic Water Association

Tom Austin

President

Doug Joens

Accountant

White + Samaniego + Campbell, LLP

Roxie Samaniego

Partner