

HINKLE + LANDERS

Certified Public Accountants + Business Consultants

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

Independent Auditor's Report and Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INFORMATIONAL SECTION	Page
Table of Contents	i
Official Roster	1
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent auditor's report	2 -4
Management's Discussion and Analysis	5-9
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-Wide Statements:	
Statement of net position (deficit) - governmental activities	10
Statement of activities - governmental activities	11
Fund Financial Statements:	
Governmental Funds	
Balance sheet - gov ernmental funds	12
Statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund	
balances (deficits) - governmental funds	13
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes fund	
balances – budget and actual (modified accrual budgetary	
basis) – major governmental funds – General Fund	14
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes fund balances – budget and actual (modified accrual budgetary	
basis) – major governmental funds – special revenue funds -	
Alternative Dispute Resolution Fund.	15
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes fund	13
balances – budget and actual (modified accrual budgetary	
basis) – major governmental funds – special revenue funds -	
Dom estic Relations Fund	16
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes fund	
balances – budget and actual (modified accrual budgetary	
basis) - major governmental funds - special revenue funds -	
Adult Drug Court Fund	17
Fiduciary Funds	
Statement of fiduciary assets and liabilities - agency funds	18
Notes to Financial Statements	19-37
OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (Audited):	
Schedule of changes in assets and liabilities – agency funds	38
Schedule of deposits and investments	39-40
Schedule of pledged collateral by bank and account	41
Schedule of interagency transfers	42
OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (Unaudited):	
Schedule of vendor information	43
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent auditor's report on internal control over financial	
reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an	
audit of financial statements performed in accordance with	
government auditing standards	44-45
Schedule of findings and responses	46-47
Exit conference	48

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

Independent Auditor's Report and Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

OFFICIAL ROSTER June 30, 2015

Judges

Honorable James L. Sanchez	Division I
Honorable George P. Eichwald	Division II
Honorable Allen R. Smith	Division III
Honorable Pete G. Rael	Division IV
Honorable Louis P. McDonald	Division V
Honorable Cindy M. Mercer	Division VI
Honorable John F. Davis	Division VII
Honorable Cheryl H. Johnston	Division VIII

Administrative Officials

Mr. Karl W Reifsteck Court Executive Officer Mr. Christopher Wolf Court Financial Manager



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Honorable Louis P. McDonald, Presiding Judge State of New Mexico Thirteenth Judicial District Court and Mr. Timothy Keller, New Mexico State Auditor Office of the State Auditor Santa Fe, New Mexico

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, The aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons for The general fund and major special revenue funds of the Thirteenth Judicial District Court of The State of New Mexico (The Court) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the agency's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

2500 9th St. NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102

Telephone: 505.883.8788

www.HL-cpas.com

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and The aggregate remaining fund information of the Court, as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position each thereof and the budgetary comparisons for The general fund and major special revenue funds for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis as identified in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the Court's financial statements and the budgetary comparisons. The "Other Supplemental Information" as identified in the table of contents required and presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The additional schedules, listed as "Other Supplemental Information (Audited)" in the table of contents, required by Section 2.2.2 NMAC, are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the additional schedules are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The Schedule of Vendor Information, listed as "Other Supplemental Information (Unaudited)" in the table of contents, has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 23, 2015 on our consideration of the Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over

November 23, 2015 | Independent Auditor's Report, continued

financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Court's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Hinkle + Landers, PC

inkle & Zandeus, P.C.

Albuquerque, NM

November 23, 2015,

The following is a summary overview of the Thirteenth Judicial District Court's previous year of operation, including financial information, internal structure, management structure and the financial issues that have impacted this agency in fiscal year 2015. An outline of this agency's approach is to ensure achievement of its future goals and implementation of new projects and improvements.

<u>History</u>

The Thirteenth Judicial District Court (Court) operates under Section 34-6-1, 34-6-2, and 34-6-16 through 34-6-46, NMSA 1978 Compilation. The Court covers Valencia, Sandoval, and Cibola Counties. The Court is comprised of seven divisions as authorized in the above sections. The Court is the State Court of general jurisdiction and is authorized to hear and determine all civil and criminal cases which are not specifically exempted from its jurisdiction. Financing of the Court is by state appropriation.

This management's discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Thirteenth Judicial District Court's basic financial statements. The District Court's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: (1) government-wide financial statements, (2) fund financial statements and (3) notes to the financial statements. In addition to the basic financial statements, the report contains other supplementary information.

Internal Funds

The revenue for the Thirteenth Judicial District Court is divided into four (4) funds, which are Fund 15300, Fund 88000, Fund 08300, and Fund 11600.

Fund 15300 is designated as the Court's General Fund and is utilized for general operating costs. Fund 88000 and Fund 08300 are restricted for use for the purpose of Alternative Dispute Resolution and Mediation. Fund 11600 is used for direct federal funding.

Net Position

The Thirteenth Judicial District Court reported balances in three net position categories for fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. These categories are Invested Capital Assets, Restricted Assets and Unrestricted Assets. State statute regarding restricted net assets is required to be utilized for mediation and arbitration programs that target domestic relations cases. Other restricted assets include gifts and grants from the Office of the State Attorney General, Valencia County, Sandoval County, and Presbyterian Medical Services for operation of the Court's pre-trial services programs per MOU and operation of the Court's foreclosure settlement program. Unrestricted Net Position are negative due to the government's policy to fund compensated absences payable out of current resources as they become due.

Total assets decreased due largely to a decrease in cash attributable to the court utilizing fund balance from the prior year to operate the foreclosure settlement project. The decrease in the liabilities is largely attributable to the court having fewer end of year purchases as well as increased turnaround time from DFA on end of year expenditures.

Analysis of District Court's Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations (Condensed)

_	2015	2014
\$	731,658	910,099
	175,368	208,832
=	907,026	1,118,931
\$	573,864	637,336
	68,057	65,358
_	641,921	702,694
	175,368	208,832
	(390,287)	(376,790)
	480,024	584,195
	265,105	416,237
\$ _	907,026	1,118,931
	\$	\$\begin{array}{c} 731,658 \\ 175,368 \\ 907,026 \end{array}\$ \$\begin{array}{c} 573,864 \\ 68,057 \\ 641,921 \end{array}\$ \$\begin{array}{c} 175,368 \\ (390,287) \\ 480,024 \\ 265,105 \end{array}\$ \end{array}\$

Statement of Activities

Revenues:

The following represents the revenue and expenses for fiscal year 2015. The changes in net position as shown below are the result of expenditures exceeding current year revenues and the utilization of fund balance from the prior year to operate the foreclosure settlement project.

\$ 669,464	574,983
6,981,234	6,444,305
 561,140	937,222
8,211,838	7,956,510
\$ -	6,981,234 561,140

Expenses:		
Administrative services	8,298,265	7,546,003
Depreciation	64,704	67,507
Total expenses	8,362,969	7,613,510
Increase (decrease) in net position	\$ (151,131)	343,000

Expenditures

The Department of Finance and Administration designates three (3) categories for expenditures which are utilized by this agency. These categories are Category 200-Personal Services and Benefits, Category 300-Contractual Services and Category 400-Other Costs.

Long Term Debt

This agency had a total long term debt which consists of accumulated leave balances, sick leave balance over 600 hours, and terminal leave eligible employees received upon termination:

					Current
	2014	Increases	Decreases	2015	Portion
Compensated absences payable \$	326,790	335,738	(322,241)	340,287	272,230

Budgetary Comparison

The required budgetary comparison schedules are for the general fund and major special revenue funds for which this agency has a legally adopted annual budget. This is in compliance with the Office of the State Auditor requirements under 2.2.2 NMAC.

The appropriated balance changes for fiscal year 2015 were due to the requested and approved budget adjustment reallocations to different categories as well as budget adjustment allocations from additional funding sources. Budget adjustment requests to the Department of Finance and Administration were approved and funding was applied in other categories that assisted in the operation of the Court.

Departmental Overview

Court Regular - This department accounts for the vast majority of the general operating expenditures of the Court such as general contracts, supplies and IT equipment, training of Court personnel, etc. The Court also operates its CASA (Court Appointed Special Advocates) program and Child Support program out of Court Regular. Court Regular operations are funded via State General Fund Appropriations and Other Financing Sources from the Administrative Office of the Courts for CASA and Other Financing Sources from HSD for Child Support.

Mediation - The Court has established a domestic relations mediation program pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5 NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act. Deposits to the fund include payments made through the imposition of a sliding fee scale pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5, NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act and the collection of the surcharge provided for in Section 6 (40-12-5 NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Act. This fund is a major special revenue fund and is non-reverting.

Alternative Dispute Resolution – The Court has established an alternative dispute resolution program pursuant to Section 34-6-45, NMSA, 1978 Comp. The purpose of the program is to resolve disputes through alternative means, including, but not limited to, arbitration, mediation, and settlement mediation. To finance the cost of the program, the Court charges a \$15 fee on all new and reopened cases. In accordance with Section 34-6-44, NMSA, 1978 Comp., the fee is deposited into the alternative dispute resolution fund. The fund is a major special revenue fund and is non-reverting.

Problem Solving Courts – The Court operates seven specialty Courts. These include juvenile drug Courts in Sandoval, Valencia, and Cibola Counties as well as adult drug Courts in Sandoval and Valencia County, a family drug Court in Cibola County, and a mental health Court in Sandoval County. These specialty Courts are funded via a combination of State General Fund Appropriations and Other Financing Sources provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Specialty Courts are a viable alternative to incarceration and they allow the participant to remain in the community while securing substance abuse treatment and counseling as well as regular drug testing.

Pre-trial Services – The Court operates a pre-trial services program in both Sandoval and Valencia Counties. These programs receive no state funding at this time and are funded as per contracts with Sandoval County, Valencia County, and Presbyterian Medical Services.

Pre-trial services are important in that they collect and analyze defendant information for use in determining risk, make recommendations to the Court concerning conditions of release, and supervise defendants who are released from secure custody during the pretrial phase. Pre-trial services programs save tax payers money in that, low risk defendants can be released from costly incarceration while still being supervised and monitored by the Court through trial.

Foreclosure Settlement Project (FSP) – The Court has been piloting the FSP in both Sandoval and Valencia Counties. This program currently receives no state funding; however, the Court is seeking state funding for this program in its fiscal year 2017 appropriation request. FSP was initially funded by Attorney General Settlement funds which have been exhausted. Failure to receive state funding would likely result in dissolving the program going forward. The cost of the project is roughly \$216,000 annually.

The project focusses on residential foreclosures and requires lenders and participating homeowners to cooperate on the full range of settlement options before Court hearings. Rio Rancho continues to have a very high rate of default, with overall foreclosures continuing to rise in both Sandoval and Valencia counties.

Management Decisions

The Chief Judge is consulted in all major decisions, issues and resolutions. Meetings are also held with the participation of the other judges for involvement, understanding and agreement regarding issues of particular interest to this agency; judges meetings are held monthly to address key Court issues.

There is also communication between the supervisors and the Court Executive Officer on either financial and/or human resource matters that involve subordinates.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget

The agency continued to operate with a limited budget during fiscal year 2015. Fiscal Year 2016 Operating Budget reflects a slight increase in general funding. As the economic conditions become optimistic, this agency has prepared to meet the operating needs by reviewing its budget for any and all possible sources.

Going forward, there is some concern as to continued funding of the pre-trial services programs. As was noted, these programs are not currently funded with state monies and funding has to be addressed annually with Valencia and Sandoval Counties and Presbyterian Medical Services. Should the Court lose these sources of funding, it is likely that these important programs would be dissolved. It should be noted that Presbyterian Medical Services is no longer able to provide funding as of fiscal year 2016 and going forward.

Further, as noted above, the FSP currently does not receive any state funding. The funding source for the FSP has dried up and the Court is requesting state funding in its fiscal year 2017 appropriation request to maintain operations of this project. Without additional state funding, it is likely that the project will be dissolved.

The purpose of this financial report is to provide the general public and other interested parties with a general overview of the Thirteenth Judicial District Court's finances and accountability of funds. Questions or concerns resulting from the review of this report should be addressed to the Thirteenth Judicial District Court, Administration Department, PO Box 1089, Los Lunas, New Mexico 87031.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION As of June 30, 2015

	Go	overnmental Activities
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
State General Fund Investment Pool	\$	722,273
Due from other governmental agencies		9,385
Total current assets		731,658
Capital assets, net		175,368
Total assets	\$	907,026
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	110,278
Receipts held in suspense		823
Due to the state general fund		7,666
Accrued salaries and employee benefits		182,867
Compensated absences payable - expected to be paid within one year		272,230
Total current liabilities		573,864
Non-current liabilities		
Compensated absences payable - expected to be paid after one year		68,057
Total liabilities		641,921
NET POSITION (DEFICIT)		
Investment in capital assets		175,368
Unrestricted		(390,287)
Total unrestricted net position (deficit)		(214,919)
Restricted for:		
Grants		293,169
Alternative Dispute Resolution		116,675
Domestic Relations Mediation		70,180
Total restricted net position		480,024
Total net position		265,105
Total liabilities and net position	\$	907,026

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

	G	overnmental Activities
EXPENSES		
General government		
Administrative services	\$	8,298,265
Depreciation expense		64,704
Total program expenses		8,362,969
REVENUES		
Program revenues		
Court fees		93,595
Charges for services		28,027
Operating grants and contracts		547,842
Total program revenues		669,464
Net program (expenses)/revenue and changes in net position		(7,693,505)
General revenues		
Transfers:		
State General Fund appropriation FY 15		6,988,900
Reversions to State General Fund		(7,666)
Total net transfers		6,981,234
Other financial sources (uses)		561,140
Gain (loss) on disposition of capital asset		-
Total net general revenue and other financial sources (uses)	_	7,542,374
Change in net position		(151,131)
Net position, beginning		416,236
Net position, ending	\$	265,105

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS As of June 30, 2015

ACCEPTEG		(15300) General	(88000) Alternative Dispute Resolution	(08300) Domestic Relations Mediation	(11660) Adult Drug Court	Total Govern- mental
ASSETS	φ-	Fund	<u>Fund</u>	<u>Fund</u>	Fund	Funds
	\$	530,516	121,577	70,180	-	722,273
Due from other governmental agencies Due from other funds		6,650	-	-	2,735	9,385
Total assets	\$	679 537,845	101 577	70,180	9.705	679
Total assets	φ=	53/,045	121,577		2,735	732,337
LIABILITIES						
Due to other funds (cash overdraft)	\$	_	_	_	679	679
Accounts payable	т	103,895	4,902	_	1,481	110,278
Accrued salaries and employee benefits		182,867	-	_	-	182,867
Receipts held in suspense		248	_	_	575	823
Due to state general fund		7,666	-	-	-	7,666
Current liabilities	_	294,676	4,902		2,735	302,313
Restricted: Dispute and mediation programs and grants Unassigned: Deficit fund balance Total fund balance Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	293,169 (50,000) 243,169 537,845	116,675 - 116,675 121,577	70,180 - 70,180 - 70,180	- - - 2,735	480,024 (50,000) 430,024 732,337
Reconciliation of the Governmental F	un	d Balance	Го The Statem	ent of Net Pos	sition	
Total fund balance governmental fu	ınc	ls			\$	430,024
Capital assets (net of depreciation) used in resources and, therefore, are not reported in		0	al activities are	not financial		175,368
Long-term debt reported as accrued comper current period and, therefore, are not report				payable in the		(340,287)
Rounding						
Net position of governmental acti	ivit	ies			\$	265,105

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE (DEFICITS) - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

	(15300) General	(88000) Alternative Dispute Resolution	(08300) Domestic Relations Mediation	(16600) Adult Drug Court	Total Governmental
	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund	Funds
REVENUES					
	\$ 313,120	-	-	74,722	387,842
Other grants	160,000	-	-	-	160,000
Court fees		46,415	47,180	-	93,595
Miscellaneous revenue Total revenues	28,027	46,415	47,180	74,722	28,027 669,464
	501,147	40,415	4/,100	/4,/22	009,404
EXPENDITURES					
Current					
General government:	(=(+ 000				(=(+ 000
Personal services and employee benefits Contractual services	6,764,020 806,215	9-9	47.006	70.700	6,764,020 982,831
Other costs	536,918	55,858	47,036	73,722 1,000	537,918
Capital outlay	31,240	_	_	1,000	31,240
Total expenditures	8,138,393	55,858	47,036	74,722	8,316,009
•	7 0 7070	007 0	177 0	7 177	,, ,
Excess (deficiency) of revenue over (under)					
expenditures	(7,637,246)	(9,443)	144	-	(7,646,545)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
State general fund appropriation	6,988,900	-	-	-	6,988,900
Transfer - Other financing sources	561,140	-	-	-	561,140
Less: Transfer reversion of FY 15	(7,666)				(7,666)
Net other financing sources (uses)	7,542,374				7,542,374
Net change in fund balance	(94,872)	(9,443)	144	_	(104,171)
Fund balance, beginning	338,041	126,118	70,036	-	534,195
	\$ 243,169	116,675	70,180	-	430,024
Reconciliation Of Statement Of Revenues, Governmental Funds To Statement Of Activ		nd Changes In F	und Balances (Of	
Net change fund balance in governmental funds				\$	(104,171)
Capital outlays are reported as expenditures in go In the current period, these amounts are:	vernmental funds.	However, in the st	atement of activit	ies, the cost of	
Depreciation expense					(64,704)
Capital outlay that was included as additions in	capitai assets				31,240
Some items reported in the statement of activities These activities consist of:	do not require the	use of current fina	ancial resources a	nd, therefore,	
(Increase) decrease in compensated absences					(13,497)
Rounding					1
Change in net position - governmental activities				3	(151,131)

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES BUDGET TO ACTUAL (MODIFIED ACCRUAL BUDGETARY BASIS)

GENERAL FUND (15300) For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual Amounts (Budgetary	Variance with Final Budget Favorable
	Original	Final	Basis)	(Unfavorable)
REVENUES				
Federal funds \$	304,000	313,120	313,120	-
Other grants	175,000	175,000	160,000	(15,000)
Miscellaneous revenue	26,000	26,000	28,027	2,027
Total revenues	505,000	514,120	501,147	(12,973)
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
General government				
Personal services	5,648,100	6,888,070	6,764,020	124,050
Contractual services	985,900	821,500	806,215	15,285
Other costs	454,700	579,456	536,918	42,538
Capital outlay	<u> </u>	31,240	31,240	
Total expenditures	7,088,700	8,320,266	8,138,393	181,873
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	(6,583,700)	(7,806,146)	(7,637,246)	168,900
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	(USES)			
State general fund appropriations	6,507,900	6,988,900	6,988,900	-
Interagency transfers in	250,800	561,100	561,140	40
Total Other financing sources	6,758,700	7,550,000	7,550,040	40
Reversion to SGF	-	-	-	-
Reversions to SGF - other			(7,666)	(7,666)
Net interagency transfers and				
financial sources (uses)	6,758,700	7,550,000	7,542,374	(7,626)
Net change in fund balance	175,000	(256,146)	(94,872)	161,274
Fund balance, beginning	338,041	338,041	338,041	
Fund balance, ending		\$	243,169	

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES BUDGET TO ACTUAL (MODIFIED ACCRUAL BUDGETARY BASIS) ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FUND (88000)

For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

				Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget
		Budgeted A	Amounts	(Budgetary	Favorable
		Original	Final	Basis)	(Unfavorable)
REVENUES					
Court fees	\$	96,400	96,400	46,415	(49,985)
Miscellaneous revenue					
Total revenues		96,400	96,400	46,415	(49,985)
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
General government					
Contractual services		94,400	94,400	55,858	38,542
Other costs		2,000	2,000		2,000
Total expenditures		96,400	96,400	55,858	40,542
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over					
(under) expenditures		-	-	(9,443)	(9,443)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (U	SE	S)			
Interfund transfers out		-	-	-	-
Net change in fund balance		_	_	(9,443)	(9,443)
Fund balance, beginning				126,118	
Fund balance, ending			\$	116,675	

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES BUDGET TO ACTUAL (MODIFIED ACCRUAL BUDGETARY BASIS) DOMESTIC RELATIONS MEDIATION FUND (08300)

For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

				Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget
	Budgeted	Amounts	S	(Budgetary	Favorable
	Original	Final	l	Basis)	(Unfavorable)
REVENUES		1			
Court fees	\$ 49,500	49,5	00_	47,180	(2,320)
Total revenues	49,500	49,5	00	47,180	(2,320)
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
General government					
Contractual services	47,500	47,5	00	47,036	464
Other costs	2,000	2,0	00		2,000
Total expenditures	49,500	49,5	00	47,036	2,464
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)					
expenditures	-		-	144	144
Fund balance, beginning				70,036	
Fund balance, ending			\$		

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES BUDGET TO ACTUAL (MODIFIED ACCRUAL BUDGETARY BASIS) ADULT DRUG COURT FUND (11660)

For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Budgeted .	Amounts	Actual Amounts (Budgetary	Variance with Final Budget Favorable
	Original	Final	Basis)	(Unfavorable)
REVENUES	Original	<u> </u>	Dusisy	(Omavorable)
Federal funds	\$ 200,000	452,225	74,722	(377,503)
Total revenues	200,000	452,225	74,722	(377,503)
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
General government				
Contractual services	148,680	415,274	73,722	341,552
Other costs	51,320	36,951	1,000	35,951
Total expenditures	200,000	452,225	74,722	377,503
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over				
(under) expenditures	-	-	-	-
Fund balance, beginning Fund balance, ending		\$	<u>-</u>	

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - AGENCY FUNDS As of June 30, 2015

	_	Agency Fund
ASSETS State Treasurer accounts	\$	30,580
Cash in authorized bank account TOTAL ASSETS	\$	3,160,039
LIABILITIES	=	
Due to other state agencies Due to litigants	\$	30,580 3,160,039
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$_	3,190,619

NATURE OF BUSINESS AND REPORTING ENTITY

The Thirteenth Judicial District Court (Court) operates under Section 34-6-1, 34-6-2 and 34-6-16 through 34-6-46, NMSA 1978 Compilation. The Court covers Valencia, Sandoval, and Cibola Counties. The Court is comprised of six divisions as authorized in the above sections. The Court is the State Court of general jurisdiction and is authorized to hear and determine all civil and criminal cases which are not specifically exempted from its jurisdiction. Financing of the Court is by state appropriation.

The financial reporting entity as defined by GASB Statement 14 and 61 consists of the primary government, organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. This definition of the reporting entity is based primarily on the notion of financial accountability as the "cornerstone of all financial reporting in government."

These financial statements include all funds and activities over which the Court has oversight responsibility. The Court has decision-making authority, the power to designate management, the responsibility to significantly influence operations, and primary accountability for fiscal matters. Additionally, the Court is part of the primary government of the State of New Mexico and its financial data should be included with the financial data of the State, when it issues an audited Comprehensive Annual Financial Report inclusive of all agencies of the primary government.

In evaluating how to define the government, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP and GASB Statement 14 and 61.

The basic, but not the only criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity, is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters.

A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the government and/or its citizens, or whether the activity is conducted within the geographic boundaries of the government and is generally available to its citizens.

A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the Court is able to exercise oversight responsibility. Based on the application of these criteria, there are no component units identified or excluded from the reporting entity.

A. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

1. Basic Financial Statements

The financial statements of the Court have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted (GAAP) in the United States of America as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Account Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted Standard-setting body for establishing governmental Accounting and financial reporting principles. The GASB periodically updates its codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting

Standards, which, along with subsequent GASB pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations), constitutes GAAP for governmental units. The more significant of the Court's accounting policies are described below.

The basic financial statements include both government-wide (based on the Court as a whole) and fund financial statements. The reporting model focus is on either the Court as a whole or major individual fund (within the fund financial statements). Both the government-wide and fund financial statements (within the basic financial statements) categorize primary activities as either governmental or business-type activities. In the government-wide Statement of Net Position, both the governmental and business-type activities columns are presented on a consolidated basis by column, and are reflected on a full accrual, economic resources measurement focus basis, which incorporates long-term assets, deferred outflows and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. The Court did not have any business-type activities during the year ended June 30, 2015.

For its government-wide activities, the Court has elected to apply all applicable GASB pronouncements. The GASB periodically updates its codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards which, along with subsequent GASB pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations), constitutes GAAP for governmental units.

General Revenues (General Fund appropriation, transfers-in from other state agencies, Federal aid, etc.) normally cover the net cost (by function). Historically, the previous model did not summarize or present net cost by function or activity.

Grant revenues are recognized as soon as the eligibility requirements are met.

This government-wide focus is more on the sustainability of the Court as an entity and the change in aggregate financial position resulting from the activities of the fiscal period. The governmental fund statements are presented on a current financial resource and modified accrual basis of accounting. This presentation is deemed appropriate to (a) demonstrate legal compliance, (b) demonstrate the source and use of liquid resources, and (c) demonstrate how the Court's actual experience conforms to the budget or fiscal plan. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements' governmental activities column, a reconciliation is presented on the page following each statement, which briefly explains the adjustments necessary to transform the fund based financial statements into the governmental activities column on the governmental wide presentation.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with specific function or segment. *Program revenues* derive directly from the program itself or from parties outside the reporting government's taxpayers or citizenry as a whole and include operating grants and contracts that are restricted to meeting the operational necessities of a particular compliance grant requirement. The only charges for services are for photocopies and small miscellaneous charges.

2. Basis of Presentation

The financial transactions of the Court are maintained on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, deferred inflows, fund balance, revenues, expenditures and other financing sources or uses.

Government resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. The various funds are summarized by the type and reported by generic classification the accompanying financial statements.

The reporting model, GASB Statement No. 34, sets forth minimum criteria for the determination of major funds based on a percentage of the assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, deferred inflows, revenues or expenditures. The general fund is always considered a major fund. The Court has elected to consider all their special revenue funds as major funds regardless of size. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

In the governmental fund financial statements, per GASB Statement No. 54, fund balances are classified as nonspendable, restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned). Restricted represents those portions of fund balance where constraints placed on the resources are either externally imposed or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Committed fund balance represents amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Legislative and Executive branches of the State. Assigned fund balance is constrained by the Legislature's and Executive Branch's intent to be used for specific purposes or in some cases by legislation. See Note 6 for additional information about fund balances.

The following are the Court's major funds:

General Fund—(15300) The general operating fund of the Court. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in other funds. Any unencumbered balance remaining in the General Fund at the end of the fiscal year reverts to the State of New Mexico, with the exception of what is noted as unencumbered balances presented on the schedule of special, deficiency, specific and capital appropriation located in the other supplementary information section of the audit report, if applicable. All appropriations received in this fund are revertible funds if not expended in the appropriate time frame. Please refer to the schedule of interagency transfers for details of state appropriations and other financing sources.

The Court receives all State of New Mexico appropriations in their General Fund, the Court's additional funds are as follows:

Court Mediation—(08300) The Court has established a domestic relations mediation program pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5 NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act. Deposits to the fund shall include payments made through the imposition of a sliding fee scale pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5, NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act and the collection of the surcharge provided for in Section 6 (40-12-6, NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Act. The fund is a special revenue fund and is non-reverting. For purposes of financial statement presentation, the fund is considered a major fund.

Alternative Dispute Resolution—(88000) The Court has established an alternative dispute resolution program pursuant to Section 34-6-45, NMSA, 1978 Comp. The purpose of the program is to resolve disputes through alternative means, including, but not limited to, arbitration, mediation and settlement mediation. To finance the cost of the program, the Court charges a \$15 fee on all new and reopened cases. In accordance with Section 34-6-44, NMSA, 1978 Comp., the fee is deposited into the alternative dispute resolution fund. The fund is a special revenue fund and is non-reverting. For purposes of financial statement presentation, the fund is considered a major fund.

Adult Drug Court—(11660) The program's mission is to strive to prevent and/or reduce substance abuse problems in Sandoval and Cibola Counties by providing treatment, continuum of care services and development of collaborative efforts within the communities of the counties. This program is in part funded by federal grant monies. This fund was created by and is utilized at the discretion of the Court to track direct federal expenditures related to these programs.

The Court also has fiduciary funds (trust and agency funds) used to account for assets held by the Court in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governmental units and/or other funds. Trust and agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. The agency fund is used to collect and distribute Court fees assessed per State Statute.

3. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The government-wide and the fiduciary financial statements are presented on an full-accrual basis of accounting. The governmental funds in the fund financial statements are presented on a modified accrual basis.

The statement of net position and the statement of activities are prepared using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met. By definition, the resources of fiduciary funds are not available to support Court programs. Therefore, fiduciary funds are excluded from the government-wide financial statements.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Court's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as needed.

4. Budgetary Data

The New Mexico State Legislature makes annual appropriations to the Court. Legal compliance is monitored through the establishment of a budget (modified-accrual basis) and a financial control system, which permits a budget to actual expenditure comparison. Expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations for each budget at the appropriation unit level. Budgeted appropriation unit amounts may be amended upon approval from the Budget Division of the State of New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration within the limitation as specified in the General Appropriation Act. The budget amounts shown in the financial statements are both the original appropriation and the final authorized amounts as legally revised during the year.

The Court follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary date reflected in the financial statements:

- 1. No later than September 1, the Court submits to the Judicial Budget Office (JBO), the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) and the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) an appropriation request for the fiscal year commencing the following July. The appropriation includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- 2. Appropriation request hearings are scheduled by the JBO. Recommendations are made by the JBO to the Supreme Court for their approval. The Supreme Court approved appropriation

request is then submitted to the Legislature as the Supreme Court's recommended appropriation request for the Court.

- 3. Budget hearings are scheduled before the New Mexico House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees. The final outcome of these hearings is incorporated into the General Appropriations Act.
- 4. The Court's budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015 was amended in a legally permissible manner by increasing or reallocating appropriation unit totals as the need arose during the fiscal year. Individual amendments were not material in relation to the original budget.
- 5. The Act is signed into law by the Governor of the State of New Mexico within the legally prescribed time limit. The-Court submits, no later than May 1st, to DFA an annual operating budget by category and line item based upon the appropriations made by the Legislature. The DFA Budget Division reviews and approves the operating budget which becomes effective on July 1.
- 6. All subsequent budget adjustments must be approved by the JBO and the Director of the DFA Budget Division. The budget for the current year was properly amended.
- 7. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the fiscal year for the General Fund and the Special Revenue Funds.
- 8. The budget for the General Fund and the Special Revenue Funds are not adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The budgets do not include prior year encumbrances paid in the current year in the budgetary amounts. The budgets do not include prior year encumbrances paid in the current year in the budgetary amounts except as noted in Note F of this report.
- 9. Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal year beginning with the year ended June 30, 2015. The Court's General Fund is a reverting fund (funds revert back to the State General Fund).
- 10. Appropriations lapse at the end of the year except for those amounts encumbered with the exception of appropriations presented in the "schedule of special, deficiency, specific and capital outlay appropriation" as referenced in the table of contents of this report, if applicable.
- 11. Budgets for the General Fund and Special Revenue Funds are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Encumbrances related to single year appropriations lapse at year end. The portion of an encumbrance representing goods and services received by the last day of the fiscal year should be reclassified as accounts payable. Any remaining encumbrances related to single year appropriations must be reclassified as unreserved fund balance and a liability recorded to recognize any amount subject to reversion. Budgetary comparisons presented for the General fund and Special Revenue fund in this report are on the modified accrual budgetary basis, which does not conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as encumbrances are treated as expenditures. This procedure conforms to Department of Finance and Administration and state requirements.
- 12. The budget for this State Agency is adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting except for accounts payable accrued at the end of the fiscal year that do not get paid by statutory deadline (Section 6-10-4 NMSA 1978), that must be paid out of next year's budget. See Note F for amounts paid out of different budget years.
- 13. If there are appropriations for multiple years and related funds are encumbered, there is a reservation of fund balance for encumbrances in the financial statements. This State Agency has no encumbered funds outstanding as of June 30, 2015.
- 14. The legal level of budgetary control is at the appropriation program level.

5. Encumbrances

Encumbrances outstanding at year-end related to single year appropriations are classified as unreserved fund balance and as a liability recorded to recognize any amounts subject to reversion to the State General Fund.

6. Net Position

The government-wide financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as investment in capital assets (net of related debt), restricted and unrestricted.

Investment in Capital Assets (net of related debt) — is intended to reflect the portion of net position which is associated with non-liquid, capital assets less outstanding capital asset related debt. The net related debt is the debt less the outstanding liquid assets and any associated unamortized cost. The Court did not have any related debt during the year ended June 30, 2015.

Restricted Net Position – is intended to reflect the portion of net position that has third party limitations on their use.

Unrestricted (Deficit) Net Position – represents net position of the Court that is not restricted for any project or other purpose.

7. GASB Statement #54

GASB issued Statement No. 54 Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions. This statement enhances the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. The fund balance amounts for governmental funds have been reclassified in accordance with GASB Statement 54.

As a result, in the governmental fund financial statements, fund balances previously reported as reserved and unreserved are now reported as nonspendable, restricted, or unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned).

8. Spending Policy

When an expenditure/expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted resources are available, it is the Court's policy to use restricted resources first. When expenditures/expenses are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted (committed, assigned and unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the Court's policy to spend committed resources first.

9. Net Position Restricted by Enabling Legislation

The government-wide statement of net position reports restricted net position. All the restricted net position is considered restricted by enabling legislation under the alternative dispute resolution and domestic relations mediation act and the grants. The enabling legislation has been determined to be legally enforceable. Legal enforceability means that a government can be compelled by an external party-such as citizens, public interest groups, or the judiciary-to use resources created by enabling legislation only for the purposes specified by the legislation. Generally, the enforceability of an enabling legislation restriction is determined by professional judgment, which may be based on actions such as analyzing the legislation to determine if it meets the qualifying criteria for enabling legislation, reviewing determinations made for similar legislation of the government or other governments, or obtaining the opinion of legal counsel. However, enforceability cannot ultimately be proven unless tested through the judicial process, which may never occur. The determination of legal enforceability should be based on the underlying facts and circumstances surrounding each individual restriction. The determination that a particular restriction is not legally enforceable may lead a government to reevaluate the legal enforceability of similar enabling legislation restrictions, but should not necessarily lead a government to conclude that all enabling legislation restrictions are unenforceable.

10. Fund Balance

The Court's fund balance is classified under the following GASB Statement 54 components:

- **Nonspendable:** Nonspendable fund balance classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (1) not in spendable form or (2) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The Court does not have any nonspendable fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2015.
- **Restricted:** Restricted fund balance represents amounts constrained to specific purposes that are externally imposed or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation (such as taxpayers, grantors, bondholders, and higher levels of government) The Court's restricted funds in the general fund represent restricted grants and in the special revenue funds the restricted funds represent funds held for The Court's dispute and mediation programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.
- <u>Committed</u>: Committed fund balance is constrained to specific purposes by the highest level of decision-making authority (the New Mexico Legislature and Governor). The Court does not have any committed fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2015.
- Assigned: Assigned fund balance is constrained by the Legislature and Executive branch's intent to be used by the government for specific purposes or in some cases by legislation. Intent can be expressed by the governing body or an official or body to which the governing body delegates authority. The Court does not have any assigned fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2015.
- <u>Unassigned</u>: Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the general fund. The Court does not currently have a minimum fund balance policy. The Court does not have any unassigned fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2015 and has a deficit of unassigned fund balance due to an overspending of a special appropriation in prior years.

11. Modified Accrual

All governmental funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting and the current financial resources measurement focus. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period which is considered to be within sixty days of year-end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general long-term debt, if any, is recognized when due.

In applying the "susceptible to accrual" concept to intergovernmental revenues pursuant to GASB Statement #33, which was also adopted as of July 1, 2001 by the Court, the provider should recognize liabilities, deferred inflows and expenses and the recipient should recognize receivables and revenues when the applicable eligibility requirements including time requirements, are met, resources transmitted before the eligibility requirements are met, under most circumstances, should be reported as advances by the provider and deferred revenue/unearned revenue by the recipient.

The interfund transactions are eliminated. Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as either "transfers in or out from other funds". If the New Mexico State Legislature provides a new

appropriation for a specific encumbrance, it is carried forward to a new appropriation period to be charged against the new budget. If the Legislature does not provide a new appropriation for an encumbrance, the encumbrance is no longer authorized.

12. Revenues, Expenditures and Expenses

Substantially all governmental fund revenues are accrued. The only revenues that the Court receives other than State appropriations are state and federal operating grants and other contracts.

Expenditures are recognized when the related fund liability is incurred.

13. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, deferred inflows and disclosure of contingent assets, deferred outflows, liabilities and deferred inflows at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

14. Inter-fund Activity

Inter fund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers between governmental funds are netted as part of the reconciliation to the government-wide financial statements.

15. Program Revenues

Program revenues include federal and state grants, charges for tapes and copies of Court proceedings and Court fees collected per statute designated for Court operations.

16. Receivables

Receivables consist of amounts due from federal, and other state and local governments. No allowance for doubtful accounts is established, since all amounts are considered collectible in the subsequent fiscal year.

17. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, Deferred Outflows of Resources, represents a consumption of net position that applied to a future period and so will not be recognized as an expense or expenditure until then. The Court has no items that meet this criterion. In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, Deferred Inflows of Resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applied to a future period and so will not be recognized until then. The Court has no items that meet this criterion.

18. Schedule of Net Position/Fund Balance

Per Balance Sheet: Governmental Funds

265,105 Grand total net position at year end

	Amount	Description
\$	243,169	Per Balance Sheet: General Fund Balance: Grants - Governmental Funds
	116,675	Per Balance Sheet: Special Revenue Fund Balance: ADR - Governmental Funds
	70,180	Per Balance Sheet: Special Revenue Fund Balance: DRM - Governmental Funds
\$	430,024	Per Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds
-		•
	Per: Gover	nmental Wide Statement of Net Position
	Amount	Description
\$	175,368	Investment in capital assets
	(68,057)	Long-term compensated absences payable as of year end
	(272,230)	Current portion of compensated absences payable as of year end
	(164,919)	Total of net position investment in capital assets and compensated absences
	430,024	Per balance sheet - governmental funds net position

A total of \$340,287 was related to compensated absences payable which is expected to be financed by future state appropriations. A total of \$175,368 was the net position invested in capital assets.

19. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments.

20. Capital Assets

Property and equipment including software, purchased or acquired is carried at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Contributed assets are recorded at the fair market values as of the date received. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. The State's capitalization policy, i.e., the dollar value above which asset acquisitions including software are added to the capital accounts, was changed to \$5,000 as of July 1, 2005 from \$1,000. Assets with historical cost under \$5,000 and over \$1,000 that capitalized prior to July 1, 2005 remain on the Court's capital assets schedule. However, all capital outlay purchases may not necessarily be capitalized. The Court does not capitalize any interest in regards to its capital assets. Computer software which has been developed for internal use and which meets the capitalization minimum of \$5,000 is capitalized and depreciated in accordance with the Court depreciation guidelines. There is no related debt relating to capital assets.

Machinery and equipment	5—15 years
Furniture and fixtures	5–15 years
Vehicles	5 years
Library	3 years
Leasehold improvements	5—15 years

21. Accrued Compensated Absences

Qualified employees accumulated annual leave as follows:

Years of Service	Hours Earned _per Month_	Days Earned per Month	Days of Maximum Accrual
1 month – 3 years	10	1 ¹ /4	30
Over 3 – 7 years	12	1 ½	30
Over 7 – 14 years	14	1 ³ /4	30
Over 14 years/beyond	16	2	30

The maximum accrued annual leave may be carried forward into the beginning of the next calendar year and any excess is lost. When employees terminate, they are compensated for accumulated unpaid annual leave as of the date of termination, up to the aforementioned maximums at their current hourly rate. At June 30, 2015, accrued compensated absences payable for accumulated annual leave can be seen in the note for long-term debt.

22. Accrued Sick Leave

Chapter 150 Laws of 1983, provides for the payment to employees for accumulated sick leave under certain conditions. Employees who have over 600 hours of accumulated sick leave can receive payment for the hours over 600 up to 120 hours on July 1st and January 1st of each year. However, the sick leave will be paid at fifty percent of the employee's regular hourly wage. At retirement, employees can receive fifty percent payment for up to 400 hours for the hours over 600 hours of accumulated sick leave. Employees who terminate receive no payment for accumulated sick leave. Therefore, the only sick leave which has been accrued represents the hours earned at June 30, 2015 over 600 hours. In accordance with GASB 16, accrued compensated absences consisted of accumulated annual leave and related salary payments (employers' matching FICA and Medicare payroll taxes).

Expenditures for accumulated sick pay for hours under 600 hours will be recognized as employees take such absences. Accrued vested sick pay is recorded as a liability in the governmental-wide financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, Zero (0) employees of The Court have accrued leave benefits in excess of 600 hours.

B. CASH AND INVESTMENTS POLICY

Investments in the State Treasurer's General Fund Investment Pool (GFIP)

All investments are on deposit with the State Treasurer, and cash is on deposit with four financial institutions. Please see the "Schedule of Deposits and Investments" in the "Other Supplementary Information" section of the audit report. Below are required disclosures regarding credit and interest risk.

General Fund Investment Pool Not Reconciled

In June 2012 an independent diagnostic report revealed that the State of New Mexico's General Fund Investment Pool has not been reconciled since the implementation of the Statewide Human resources, Accounting, and management REporting system (SHARE) in July of 2006. The Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) has commissioned two reports that address statewide cash reconciliation issues. They are (1) *Current State of Diagnostic of Cash Controls*, dated June 20, 2012 prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP and (2) *Cash Management Plan and Business Processes*, dated October 11, 2012, also prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP. The

Diagnostic reports are available in the Resources section of the Cash Control page of the NM Department of Finance & Administration's website: www.nmdfa.state.nm.us/Cash Control.aspx.

DFA has commenced the Cash Management Remediation Project (Remediation Project) in partnership with the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office, the New Mexico Department of Information Technology, and a contracted third party PeopleSoft Treasury expert. The purpose of the Remediation Project is to design and implement the changes necessary to reconcile the General Fund Investment Pool in a manner that is complete, accurate, and timely. The Remediation Project has made changes to the State's current SHARE system configuration, cash accounting policies and procedures, business practices, and banking structure. The scheduled implementation date for the changes associated with the Remediation Project was February 1, 2013. An approach and plan to address the population of historical reconciling items has been developed during the Remediation Project, but a separate initiative has been undertaken to resolve the historical reconciling items. It is DFA's responsibility to perform the monthly reconciliation of the General Fund Investment Pool.

Per the directive issued by the Office of the State Auditor on October 30, 2012, a note disclosure relating to this issue is required for all State of New Mexico state agencies for financial statements issued for fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 and continues into 2015.

That directive instructed agencies to do the following:

- 1. Provide copies of the referenced reports to the agency's Independent Public Accountant (IPA) performing the 2015 audit;
- 2. Explain to the IPA what policies and procedures the agency has in place to ensure that the agency's cash balances in SHARE are correct; and
- 3. Disclose in the agency's notes to the financial statements the facts about the statewide cash reconciliation as of June 30, 2015, and what the agency's policies and procedures were during the 2015 to mitigate the risk that the agency's cash balances would be misstated as of June 30, 2015.

To the extent possible the Court does informally reconcile all deposits and transfers that come into its possession. The cash transactions processed by the Court flow through the state general fund investment pool. Since SHARE was implemented, the Court recognized potential concerns related to the statewide cash reconciliation issue and in response, developed internal reconciliation procedures to ensure that cash receipts and disbursements recorded in the SHARE system are in fact transactions that have been initiated by the Court. The reconciliation occurs each month and any required adjustments are forwarded to the Financial Control Division at DFA for correction. The monthly reconciliation procedures throughout the Fiscal Year, include, but are not limited to validation of: allotments, deposits, expenditures, all general entries, operating transfers, payroll expenditures/payroll liabilities by fund, and review of outstanding warrants of the Department. This monthly internal reconciliation of cash receipts and disbursements flowing through the Court's share of the state general fund investment pool provides management assurance that the balance reflected in State General Fund Investment Pool account is accurate as of the end of the reporting period. In addition, the Court reconciles other asset and liability accounts on the Balance Sheet of each fund type. This process also provides additional assurance that transactions affecting the Court's share in the State General Fund Investment Pool account are accurate.

Compliant with statute 6-10-3 (NMSA 1978), and to optimize state cash management and investment practices, funds of various state agencies are deposited in the State General Fund

Investment Pool (SGFIP). This pool is managed by the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office (STO). Claims on the SGFIP are reported as financial assets by the various agencies investing in the SGFIP.

Agency claims against the SGFIP and fiduciary resources held at STO to fulfill those claims were not reconciled from the inception of SHARE (the State's centralized accounting system), in July 2006, through January 2013, which caused uncertainty as to the validity of the claims and the ability of fiduciary resources to fulfill those claims. As a result of business process and systems configuration changes made during the Cash Management Remediation Project Phase I the Department of Finance and Administration's Financial Control division began reconciling transactional activity reported by the State's fiscal agent bank to the SHARE general ledger on a point-forward basis beginning February 1, 2013. In March 2015, the Financial Control Division implemented a reconciliation process that compares statewide agency claims against the resources held in the SGFIP at STO. This process is known as the claims to resources reconciliation. The claims to resources reconciliation process has been successfully applied to fiscal year-end 2014 and the months from January 2015 through June 2015. While work remains, the results are encouraging and the following assertions can be made:

- 1. The difference between statewide agency claims against the SGFIP and fiduciary resources held at STO to fulfill those claims has remained within a relatively narrow range over the periods in which the reconciliation process has been used;
- 2. Agency claims on the SGFIP will be honored in their entirety. Any adjustment necessary to the claims balance will be applied against the General Operating Reserve. No portion of the adjustment shall be allocated to any specific agency that participates in the SGFIP.

Credit Risk for Investments

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The General Fund Investment Pool is not rated for credit risk.

Interest Rate Risk for Investments

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The Court does not have an investment policy that limits investment interest rate risk. The Court does not have an investment policy that limits investment interest rate risk.

For further information regarding the GFIP, please see the State Treasurer's annual audit report and specifically refer to the GASB 40 disclosure of the investments. That report may be obtained by writing to the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office, P.O. Box 608, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0708.

The New Mexico State Treasurer monitors the collateral for deposits held by it for other state entities, which would include the investment accounts of the Court. The Office of the State Treasurer has its own separate annual independent audit in which the collateral pledged to secure these deposits is disclosed. By law, the Court is not permitted to have any investments other than what is held at the State Treasurer's Office and the Court did not have any other investments during the year ended June 30, 2015. There is no custodial risk at the Court level since the cash amount is under the contract of the New Mexico State Treasurer.

See the "Schedule of Pledged Collateral" for information on Court's Custodial Credit Risk for its bank deposits as reference in the table of contents.

C. RECEIVABLES

As of June 30, 2015, receivables consist of:

Due from Governmental	Due To SHARE	Due From SHARE		
Unit/Agency	Fund	Fund	Purpose	Amount
Department of Justice	11660	N/A	Adult Drug Court program	2,735
PERA	15300	21370	Reimbursement of PERA funds	400
Sandoval County	15300	N/A	Accounts Receivable	6,250
			\$	9,385

An allowance for doubtful accounts has not been established, as all receivables are considered fully collectible.

D. DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS

As of June 30, 2015, no funds were due to other governments.

E. RECONCILIATION BETWEEN BUDGETARY BASIS AND GAAP BASIS

Because the Court keeps their budget on the modified GAAP basis there is no need to reconcile the budget financial statements to the fund financial statements. There were no outstanding accounts payable that were applied against the subsequent year's budget.

F. REVERSIONS AND DUE TO STATE GENERAL FUND

Section 6-5-10 NMSA 1978 requires all unreserved, undesignated fund balances in reverting funds and accounts revert to the State General Fund within ten days of the audit report. The amount due to the State General Fund as of June 30, 2015 is as follows:

Description		General Fund	Due To SHARE Fund	Due From SHARE Fund
Current year collection for tapes and copies less amount spent per DFA	_			
authority	\$	7,666	34100	15300
Reversion of appropriations FY 15	_	_	34100	15300
Total due to State General Fund	\$	7,666		

All amounts in the general fund of the Court's fund balance revert except what is noted as grants, on the balance sheet-governmental funds per the table of contents.

G. CHANGES IN LONG-TERM DEBT

A summary of changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2015 is as follows:

					Current
	2014	Increases	Decreases	2015	Portion
Compensated absences payable \$	326,790	335,738	(322,241)	340,287	272,230

Prior year compensated absences have been liquidated by the general fund.

H. CONTINGENCIES

Risk of Loss

The Court is insured through the Risk Management Division of the General Services Department, which is accounted for as an internal service fund of the State of New Mexico. In general, Risk Management Division responds to suits against the State and state agencies, manages funds to provide unemployment compensation, tort liability insurance, workers' compensation and general and property insurance, and attempts to reduce the number of suits against the State and state agencies through the risk management process. The actuarial gains and losses of Risk Management Division were not available and were not included in this report. However, the Court is not liable for more than the premiums paid.

I. INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES AND INTERFUND TRANSFERS

Interfund receivables and payables reflect short-term (current) borrowings among the Court's funds in the normal course of business. The following schedule shows the due to/from other funds as of June 30, 2015.

Due		_		
From	To	_	Am	ount
Fund	Fund		Due	Owed
-	15300	\$	-	679
11660	-	_	679	
		\$	679	679
	From Fund	Fund Fund - 15300	From To Fund Fund - 15300 \$	From To Am Fund Fund Due - 15300 \$ - 11660 - 679

The amount of \$679 represents money advanced from the general fund to the Adult Drug Court fund for expenses to be reimbursed to the general fund upon receipt of grant money from the Department of Justice.

J. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2015 was as follows:

	Balance			Balance
	2014	Additions	Deletions	2015
Capital assets depreciated:				
Furniture and fixtures \$	298,257	-	_	298,257
Machinery and equipment	360,880	-	_	360,880
Vehicles	274,086	31,240	(24,423)	280,903
Library	85,061	-	-	85,061
Leasehold Improvements	371,754	-	-	371,754
Total capital assets depreciated	1,390,038	31,240	(24,423)	1,396,855
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Furniture and fixtures	(296,430)	(1,614)	-	(298,044)
Machinery and equipment	(269,921)	(26,445)	-	(296,366)
Vehicles	(206,277)	(26,902)	24,423	(208,756)
Library	(85,061)	-	-	(85,061)
Leasehold Improvements	(323,517)	(9,743)	-	(333,260)
Total accumulated depreciation	(1,181,206)	(64,704)	24,423	(1,221,487)
Total capital assets, net \$	208,832	(33,464)		175,368

The Court is a single function organization and depreciation expense is recorded as a direct expense to its only activity, general government, in the amount of \$64,704.

The Court has idle capital assets as follows: equipment and machinery of \$107,291 with a book basis of \$0, furniture and fixtures of \$188,076 with a book basis of \$331 and Library of \$85,061 with a book basis of \$0.

K. PENSION PLAN-PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION

Plan Description: Compliant with the requirements of Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, the State of New Mexico has implemented the standard for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015.

The 13th Judicial District Court, as part of the primary government of the State of New Mexico, is a single employer defined benefit pension plan (Judicial Retirement) administered by the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA). Disclosure requirements for governmental funds apply to the primary government as a whole, and as such, this information will be presented in the Component Appropriation Funds Annual Financial Report (General Fund) and the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the State of New Mexico.

Information concerning the net pension liability, pension expense, and pension-related deferred inflows and outflows of resources of the primary government will be contained in the General Fund and the CAFR and will be available, when issued, from the Office of State Controller, Room 166, Bataan Memorial Building, 407 Galisteo Street, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87501.

Funding Policy: Plan members are required to contribute 8.92% of their gross salary. The Court is required to contribute 16.99% of the gross covered salary. The contribution requirements of plan members and the Court are established in State statute under Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978. The requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. The Court's contributions to PERA for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were \$781,113, \$674,782, and \$578,990, less the amounts disclosed in the JRA Pension plan shown below respectively, which equal the amount of the required contributions for each fiscal year.

L. JRA PENSION PLAN

The Court, as part of the primary government of the State of New Mexico, is a single employer defined benefit pension plan (Judicial Retirement) administered by the Public Employees Retirement Associations (PERA). Disclosure requirements for governmental funds apply to the primary government as a while, and as such, this information will be presented in the Component Appropriation Funds Annual Financial Report (General Fund) and the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the State of New Mexico.

Information concerning the next pension liability, pension expense, and pension-related deferred inflows and outflows of resources of the primary government will be contained in the General Fund and the CAFR and will be available, when issued, from the Office of State Controller, Room 166, Bataan Memorial Building, 407 Galisteo Street, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87501.

Funding Policy of The JRA Pension Plan

Plan members are required to contribute 10.5% of their gross salary. The Court is required to contribute 15% of the gross covered salary. The Court's contributions to JRA for the years ending June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were \$41,122, \$184,224, and \$79,076, respectively, equal to the amount of the required contributions for each year.

In addition to the above, the Court remits \$38 of each filing fee collected by the Court into the Judicial Retirement Act plan as required by Section 10-12b-11, NMSA 1978. These fees are remitted directly to PERA and are not included within the accompanying financial statements. The Court remitted \$41,122 in filing fees for the year ending June 30, 2015.

M. DEFERRED COMPENSATION

The State of New Mexico offers state, local government and school district employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The plan available to all State employees and those local government and school district employees whose employers have elected participation in the plan permits participants to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. There are employees that are making contributions to a Deferred Compensation Plan. Neither the Court nor the State of New Mexico make any contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan. All contributions withheld from participants by the Court have been paid to the New Mexico Public Employee's Retirement Association, which administers the plan

N. POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS—STATE RETIREE HEALTH CARE PLAN

Plan Description. The Court contributes to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA). The RHCA provides health care insurance and prescription drug benefits to retired employees of participating New Mexico government agencies, their spouses, dependents, and surviving spouses and dependents. The RHCA Board was established by the Retiree Health Care Act (Chapter 10, Article 7C, NMSA 1978). The Board is responsible for establishing and amending benefit provisions of the healthcare plan and is also authorized to designate optional and/or voluntary benefits like dental, vision, supplemental life insurance, and long-term care policies.

Eligible retirees are: 1) retirees who make contributions to the fund for at least five years prior to retirement and whose eligible employer during that period of time made contributions as a participant in the RHCA plan on the person's behalf unless that person retires before the employer's RHCA effective date, in which event the time period required for employee and employer contributions shall become the period of time between the employer's effective date and the date of retirement; 2) retirees defined by the Act who retired prior to July 1, 1990; 3) former legislators who served at least two years; and 4) former governing authority members who served at least four years.

The RHCA issues a publicly available stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the postemployment healthcare plan. That report and further information can be obtained by writing to the Retiree Health Care Authority, 4308 Carlisle NE, Suite 104, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

Funding Policy. The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-13 NMSA 1978) authorizes the RHCA Board to establish the monthly premium contributions that retirees are required to pay for healthcare benefits. Each participating retiree pays a monthly premium according to a service based subsidy rate schedule for the medical plus basic life plan plus an additional participation fee of five dollars if the eligible participant retired prior to the employer's RHCA effective date or is a former legislator or former governing authority member. Former legislators and governing authority members are required to pay 100% of the insurance premium to cover their claims and the administrative expenses of the plan. The monthly premium rate schedule can be obtained from the RHCA or viewed on their website at www.nmrhca.state.nm.us.

The employer, employee and retiree contributions are required to be remitted to the RHCA on a monthly basis. The statutory requirements for the employer and employee contributions can be changed by the New Mexico State Legislature. Employers that choose to become participating employers after January 1, 1998, are required to make contributions to RHCA fund in the amount determined to be appropriate by the board.

The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978) is the statutory authority that establishes the required contributions of participating employers and their employees. For the employees that were members of an enhanced retirement plan (state police and adult correctional officer member coverage plan 1; municipal police member coverage plans 3, 4 or 5; municipal fire member coverage plan 3, 4 or 5; municipal detention officer member coverage plan 1; and members pursuant to the Judicial Retirement Act) during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 2.0% of each participating employee's annual salary; each participating employee was required to contribute 1.0% of their salary. In addition, pursuant to Section 10-7C-15(G) NMSA 1978, at the first session of the Legislature following July 1,

2013, the legislature shall review and adjust the distributions pursuant to Section 7-1-6.1 NMSA 1978 and the employer and employee contributions to the authority in order to ensure the actuarial soundness of the benefits provided under the Retiree Health Care Act.

The Court's contributions to the RHCA for the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were \$98,786, \$89,643 and \$85,463, respectively, which equal the required contributions for each year.

O. LEASES

The Court has equipment leases and associated maintenance agreements which can be terminated with no penalty to the Court, if the Legislature does not appropriate money to the Court. The leases are for 12 copy machines. All leases may be terminated at any time with sixty-days of notice if the New Mexico Legislature does not grant sufficient appropriation for the lease or if the Court decides that termination is necessary to protect the best interests of the State of New Mexico. The leases are accounted for as operating leases and the future minimum rental payments are as follows:

For the Year		Office
Ended June 30	_ <u>E</u>	Equipment
2016	\$	42,880
2017		42,880
2018		32,160
2019		32,160
2020		-
Thereafter		-
Total	\$	150,080

Lease expenditures and related expenses for the year ended June 30, 2015 were \$56,985.

P. JOINT POWERS AGREEMENTS AND MEMORANDUMS OF UNDERSTANDING

The Court's has three memorandums of understanding as follows:

Participant: Court and Valencia County (VC)

Responsible Party: The Court

Description: Pre-Trial Services for District and Magistrate Courts of Valencia

County

Term: Begins July 1, 2014 and terminates June 30, 2015

Amount Involved: \$100,000

Revenue/Expenditures Reported: Revenue on the Court's books, expense on VC's books Audit Responsibility: Both parties should have the contract reviewed

Fiscal Agent: Not applicable

Participant: Court and Sandoval County (SC)

Responsible Party: The Court

Description: Pre-Trial Services for District and Magistrate Courts of Sandoval

County.

Term: Begins July 1, 2014 and terminates June 30, 2015

Amount Involved: \$25,000

Revenue/Expenditures Reported: Revenue on the Court's books, expense on SC's books Audit Responsibility: Both parties should have the contract reviewed

Fiscal Agent: Not applicable

Participant: Court and Presbyterian Medical Services (PMS)

Responsible Party: The Court

Description: Pre-Trial Services for Court and Presbyterian Medical Services

Term: Begins July 1, 2014 and terminates June 30, 2015

Amount Involved: \$35,000

Revenue/Expenditures Reported: Revenue on the Court's books, expense on PMS's books

Audit Responsibility: Both parties should have the contract reviewed

Fiscal Agent: Not applicable

Q. <u>DETERMINATION OF SINGLE AUDIT</u>

During fiscal year 2015, the Court was awarded federal funds of \$74,122 and spent \$387,842. Therefore, the threshold for a Single Audit as described in OMB Circular A-133 was not met.

R. OTHER REQUIRED INDIVIDUAL FUND DISCLOSURES

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require disclosures of certain information concerning individual funds including:

- A. No funds exceeded approved budgetary authority for the year ended June 30, 2015 except the budget deficit in the general fund identified from a prior year under the Fund Balance note in this report.
- B. No funds exceeded approved budgetary authority for the year ended June 30, 2015.

S. CONCENTRATIONS

The Court depends on financial resources flowing from, or associated with, both the Federal Government and the State of New Mexico. Because of this dependency, the Court is subject to changes in specific flows of intergovernmental revenues based upon modifications to Federal and State laws and Federal and State appropriations.

T. EVALUATION OF SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued. The Court recognizes in the financial statements the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing the financial statements. The Court's financial statements do not recognize subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after the balance sheet date and before financial statements are available to be issued. The Court has evaluated subsequent events through November 23, 2015, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES-AGENCY FUNDS For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

				Disburse-	
		2014	Receipts	ments	2015
ASSETS					
State Treasurer accounts	\$	14,206	936,796	(920,422)	30,580
Cash in authorized bank accounts		8,546,445	11,445,892	(16,832,298)	3,160,039
	\$	8,560,651	12,382,688	(17,752,720)	3,190,619
LIABILITIES	_				
Due to others state agencies	\$	14,206	936,796	(920,422)	30,580
Due to litigants		8,546,445	11,445,892	(16,832,298)	3,160,039
	\$	8,560,651	12,382,688	(17,752,720)	3,190,619

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS As of June 30, 2015

	Type of Account	Bank/ Treasurer Balance	Deposits In Transit	Outstanding Warrants/ Checks	Book Balance
GENERAL FUND New Mexico State Treasurer 243-15300	SGFIP \$	530,516		<u> </u>	530,516
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS					
New Mexico State Treasurer 243-88000	SGFIP	121,577	_	_	121,577
New Mexico State Treasurer 243-08300	SGFIP	70,180	-	-	70,180
Total Special Revenue Funds		191,757	-	-	191,757
AGENCY FUNDS					
Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A.					
Court Registry - Valencia	Checking	240,318	3,560	6,110	237,768
Court Registry - Sandoval	Checking	429,506	915,705	639,060	706,151
STO - Valencia	Checking	73,219	3,150	1,500	74,869
STO - Sandoval	Checking	12,682	-	-	12,682
STO - Cibola	Checking	16,580	-	-	16,580
		1,318		-	1,318
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Checking Accounts	8	773,623	922,415	646,670	1,049,368
243-614 CV-14-0800 - NMDOT vs C. Apodaca	Savings	27,756	1	-	27,757
243-625 CV-13-1446 - Wells Fargo vs Hansen	Savings	30,002	1		30,003
243-524 CV-09-2886 - Residential vs Sapeta	Savings	16,111	-	-	16,111
243-527 CV-11-0615 - Sandoval County vs Estate of Estelle I	Savings	18,727	-	-	18,727
243-531 CV-10-1051 - GMAC Mortgage LLC vs Alan J. Zingl	Savings	6,403	-	-	6,403
243-533 CV-05-0930 - NMDOT vs Keith Langerman, Sr.	Savings	19,428	-	-	19,428
243-546 CV-12-0551 - NMDOT vs Mattie Goode	Savings	90,196	2	-	90,198
243-551 CV-12-0927 - RR Bd of Educ vs Andrykowski	Savings	297,327	7	-	297,334
243-552 CV-12-1483 - NMDOT vs Mandalla	Savings	2,652	-	-	2,652
243-580 CV-11-2697 - Muruga vs Soule	Savings	30,322	1	-	30,323
243-582 CV-12-1135 - JP Morgan Chase vs Wayne Brown	Savings	10,031	-	-	10,031
243-585 CV-13-1552 - NMDOT vs GPZ Construction	Savings	67,634	2	-	67,636
243-590 CV-13-1932 - NMDOT vs AMREP 243-594 CV-13-2221 - NMDOT vs Miller	Savings Savings	4,252 500	11	11	4,252 500
243-596 CV-13-2237 - NMDOT vs Gary Smith	Savings	750	11	11	750
243-597 CV-13-2167 - SSCAFCA vs Yu Tian	Savings	8,202	-	-	8,202
243-598 CV-14-0162 - SSCAFCA vs Paul Vassell	Savings	6,773	_	1,358	5,415
243-607 DM-12-0748 - Rustebakke vs Kroll	Savings	10,003	_	-,55-	10,003
243-617 CV-12-0357 - Green Tree vs Simmons	Savings	116,888	3	-	116,891
243-619 CV-12-0044 - BOKF, N.A. vs Michaela M. Higgins	Savings	12,660	-	-	12,660
243-548 PB-09-0019 - IMO: Alfredo Federico Mirabal	Savings	140,950	3	-	140,953
243-583 CV-13-0069 - Bumpass vs Bumpass (CLOSED 06/	Savings	14,806	-	14,806	-
243-620 CV-14-0190 - US Currency	Savings	28,055	1	-	28,056
243-622 CV-14-0227 - IMO: \$6,100.00	Savings	6,101			6,101
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts		966,529	43	16,186	950,386
Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.	Α.	1,740,152	922,458	662,856	1,999,754

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS - Continued As of June 30, 2015

	Type of	Bank/ Treasurer Balance	Deposits In Transit	Outstanding Warrants/ Checks	Book Balance
AGENCY FUNDS - Continued					
My Bank/Belen					
243-144 CV-94-0425 - SHD vs Alumco	Savings	1,956		-	1,956
243-145 CV-92-0337 - Tierra Grande vs Watkins	Savings	14,713	2 -	-	14,715
243-172 CV-95-0009 - Mid Century vs Davenport	Savings	5,145	1 -	-	5,146
243-221 CV-98-0592 - Federal Na'tl Mortgatge vs Jones	Savings	11,802	1 -	-	11,803
243-232 CV-99-0375 - Lawyers vs Murphy, Pancoast	Savings	5,311	1 -	-	5,312
243-246 CV-00-0031 - SHD vs Allen	Savings	18,182	2 -	-	18,184
243-487 CV-09-0817 - PNM vs Allwood	Savings	13,863	1 -	-	13,864
243-534 CV-08-0072 - Malcom S. Major	Savings	279,014	23	-	279,037
Total My Bank/Belen		349,986	31	-	350,017
U.S. Bank					
243-191 CV-97-0906 - Patricia E Cook vs Donald L. Staufen	Savings	11,789	1	-	11,790
243-211 CV-97-1137 - Charter Bank vs John G. Amador	Savings	3,811	-	-	3,811
243-266 CV-01-0733 - Hall T. Sippy vs David L. Giles	Savings	11,984	1	-	11,985
243-283 CV-03-0980 - City of RR vs George Martindell	Savings	95,592	8	-	95,600
243-290 CV-04-0224 - Charter Bank vs Diana K Slotness	Savings	10,274	1	-	10,275
243-292 CV-03-0546 - Assoc of Gldn West Patio Apts vs Un	Savings	2,886	-	-	2,886
243-299 CV-05-0077 - State of NM vs D J T	Savings	21,573	2	-	21,575
243-300 CV-04-0470 - GE Mortgage Services LLC vs Maria	Savings	4,996	1	-	4,997
243-365 CV-06-0405 - City of RR vs Ronald J. Lofy	Savings	21,545	2	-	21,547
243-385 CV-06-0591 - City of RR vs George Athens	Savings	34,767	3	-	34,770
243-390 CV-06-0719 - NMDOT vs Denise Gilman	Savings	40,434	3	-	40,437
243-394 CV-06-0735 - City of RR vs Louis Kailey	Savings	34,850	3	-	34,853
243-404 CV-06-0818 - Board of Educ vs Arlene Derise	Savings	28,659	2	-	28,661
243-408 CV-06-0984 - City of RR vs Mike J. Merten	Savings	40,599	3	-	40,602
243-422 CV-06-1403 - Bd of Educ vs Rancho Vista Develop	Savings	36,649	3	-	36,652
243-439 CV-07-0277 - City of RR vs Melvin Pasley	Savings	25,358	2	-	25,360
243-440 CV-07-0278 - City of RR vs John O'Halloran	Savings	31,826	3	-	31,829
243-455 CV-05-1429 - Countrywide Hm Loans vs Albert S F	Savings	8,728	1	-	8,729
243-478 CV-08-1885 - PNM vs One Purpose	Savings	10,201	1	-	10,202
243-489 CV-09-1652 - Arroyo vs Estelle Beane Gillman	Savings	68,086	6	-	68,092
243-491 CV-09-2408 - Sandoval Cnty vs Tesoro Properties	Savings	241,414	24	-	241,438
243-496 CV-09-2873 - PNM vs Lawrence Andreassen	Savings	26,285	2	-	26,287
243-508 CV-05-0639 - NMDOT vs Hardall Holding Corpor	Savings	28,468	2	-	28,470
Total U.S. Bank		840,774	74	<u>-</u>	840,848
Grand total of deposits		\$ 3,653,185	922,563	662,856	3,912,892

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF PLEDGED COLLATERAL BY BANK AND ACCOUNT As of June 30, 2015

Account Name	Account Type		Bank Balance	Book Balance
Governmental Funds Cash at State Treasurer	SGFIP	\$ <u></u>	722,273	722,273
Agency Funds Wells Fargo Bank Wells Fargo Bank My Bank/Belen U.S. Bank Total Agency Funds	Checking Savings Savings Savings	\$ 	773,623 966,529 349,986 840,774 2,930,912	1,049,368 950,386 350,017 840,848 3,190,619
Total amount of deposit in banks FDIC coverage Total uninsured public funds 50% Collateral Requirement		\$ \$ =	2,930,912 (750,000) 2,180,912	3,190,619
(Section 6-10-17 NMSA 1978)		\$	1,090,456	

Collateralization of the Court's bank deposits is as follows:

The uninsured public funds is as shown above. Collateral information for the deposits at My Bank/Belen, Grants State Bank, Wells Fargo Bank and U.S. Bank is not available because the banks commingle pledged collateral for all of the State funds they hold. The collateralization of these accounts is monitored by the State Treasurer's office. These banks provide proof of collateral sufficient to cover all State funds deposited. The State Treasurer issues separate financial statements which disclose the collateral pledged to secure amounts held by the State Treasurer.

Custodial Credit Risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Court's deposits may not be returned. The Court does not have a policy for custodial credit risk. The Court's Agency Fund bank balances were exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

As of June 30, 2015:

Uninsured and uncollateralized as pledged collateral is		
commingled for all state funds		Unknown
Uninsured, collateral held by the pledging banks, but not in the		
name of the Court or the State of New Mexico	\$_	2,180,912

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

Description	Agency Transferred From	From Fund	To Fund	Reference		Amount Transferred In
State Appropriations General Fund Appropriation Total State General Fund	SGF	34101	15300 I	Laws 2014, Ch 63, Sec 4	\$	6,988,900 6,988,900
Other Financing Sources Compensation Appropriation	DFA	34101	15300	Laws 2014, Ch 63, Sec 4	\$	124,800
CASA Appropriation & other funding Total Other Financing Sources	AOC	21800	15300	Laws 2014, Ch 63, Sec 4	\$	436,340 561,140
Description	Agency Transferred To	From Fund	To Fund	Reference		Amount Transferred Out
General Fund Appropriation Total Department of Finance ar	DFA n d Administrati	15300 on		Reversion of current year miscellaneous income	\$ \$	7,666 7,666

^{*}Note: The reversions presented in the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance $\,$ - Governmental Funds are expected to be reverted in FY 16

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF VENDOR INFORMATION As of June 30, 2015

	Name and Physical	In-State/ Out-of-		
	Address per the	State Vendor	Was the vendor in-	
	procurement	(Y or N)	state and chose	
\$ A	Amount of \$ Amount of documentation, of	(Based on	Veteran's preference	Brief Description
Type of Awarded A	Awarded Amended ALL Vendor(s) that	Statutory	(Y or N) For federal	of the Scope of
RFB#/RFP# Procurement Vendor	Contract Contract responded	Definition)	funds answer N/A	Work

NONE



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable Louis P. McDonald, Presiding Judge State of New Mexico Thirteenth Judicial District Court and Mr. Timothy Keller, New Mexico State Auditor Office of the State Auditor Santa Fe, New Mexico

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons of the general fund and major special revenue funds of the Thirteenth Judicial District Court, of the State of New Mexico (The Court) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 23, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Court's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the agency's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged in governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

2500 9th St. NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102

Telephone: 505.883.8788

www.HL-cpas.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON **INTERNAL** CONTROL **OVER** FINANCIAL REPORTING COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN **ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT** AUDITING STANDARDS, continued

November 23, 2015

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Court's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in The accompanying schedule of findings and responses as items: 2003-002 [03-02] and 2015-001.

Thirteenth Judicial District Court's Response to Findings

The Court's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. The Court's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

inkle & Landers, P.C.

This purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Court's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Hinkle + Landers, PC Albuquerque, NM

November 23, 2015

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

Current and prior year findings are as follows:

Reference FY- Finding #	Findings	Status of Current and Prior Year Findings	Type of Finding*
2003-002 [03-02]	State Treasurer's Account Balance	Repeated	D
2015-001	Chief Procurement Officer	Current	D

^{*} Legend for Type of Findings

- A. Material Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
- B. Significant Deficiency in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
- C. Other Matters Involving Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
- **D.** Compliance with State Audit Rule

2003-002 [03-02]—State Treasurer's Account Balance

Type of Finding: D

Statement of Condition

The County State Treasurer's bank account balances were greater than \$15,000 for the following months for the following counties:

Sandoval County	12 out of 12 months in fiscal year 2015
Valencia County	8 out of 12 months in fiscal year 2015

Criteria

NMSA 1978 Section 34-6-37 specifies that the State Treasurer shall make withdrawals from the account at least quarterly and in any event so the balance on hand never exceeds \$15,000.

Cause

The law stated above has been on the books for a number of years and the amount of \$15,000 is low in relation to the amount of funds the Court collects on a monthly basis. Therefore, the Court cannot meet this requirement because it is not realistic in relation to the amount of cash they collect. It is virtually impossible to meet this requirement.

Effect

The Court has significant amounts of cash in its bank accounts which makes it more susceptible for misappropriation and the lack of controls and monitoring had increased the risk that this potential misappropriation will go undetected. The Court is out of compliance with the law cited above.

Recommendation

The Court should determine if transfers can be made more often to comply with the law or seek to have the amounts cited in the law increased.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Management Response

Due to the marked growth of this Court, and other Courts throughout the State, and the proportional increase in monies collected by the Courts, it is virtually impossible to comply with this law. It is not uncommon for the Court to receive monies in excess of this amount within a matter of days, sometimes the same day. The process by which these monies are transferred requires at least two days and sometime longer completing, thus, the resulting overlaps in transference of funds and non-compliance with the law. It is apparent that this statute should be amended to reflect cash flow in the modern era. Starting October 1, 2015, the State Treasurer started performing daily sweeps of the STO account which should eliminate this finding in the future.

Corrective Action Plan

As noted above STO has changed procedures and this finding has been corrected.

2015-001—Chief Procurement Officer

Type of Finding: D

Statement of Condition

During fiscal year 2015, the Court did not have their designated Chief Procurement Officer complete certification by June 30, 2015.

Criteria

New Mexico Administrative Code section 1.4.1.94 C in order for Chief Procurement Officers to become certified and recertified, a Chief Procurement Officer shall obtain such education and training as deemed appropriate by the secretary of the general services department and pass a certification or recertification examination, as appropriate, approved by the secretary of the general services department.

According to section 1,4,1,94 D, on or after July 1, 2015, only certified Chief Procurement Officers may:

- Make determinations, including determinations regarding exemptions, pursuant to the Procurement Code.
- Issue purchase orders and authorize small purchases pursuant to the Procurement Code.
- Approve procurement pursuant to the Procurement Code.

Cause

As of July 1, 2015, The Court does not appear to have a certification completed for the designated Chief Procurement Officer.

Effect

The Court does not appear to be in compliance with the New Mexico Administrative Code for the certification requirement of the Chief Procurement Officer under the Procurement Code.

Recommendation

We recommend that the Court's Chief Procurement Officer immediately complete certification.

Management Response

The Court was unaware of this requirement and agrees with this finding. The CFO will be designated as the Chief Procurement Officer; the next available training for certification is in February 2016.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT EXIT CONFERENCE

For The Year Ended June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held in a closed session on November 23, 2015 at the Court's Offices in Sandoval County, New Mexico. In attendance were the following:

Representing the Thirteenth Judicial District Court:

Honorable Louis P. McDonald Chief Judge

Karl W. Reifsteck Court Executive Officer
Chris Wolf Court Financial Officer

Representing Hinkle + Landers, P.C.:

Farley Vener, CPA, CFE President

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements of the Court as of June 30, 2015, were substantially prepared by Hinkle + Landers, PC, however, the financial statements are the responsibility of management.