

HINKLE + LANDERS

Certified Public Accountants + Business Consultants

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL

<u>DISTRICT COURT</u>

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY SECTION:	Page
Table of contents	i
Official roster	1
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent auditors' report	2-3
independent duditors report	- 3
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Statement of net assets (deficit)—governmental activities	4
Statement of activities—governmental activities	5
O Company of the comp	Ü
Fund Financial Statements	
Balance sheet – governmental funds	6
Statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances (deficits)—	
governmental funds	7
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances —budget	,
and actual (modified accrual budgetary basis)—general fund	8
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances—budget	9
and actual (modified accrual budgetary basis)—alternative dispute resolution	
and domestic relations mediation	
Statement of fiduciary assets and liabilities—agency funds	10
Notes to the financial statements	11-26
OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of changes in fiduciary assets, and liabilities—all agency funds	2 7
Schedule of deposits and investments	28-29
Schedule of pledged collateral by bank and account	30
Schedule of interagency transfers	31
Schedule of special, deficiency, specific and capital outlay appropriations	32
OTHER REPORT	
Report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and	
other matters based on an audit of financial statements performed in	
accordance with governmental auditing standards	33-34
accordance 30 resimiental additing etailed as	דט טט
Summary schedule of prior audit findings	35
Schedule of findings and responses	36-38
Exit conference	39

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEEN JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

Official Roster

As of June 30, 2011 **Judges** Honorable John W. Pope **Division I** Honorable George P. Eichwald **Division II** Honorable William A. Sanchez **Division III** Honorable Camille M. Olguin **Division IV Division V** Honorable Louis P. McDonald Honorable Violet C. Otero **Division VI** Honorable John F. Davis **Division VII**

Administrative Officials

Court Administrator

Mr. Jamie Goldberg Mr. Christopher Wolf **Court Accountant**



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Honorable Louis P. McDonald, Presiding Judge State of New Mexico Thirteenth Judicial District Court and Mr. Hector H. Balderas, New Mexico State Auditor Office of the State Auditor Santa Fe, New Mexico

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information and the budgetary comparisons of the general fund and major special revenue funds of the Thirteenth Judicial District Court of the State of New Mexico (Court) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements as listed in the Table of Contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Court's management. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Court's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of the Court are intended to present the financial position and the changes in financial position of only that portion of the governmental activities of each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State of New Mexico that is attributable to the transactions of the Court. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the entire State of New Mexico as of June 30, 2011, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Court has not presented Management's Discussion and Analysis that the Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the basic financial statements.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Court, as of June 30, 2011, and the respective changes in financial position and respective budgetary comparisons for the general fund and major special revenue funds for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As described in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Court implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 6, 2011 on our consideration of the Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Governmental Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the basic financial statements. The additional schedules listed as "other supplementary information" in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Hinkle + Landers, PC December 6, 2011

Tinkle & Landers, P.C.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS As of June 30, 2011

ASSETS	G	overnmental Activities
Current Assets		
State general fund investment pool	\$	413,391
Due from other governments		53,837
Total current assets		467,228
Capital assets, net		206,567
Total assets	\$	673,795
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	131,153
Due to other state governments		-
Due to the state general fund		35,740
Accrued salaries and employee benefits		179,962
Compensated absences payable - expected to be paid within one year		248,218
Total current liabilities		595,073
Non-current liabilities		
Compensated absences payable - expected to be paid after one year		62,054
Total liabilities		657,127
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)		
Invested in capital assets		206,567
Unrestricted		(360,272)
Total unrestricted net assets		(153,705)
Restricted for:		
Grants		65,344
Alternative Dispute Resolution		65,198
Domestic Relations Mediation		39,831
Total restricted net assets		170,373
Total net assets		16,668
Total liabilities and net assets	\$	673,795

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

EXPENSES	_	Governmental Activities
General government		
Administrative services	\$	6,899,156
Depreciation expense		131,749
Total program expenses		7,030,905
Less: Program revenues		
Court fees		108,780
Charges for services		16,766
Operating grants and contracts		308,196
Total program revenues		433,742
Net program (expenses)/revenue	_	(6,597,163)
REVENUES		
General revenues		
Transfer - state general fund appropriation FY 11		6,092,400
Less transfer - reversions to state general fund		(24,542)
Transfer - other financial sources		408,269
Total net general revenue and other financial sources (uses)		6,476,127
Change in net assets		(121,036)
Net assets, beginning		137,704
Net assets, ending	\$	16,668

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS As of June 30, 2011

ASSETS	(15300) General Fund	(88000) Alternative Dispute Resolution Fund	(08300) Domestic Relations Mediation Fund		Total Govern- mental Funds
State general fund investment pool	\$ 301,603	71,958	39,831		413,392
Due from other governments	53,837	-	-		53,837
Due from other funds	-	-	-		_
Total assets	\$ 355,440	71,958	39,831	=	467,229
LIABILITIES					
Due to other funds	-	-	-		-
Accounts payable	\$ 124,394	6,760	-		131,154
Accrued salaries and employee benefits	179,962	-	-		179,962
Due to other state governments	-	-	-		-
Due to state general fund	35,740				35,740
Current liabilities	340,096	6,760		_	346,856
FUND BALANCES Restricted: Dispute and mediation programs and grants Unassigned:	65,344	65,198	39,831		170,373
Deficit fund balance	(50,000)			_	(50,000)
Total fund balance	15,344	65,198	39,831		120,373
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 355,440	71,958	39,831	_	467,229
Reconciliation of the Governmental F		To The Stateme	ent of Net Ass	sets \$	120,373
Capital assets (net of depreciation) used resources and, therefore, are not reported in		al activities are	not financial		206,567
Long-term debt reported as accrued compecurrent period and, therefore, are not report			payable in the		(310,272)
Rounding				_	-

Net assets of governmental activities

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE (DEFICITS) - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

	(15300) General Fund	(88000) Alternative Dispute Resolution Fund	(08300) Domestic Relations Mediation Fund	Total Govern- mental Funds
REVENUES				
Federal funds	\$ 308,196	-	-	308,196
Court fees	-	68,250	40,530	108,780
Miscellaneous revenue	16,766			16,766
Total revenues	324,962	68,250	40,530	433,742
EXPENDITURES				
Current				
General government:				
Personal services and employee benefits	5,565,622	-	-	5,565,622
Contractual services	703,694	111,780	27,470	842,944
Other costs	468,608	102	-	468,710
Capital outlay				
Total expenditures	6,737,924	111,882	27,470	6,877,276
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Transfer - State general fund appropriation l	F) 6,092,400	-	_	6,092,400
Transfer - Other financing sources	408,269	-	-	408,269
Less: Transfer reversions to SGF - other	(16,766)	-	-	(16,766)
Less: Transfer reversion of FY 11	(7,776)	-	-	(7,776)
Interfund transfers in	-	-	-	-
Interfund transfers out				
Net other financing sources (uses)	6,476,127			6,476,127
Net change in fund balance	63,165	(43,632)	13,060	32,593
Fund balance, beginning	(47,821)	108,830	26,771	87,780
Fund balance, ending	\$ 15,344	65,198	39,831	120,373

Reconciliation Of Statement Of Revenues, Expenditures, And Changes In Fund Balances Of Governmental Funds To Statement Of Activities

Net change fund balance in governmental funds

\$ 32,593

Capital outlays are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of capital assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense.

In the current period, these amounts are:

Depreciation expense Capital outlay that was included as additions in capital assets (131,749)

Some items reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

These activities consist of:

(Increase) decrease in compensated absences

(21,880)

Rounding

Change in net assets - governmental activities

\$ (121,036)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES BUDGET TO ACTUAL

(Modified Accrual Budgetary Basis) For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

General Fund (15300)

	Budgeted	Amounts	Actual Amounts (Budgetary	Variance with Final Budget Favorable
	Original	Final	Basis)	(Unfavorable)
REVENUES				
Federal funds \$	-	4,196	308,196	304,000
Other grants	-	-	-	-
Miscellaneous revenue			16,766	16,766
Total revenues		4,196	324,962	320,766
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
General government				
Personal services	5,316,600	5,566,640	5,565,622	1,018
Contractual services	1,092,000	784,874	703,694	81,180
Other costs	409,100	506,688	468,608	38,080
Capital outlay				
Total expenditures	6,817,700	6,858,202	6,737,924	120,278
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	(6,817,700)	(6,854,006)	(6,412,962)	441,044
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	S (USES)			
State general fund appropriations	6,817,700	6,613,500	6,092,400	(521,100)
Interagency transfers in	-	240,506	408,269	167,763
Interfund transfers in				
Total Other financing sources	6,817,700	6,854,006	6,500,669	(353,337)
Reversion to SGF	-	-	(16,766)	(16,766)
Reversions to SGF - other			(7,776)	(7,776)
Net interagency transfers and				
financial sources (uses)	6,817,700	6,854,006	6,476,127	(377,879)
Net change in fund balance	-	_	63,165	63,165
Fund balance, beginning	(47,821)	(47,821)	(47,821)	152,511
Fund balance, ending \$	(47,821)	(47,821)	15,344	215,676

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES BUDGET TO ACTUAL

(Modified Accrual Budgetary Basis) For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

	Alte	ernative Dis	spute Resolu	ution (88000)	
		Budgeted Original	Amounts Final	Actual Amounts (Budgetary Basis)	Variance with Final Budget Favorable (Unfavorable)
REVENUES					
Court fees	\$	76,400	116,400	68,250	(48,150)
Miscellaneous revenue					
Total revenues		76,400	116,400	68,250	(48,150)
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
General government					
Contractual services		74,400	114,400	111,780	2,620
Other costs		2,000	2,000	102	1,898
Total expenditures	•	76,400	116,400	111,882	4,518
Excess (deficiency) of reven	nues				
(under) expenditures		-	_	(43,632)	(43,632)
OTHER FINANCING SO	UR	CES (USES)	1.07 0 1	1.07 0 7
Interfund transfers out		-	_	-	_
Net change in fund balance	•			(43,632)	(43,632)
Fund balance, beginning		108,830	108,830	108,830	-
Fund balance, ending	\$	108,830	108,830	65,198	(43,632)
Do	ome	stic Relatio	ns Mediatio	on Fund (08300)	
		Budgeted		Actual Amounts (Budgetary	Variance with Final Budget Favorable
		Original	Final	Basis)	(Unfavorable)
REVENUES					
Court fees	\$	29,500	29,500	40,530	11,030
Total revenues	•	29,500	29,500	40,530	11,030
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
General government					
Contractual services		27,500	27,500	27,470	30
Other costs		2,000	2,000	-	2,000
Total expenditures	•	29,500	29,500	27,470	2,030
Excess (deficiency) of	•				
revenues over (under)					
expenditures		_	_	13,060	13,060
chpenarures					
Fund balance, beginning Fund balance, ending		26,771	26,771	26,771	-

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - AGENCY FUNDS As of June 30, 2011

		Agency Fund
ASSETS State Treasurer accounts	\$	37,597
Cash in authorized bank account	Ψ	2,864,896
TOTAL ASSETS	\$_	2,902,493
LIABILITIES		
Due to other state agencies Due to litigants	\$	37,597 2,864,896
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$_	2,902,493

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

NATURE OF BUSINESS AND REPORTING ENTITY

The Thirteenth Judicial District Court (Court) operates under Section 34-6-1, 34-6-2 and 34-6-16 through 34-6-46, NMSA 1978 Compilation. The Court covers Valencia, Sandoval, and Cibola Counties. The Court is comprised of six divisions as authorized in the above sections. The Court is the State Court of general jurisdiction and is authorized to hear and determine all civil and criminal cases which are not specifically exempted from its jurisdiction. Financing of the Court is by state appropriation.

The financial reporting entity as defined by GASB Statement 14 consists of the primary government, organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. This definition of the reporting entity is based primarily on the notion of financial accountability as the "cornerstone of all financial reporting in government."

These financial statements include all funds and activities over which the Court has oversight responsibility. The Court has decision-making authority, the power to designate management, the responsibility to significantly influence operations, and primary accountability for fiscal matters. Additionally, the Court is part of the primary government of the State of New Mexico and its financial data should be included with the financial data of the State, when it issues an audited Comprehensive Annual Financial Report inclusive of all agencies of the primary government.

In evaluating how to define the government, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP and GASB Statement 14.

The basic, but not the only criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity, is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters.

A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the government and/or its citizens, or whether the activity is conducted within the geographic boundaries of the government and is generally available to its citizens.

A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the Court is able to exercise oversight responsibility. Based on the application of these criteria, there are no component units identified or excluded from the reporting entity.

A. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

1. Basic Financial Statements

The financial statements of the Court have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted (GAAP) in the United States of America as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Account Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted Standard-setting body for

establishing governmental Accounting and financial reporting principles. The GASB periodically updates its codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards, which, along with subsequent GASB pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations), constitutes GAAP for governmental units. The more significant of the Court's accounting policies are described below.

The basic financial statements include both government-wide (based on the Court as a whole) and fund financial statements. The reporting model focus is on either the Court as a whole or major individual fund (within the fund financial statements). Both the government-wide and fund financial statements (within the basic financial statements) categorize primary activities as either governmental or business type activities. In the government-wide Statement of Net Assets, both the governmental and business-type activities columns are presented on a consolidated basis by column, and are reflected on a full accrual, economic resources measurement focus basis, which incorporates long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. The Court did not have any business-type activities during the year ended June 30, 2011.

For its government-wide activities, the Court has elected to apply all applicable GASB pronouncements as well as any applicable pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Accounting Principles or any Accounting Research Bulletins issued on or before November 30, 1989 unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. The GASB periodically updates its codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards which, along with subsequent GASB pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations), constitutes GAAP for governmental units.

General Revenues (General Fund appropriation, transfers-in from other state agencies, Federal aid, etc.) normally cover the net cost (by function). Historically, the previous model did not summarize or present net cost by function or activity.

Grant revenues are recognized as soon as the eligibility requirements are met.

This government-wide focus is more on the sustainability of the Court as an entity and the change in aggregate financial position resulting from the activities of the fiscal period. The governmental fund statements are presented on a current financial resource and modified accrual basis of accounting. This presentation is deemed appropriate to (a) demonstrate legal compliance, (b) demonstrate the source and use of liquid resources, and (c) demonstrate how the Court's actual experience conforms to the budget or fiscal plan. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements' governmental activities column, a reconciliation is presented on the page following each statement, which briefly explains the adjustments necessary to transform the fund based financial statements into the governmental activities column on the governmental wide presentation.

The governmental fund statements are presented on a current financial resource and modified accrual basis of accounting. This presentation is deemed appropriate to (a) demonstrate legal compliance, (b) demonstrate the source and use of liquid resources, and (c) demonstrate how the Court's actual experience conforms to the budget of fiscal plan. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements' governmental column, a reconciliation is presented on the page following each

statement, which briefly explains the adjustment necessary to transform the fund based financial statements in to the governmental column on the government-wide presentation.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with specific function or segment. *Program revenues* derive directly from the program itself or from parties outside the reporting government's taxpayers or citizenry as a whole and include operating grants and contracts that are restricted to meeting the operational necessities of a particular compliance grant requirement. The only charges for services are for photocopies and small miscellaneous charges.

2. Basis of Presentation

The financial transactions of the Court are maintained on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, expenditures and other financing sources or uses.

Government resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. The various funds are summarized by the type and reported by generic classification the accompanying financial statements.

The reporting model, GASB Statement No. 34, sets forth minimum criteria for the determination of major funds based on a percentage of the assets, liabilities, revenues or expenditures. The general fund is always considered a major fund. The Court has elected to consider all their special revenue funds as major funds regardless of size. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

In the governmental fund financial statements, per GASB Statement No. 54, fund balances are classified as nonspendable, restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned). Restricted represents those portions of fund balance where constraints placed on the resources are either externally imposed or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Committed fund balance represents amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Legislative and Executive branches of the State. Assigned fund balance is constrained by the Legislature's and Executive Branch's intent to be used for specific purposes or in some cases by legislation. See Note G for additional information about fund balances.

The following are the Court's major funds:

General Fund—(15300) The general operating fund of the Court. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in other funds. Any unencumbered balance remaining in the General Fund at the end of the fiscal year reverts to the State of New Mexico, with the exception of what is noted as unencumbered balances presented on the schedule of special, deficiency, specific and capital appropriation located in the other supplementary information section of the audit report. All appropriations received in this fund are revertible funds if not expended in the appropriate time frame. Please refer to the schedule of interagency transfers for details of state appropriations and other financing sources.

The Court receives all State of New Mexico appropriations in their General Fund, the Court's additional funds are as follows:

Court Mediation—(08300) The Court has established a domestic relations mediation program pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5 NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act. Deposits to the fund shall include payments made through the imposition of a sliding fee scale pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5, NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act and the collection of the surcharge provided for in Section 6 (40-12-6, NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Act. The fund is a special revenue fund and is non-reverting. For purposes of financial statement presentation, the fund is considered a major fund.

Alternative Dispute Resolution—(88000) The Court has established an alternative dispute resolution program pursuant to Section 34-6-45, NMSA, 1978 Comp. The purpose of the program is to resolve disputes through alternative means, including, but not limited to, arbitration, mediation and settlement mediation. To finance the cost of the program, the Court charges a \$15 fee on all new and reopened cases. In accordance with Section 34-6-44, NMSA, 1978 Comp., the fee is deposited into the alternative dispute resolution fund. The fund is a special revenue fund and is non-reverting. For purposes of financial statement presentation, the fund is considered a major fund.

The Court also has fiduciary funds (trust and agency funds) used to account for assets held by the Court in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governmental units and/or other funds. Trust and agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. The agency fund is used to collect and distribute court fees assessed per State Statute.

3. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The government-wide and the fiduciary financial statements are presented on an full-accrual basis of accounting. The governmental funds in the fund financial statements are presented on a modified accrual basis.

The statement of net assets and the statement of activities are prepared using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met. By definition, the resources of fiduciary funds are not available to support Court programs. Therefore, fiduciary funds are excluded from the government-wide financial statements.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Court's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as needed.

4. Budgetary Data

The New Mexico State Legislature makes annual appropriations to the Court. Legal compliance is monitored through the establishment of a budget (modified-accrual basis) and a financial control system, which permits a budget to actual expenditure comparison. Expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations for each budget at the appropriation unit level.

Budgeted appropriation unit amounts may be amended upon approval from the Budget Division of the State of New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration within the limitation as specified in the General Appropriation Act. The budget amounts shown in the financial statements are both the original appropriation and the final authorized amounts as legally revised during the year.

The Court follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary date reflected in the financial statements:

- 1. No later than September 1, the Court submits to the Judicial Budget Office (JBO), the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) and the Department of Finance and Administration an appropriation request for the fiscal year commencing the following July. The appropriation includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- 2. Appropriation request hearings are scheduled by the JBO. Recommendations are made by the JBO to the Supreme Court for their approval. The Supreme Court approved appropriation request is then submitted to the Legislature as the Supreme Court's recommended appropriation request for the Court.
- Budget hearings are scheduled before the New Mexico House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees. The final outcome of these hearings is incorporated into the General Appropriations Act.
- 4. The Court's budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011 was amended in a legally permissible manner by increasing or reallocating appropriation unit totals as the need arose during the fiscal year. Individual amendments were not material in relation to the original budget.
- 5. The Act is signed into law by the Governor of the State of New Mexico within the legally prescribed time limit. The-Court submits, no later than May 1st, to DFA an annual operating budget by category and line item based upon the appropriations made by the Legislature. The DFA Budget Division reviews and approves the operating budget which becomes effective on July 1.
- 6. All subsequent budget adjustments must be approved by the JBO and the Director of the DFA Budget Division. The budget for the current year was properly amended.
- 7. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the fiscal year for the General Fund and the Special Revenue Funds.
- 8. The budget for the General Fund and the Special Revenue Funds are not adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The budgets do not include prior year encumbrances paid in the current year in the budgetary amounts. The budgets do not include prior year encumbrances paid in the current year in the budgetary amounts except as noted in Note F of this report.
- 9. Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal year beginning with the year ended June 30, 2011. The Court's General Fund is a reverting fund (funds revert back to the State General Fund).
- 10. Appropriations lapse at the end of the year except for those amounts encumbered with the exception of appropriations presented in the "schedule of special, deficiency, specific and capital outlay appropriation" as referenced in the table of contents of this report.
- 11. Budgets for the General Fund and Special Revenue Funds are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Encumbrances related to single year appropriations lapse at year end. The portion of an encumbrance representing goods and services received by the last day of the fiscal year should be reclassified as accounts payable. Any remaining encumbrances related to single year appropriations must be reclassified as unreserved fund balance and a liability recorded to recognize any amount subject to reversion. Budgetary comparisons presented for the General fund and Special Revenue fund in this report are on the modified accrual budgetary basis, which does not conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as

encumbrances are treated as expenditures. This procedure conforms to Department of Finance and Administration and state requirements.

- 12. The budget for this State Agency is adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting except for accounts payable accrued at the end of the fiscal year that do not get paid by statutory deadline (Section 6-10-4 NMSA 1978), that must be paid out of next year's budget. See Note F for amounts paid out of different budget years.
- 13. If there are appropriations for multiple years and related funds are encumbered, there is a reservation of fund balance for encumbrances in the financial statements. This State Agency has no encumbered funds outstanding as of June 30, 2011.
- 14. The legal level of budgetary control is at the appropriation program level.

5. Encumbrances

Encumbrances outstanding at year-end related to single year appropriations are classified as unreserved fund balance and as a liability recorded to recognize any amounts subject to reversion to the State General Fund.

6. Net Assets

The government-wide financial statements utilize a net asset presentation. Net Assets are categorized as invested in capital assets (net of related debt), restricted and unrestricted.

Invested in Capital Assets (net of related debt) is intended to reflect the portion of net assets which are associated with non-liquid, capital assets less outstanding capital asset related debt. The net related debt is the debt less the outstanding liquid assets and any associated unamortized cost. The Court did not have any related debt during the year ended June 30, 2011.

Restricted net assets reflect the portion of net assets that have third party limitations on their use.

Unrestricted (Deficit) Net Assets represents net assets of the Court that are not restricted for any project or other purpose.

GASB Statement #54

In February 2009, the GASB issued Statement No. 54 Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions. This statement enhances the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. The fund balance amounts for governmental funds have been reclassified in accordance with GASB Statement 54.

As a result, in the governmental fund financial statements, fund balances previously reported as reserved and unreserved are now reported as nonspendable, restricted, or unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned).

Spending Policy

When an expenditure/expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted resources are available, it is the Court's policy to use restricted resources first. When expenditures/expenses are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted (committed, assigned and unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the Court's policy to spend committed resources first.

Net Assets Restricted by Enabling Legislation

The government-wide statement of net assets reports restricted net assets. All the restricted net assets are considered restricted by enabling legislation under the alternative dispute resolution and domestic relations mediation act and the grants. The enabling legislation has been determined to be legally enforceable.

Legal enforceability means that a government can be compelled by an external party-such as citizens, public interest groups, or the judiciary-to use resources created by enabling legislation only for the purposes specified by the legislation. Generally, the enforceability of an enabling legislation restriction is determined by professional judgment, which may be based on actions such as analyzing the legislation to determine if it meets the qualifying criteria for enabling legislation, reviewing determinations made for similar legislation of the government or other governments, or obtaining the opinion of legal counsel. However, enforceability cannot ultimately be proven unless tested through the judicial process, which may never occur. The determination of legal enforceability should be based on the underlying facts and circumstances surrounding each individual restriction. The determination that a particular restriction is not legally enforceable may lead a government to reevaluate the legal enforceability of similar enabling legislation restrictions, but should not necessarily lead a government to conclude that all enabling legislation restrictions are unenforceable.

7. Fund Balance

The Court's fund balance is classified under the following GASB Statement 54 components:

- **Nonspendable:** Nonspendable fund balance classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (1) not in spendable form or (2) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The Court does not have any nonspendable fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2011.
- **Restricted:** Restricted fund balance represents amounts constrained to specific purposes that are externally imposed or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation (such as taxpayers, grantors, bondholders, and higher levels of government) The Court's restricted funds in the general fund represent restricted grants and in the special revenue funds the restricted funds represent funds held for the Court's dispute and mediation programs for the year ended June 30, 2011.
- <u>Committed</u>: Committed fund balance is constrained to specific purposes by the highest level of decision-making authority (the New Mexico Legislature and Governor). The Court does not have any committed fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2011.
- <u>Assigned</u>: Assigned fund balance is constrained by the Legislature and Executive branch's intent to be used by the government for specific purposes or in some cases by legislation. Intent can be expressed by the governing body or an official or body to which the governing body delegates authority. The Court does not have any assigned fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2011.
- <u>Unassigned</u>: Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the general fund. The Court does not currently have a minimum fund balance policy. The unassigned amount represents a deficit of \$50,000 of overspent special appropriation 06-0752 in the General Fund.

<u>Modified Accrual</u> - All governmental funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting and the current financial resources measurement focus. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period which is considered to be within sixty days of year-end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general long-term debt, if any, is recognized when due.

In applying the "susceptible to accrual" concept to intergovernmental revenues pursuant to GASB Statement #33, which was also adopted as of July 1, 2001 by the Court, the provider should recognize liabilities and expenses and the recipient should recognize receivables and revenues when the applicable eligibility requirements including time requirements, are met, resources transmitted before the eligibility requirements are met, under most circumstances, should be reported as advances by the provider and deferred revenue by the recipient.

The interfund transactions are eliminated. Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as either "transfers in or out from other funds".

If the New Mexico State Legislature provides a new appropriation for a specific encumbrance, it is carried forward to a new appropriation period to be charged against the new budget. If the Legislature does not provide a new appropriation for an encumbrance, the encumbrance is no longer authorized.

Revenues, Expenditures and Expenses

Substantially all governmental fund revenues are accrued. The only revenues that the Court receives other than State appropriations are state and federal operating grants and other contracts.

Expenditures are recognized when the related fund liability is incurred.

8. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

9. Inter-fund Activity

Inter fund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers between governmental funds are netted as part of the reconciliation to the government-wide financial statements.

10. Program Revenues

Program revenues include federal and state grants, charges for tapes and copies of court proceedings and court fees collected per statute designated for court operations.

11. Receivables

Receivables consist of amounts due from federal, and other state and local governments. No allowance for doubtful accounts is established, since all amounts are considered collectible in the subsequent fiscal year.

Description

Schedule of Net Assets/Fund Balance

Amount

The General Fund (15300) has a deficit fund balance for the following reasons.

	(50,000)	Overspent Special Appropriation
\$	(50,000)	Per Balance Sheet: General Fund- Fund Balance - Governmental Funds
=		
Gov	vernment-Wi	de Net Assets - Consists of the following:
\$	206,567	Net assets invested in capital assets
	(62,054)	Long-term compensated absences payable as of year end
	(248,218)	Current portion of compensated absences payable as of year end
	(103,705)	Total of Net Assets invested in capital assets and compensated absences
	120,373	Per Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds
\$	16,668	Grant Total Net Assets at year end

A negative \$360,272 was unrestricted. \$310,272 was related to compensated absences payable which is expected to be financed by future state appropriations. The remaining \$50,000 is related to the general fund as described in the first table under this note.

\$170,373 was restricted net assets related to grants and mediation and dispute programs.

12. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments.

13. Capital Assets

Property, plant and equipment including software, purchased or acquired is carried at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Contributed assets are recorded at the fair market values as of the date received. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. The State's capitalization policy, i.e., the dollar value above which asset acquisitions including software are added to the capital accounts, was changed to \$5,000 as of July 1, 2005 from \$1,000. Assets with historical cost under \$5,000 and over \$1,000 that capitalized prior to July 1, 2005 remain on the Court's capital assets schedule. However, all capital outlay purchases may not necessarily be capitalized. The Court does not capitalize any interest in regards to its capital assets. Computer software which has been developed for internal use and which meets the capitalization minimum of \$5,000 is capitalized and depreciated in accordance with the Court depreciation guidelines. There is no related debt relating to capital assets.

Machinery and equipment	5—15 years
Furniture and equipment	5—15 years
Vehicles	5 years
Library	3 years

14. Accrued Compensated Absences

Qualified employees accumulated annual leave as follows:

Years of Service	Hours Earned per Month	Days Earned per Month	Days of Maximum Accrual
1 month – 3 years	10	1 1/4	30
Over 3 – 7 years	12	1 ½	30
Over 7 – 14 years	14	1 ³ /4	30
Over 14 years/beyond	16	2	30

The maximum accrued annual leave may be carried forward into the beginning of the next calendar year and any excess is lost. When employees terminate, they are compensated for accumulated unpaid annual leave as of the date of termination, up to the aforementioned maximums at their current hourly rate. At June 30, 2011, accrued compensated absences payable for accumulated annual leave can be seen in the note for long-term debt.

15. Accrued Sick Leave

Chapter 150 Laws of 1983, provides for the payment to employees for accumulated sick leave under certain conditions. Employees who have over 600 hours of accumulated sick leave can receive payment for the hours over 600 up to 120 hours on July 1st and January 1st of each year. However, the sick leave will be paid at fifty percent of the employee's regular hourly wage. At retirement, employees can receive fifty percent payment for up to 400 hours for the hours over 600 hours of accumulated sick leave. Employees who terminate receive no payment for accumulated sick leave. Therefore, the only sick leave which has been accrued represents the hours earned at June 30, 2011 over 600 hours. In accordance with GASB 16, accrued compensated absences consisted of accumulated annual leave and related salary payments (employers' matching FICA and Medicare payroll taxes).

Expenditures for accumulated sick pay for hours under 600 hours will be recognized as employees take such absences. Accrued vested sick pay is recorded as a liability in the governmental-wide financial statements. As of June 30, 2011, Eight (8) employees of the Court have accrued leave benefits in excess of 600 hours, which amounted to \$8,081 of the total noted below in the long-term debt note.

B. CASH AND INVESTMENTS POLICY

Investments in the State Treasurer's General Fund Investment Pool (GFIP)

All investments are on deposit with the State Treasurer, and cash is on deposit with four financial institutions. Please see the "Schedule of Deposits and Investments" in the "Other Supplementary Information" section of the audit report. Below are required disclosures regarding credit and interest risk.

Credit Risk for Investments

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The General Fund Investment Pool is not rated for credit risk.

Interest Rate Risk for Investments

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment.

The Court does not have an investment policy that limits investment interest rate risk.

For further information regarding the GFIP, please see the State Treasurer's annual audit report and specifically refer to the GASB 40 disclosure of the investments. That report may be obtained by writing to the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office, P.O. Box 608, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0708.

The New Mexico State Treasurer monitors the collateral for deposits held by it for other state entities, which would include the investment accounts of the Court. The Office of the State Treasurer has its own separate annual independent audit in which the collateral pledged to secure these deposits is disclosed. By law, the Court is not permitted to have any investments other than what is held at the State Treasurer's Office and the Court did not have any other investments during the year ended June 30, 2011. There is no custodial risk at the Court level since the cash amount is under the contract of the New Mexico State Treasurer.

See the "Schedule of Pledged Collateral" for information on Court's Custodial Credit Risk for its bank deposits as reference in the table of contents.

C. RECEIVABLES

As of June 30, 2011, receivables consist of:

	Due To	Due From		
	SHARE	SHARE		
Due from Agency	Fund	Fund	Purpose	Amount
AOC	21800	15300	LETF Reimbursement	\$ 3,170
HSD	21800	15300	Child Support Grant	50,667
				\$ 53,837

An allowance for doubtful accounts has not been established, as all receivables are considered fully collectible.

D. <u>DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS</u>

As of June 30, 2011, no funds were due to other governments:

E. RECONCILIATION BETWEEN BUDGETARY BASIS AND GAAP BASIS

Because the Court keeps their budget on the modified GAAP basis there is no need to reconcile the budget financial statements to the fund financial statements. There were no outstanding accounts payable that were applied against the subsequent year's budget.

F. REVERSIONS

Section 6-5-10 NMSA 1978 requires all unreserved, undesignated fund balances in reverting funds and accounts revert to the State General Fund within ten days of the audit report. The amount due to the State General Fund is \$35,740 from the FY 2011.

				Due To	Due From
			General	SHARE	SHARE
	Description	_	Fund	Fund_	<u>Fund</u>
Rever	sion of appropriations FY 11	\$	7,776	34100	15300
Stale-	dated warrants-due to the SGF		5,439	34100	15300
	nt year collection for tapes and copies		22,525	34100	15300
To	otal due to State General Fund	\$	35,740		

All amounts in the general fund of the Court's fund balance revert except what is noted under the outstanding encumbrances and unencumbered balances presented on the schedule of special, deficiency, specific and capital appropriation located in the "Other Supplementary Information" section of the audit report and other grants, which amounts to \$65,344 on page 6.

G. CHANGES IN LONG-TERM DEBT

A summary of changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2011 is as follows:

					Current
	2010	Increases	Decreases	2011	Portion
Compensated absences payable \$	288,392	188,621	(166,741)	310,272	248,218

Prior year compensated absences have been liquidated by the general fund

H. CONTINGENCIES

Risk of loss

The Court is insured through the Risk Management Division of the General Services Department, which is accounted for as an internal service fund of the State of New Mexico. In general, Risk Management Division responds to suits against the State and state agencies, manages funds to provide unemployment compensation, tort liability insurance, workers' compensation and general and property insurance, and attempts to reduce the number of suits against the State and state agencies through the risk management process. The actuarial gains and losses of Risk Management Division were not available and were not included in this report. However, the Court is not liable for more than the premiums paid.

I. INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES AND INTERFUND TRANSFERS

Interfund receivables and payables reflect short term (current) borrowings among the Court's funds in the normal course of business. As of June 30, 2011, there were no interfund payables and receivables outstanding. Also, there were no interfund transfers.

J. CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of changes in the capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2011 is as follows:

	Balance			Balance
Governmental Activities	2010	Additions	Deletions	2011
Furniture and equipment \$	298,257		-	298,257
Machinery and equipment	670,694	-	-	670,694
Vehicles	309,445	-	-	309,445
Library	85,061			85,061
	1,363,457	-	-	1,363,457
Less accumulated deprecia	ation for:			
Furniture and equipment	(254,755)	(29,337)	-	(284,092)
Machinery and equipment	(505,902)	(61,038)	-	(566,940)
Vehicles	(179,423)	(41,374)	-	(220,797)
Library	(85,061)			(85,061)
	(1,025,141)	(131,749)		(1,156,890)
Capital assets, net \$	338,316	(131,749)		206,567

The Court is a single function organization and all depreciation is recorded to its only activity, general government, in the amount of \$131,749.

The Court has idle capital assets as follows; equipment and machinery of \$166,290 with a book basis of \$0 and furniture and fixtures of \$176,826 with a book basis of \$0.

K. PENSION PLAN – PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION

Plan Description. Substantially all of the Court's full-time employees participate in a public employee retirement system authorized under the Public Employees Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978). The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) is the administrator of the plan, which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. The plan provides for retirement benefits, disability benefits, survivor benefits and cost-of-living adjustments to plan members and beneficiaries. PERA issues a separate, publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the plan. That report may be obtained by writing to PERA, P.O. Box 2123, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2123. The report is also available on PERA's website at www.pera.state.nm.us.

Funding Policy: Plan members are required to contribute 8.92% of their gross salary. The Court is required to contribute 15.09% of the gross covered salary. The contribution requirements of plan members and the Court are established in State statute under Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978. The requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. The Court's contributions to PERA for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$569,906, \$587,923, and \$663,300, less the amounts disclosed in the JRA Pension plan shown below respectively, which equal the amount of the required contributions for each fiscal year.

L. JRA PENSION PLAN

Substantially all of the Court's Judges or Justices participate in a public employee retirement system authorized under the Judicial Retirement Act. The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) is the administrator of the plan, which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. The plan provides for retirement, disability benefits and cost-of-living adjustments to plan members and beneficiaries. PERA issues a separate, publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to PERA, P.O. Box 2123, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2123.

Funding Policy Of The JRA Pension Plan

Plan members are required to contribute 7.5% of their gross salary. The Court is required to contribute 12.0% of the gross covered salary. The Court's contributions to JRA for the years ending June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$82,255, \$82,916 and \$73,591, respectively, equal to the amount of the required contributions for each year.

In addition to the above, the Court remits \$38 of each filing fee collected by the Court into the Judicial Retirement Act plan as required by Section 10-12b-11, NMSA 1978. These fees are remitted directly to PERA and are not included within the accompanying financial statements. The Court remitted \$277,011 in filing fees for the year ending June 30, 2011.

M. DEFERRED COMPENSATION

The State of New Mexico offers state, local government and school district employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The plan available to all State employees and those local government and school district employees whose employers have elected participation in the plan permits participants to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. There are employees that are making contributions to a Deferred Compensation Plan. Neither the Court nor the State of New Mexico make any contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan. All contributions withheld from participants by the Court have been paid to the New Mexico Public Employee's Retirement Association, which administers the plan

N. POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS—STATE RETIREE HEALTH CARE PLAN

Plan Description. The Court contributes to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA). The RHCA provides health care insurance and prescription drug benefits to retired employees of participating New Mexico government agencies, their spouses, dependents, and surviving spouses and dependents. The RHCA Board was established by the Retiree Health Care Act (Chapter 10, Article 7C, NMSA 1978). The Board is responsible for establishing and amending benefit provisions of the healthcare plan and is also authorized to designate optional and/or voluntary benefits like dental, vision, supplemental life insurance, and long-term care policies.

Eligible retirees are: 1) retirees who make contributions to the fund for at least five years prior to retirement and whose eligible employer during that period of time made contributions as a participant in the RHCA plan on the person's behalf unless that person retires before the employer's RHCA effective date, in which event the time period required for employee and employer

contributions shall become the period of time between the employer's effective date and the date of retirement; 2) retirees defined by the Act who retired prior to July 1, 1990; 3) former legislators who served at least two years; and 4) former governing authority members who served at least four years.

The RHCA issues a publicly available stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the postemployment healthcare plan. That report and further information can be obtained by writing to the Retiree Health Care Authority at 4308 Carlisle NE, Suite 104, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

Funding Policy. The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-13 NMSA 1978) authorizes the RHCA Board to establish the monthly premium contributions that retirees are required to pay for healthcare benefits. Each participating retiree pays a monthly premium according to a service based subsidy rate schedule for the medical plus basic life plan plus an additional participation fee of five dollars if the eligible participant retired prior to the employer's RHCA effective date or is a former legislator or former governing authority member. Former legislators and governing authority members are required to pay 100% of the insurance premium to cover their claims and the administrative expenses of the plan. The monthly premium rate schedule can be obtained from the RHCA or viewed on their website at www.nmrhca.state.nm.us.

The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978) is the statutory authority that establishes the required contributions of participating employers and their employees. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 1.666% of each participating employee's annual salary; each participating employee was required to contribute .8333% of their salary. In the fiscal years ending June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013 the contribution rates for employees and employers will rise as follows:

For employees who are not members of an enhanced retirement plan the contribution rates will be:

Fiscal Year	Employer Contribution Rate	Employee Contribution Rate
FY12	1.834%	.917%
FY13	2.000%	1.000%

For employees who are members of an enhanced retirement plan (members who are eligible of the Judicial Retirement Act [10-12B-1 NMSA 1978]) the contribution rates will be:

Fiscal Year	Employer Contribution Rate	Employee Contribution Rate		
FY12	2.292%	1.146%		
FY13	2.5%	1.250%		

Also, employers joining the program after 1/1/98 are required to make a surplus-amount contribution to the RHCA based on one of two formulas at agreed-upon intervals.

The RHCA plan is financed on a pay-as-you-go basis. The employer, employee and retiree contributions are required to be remitted to the RHCA on a monthly basis. The statutory requirements for the contributions can be changed by the New Mexico State Legislature.

The Court's contributions to the RHCA for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$69,688, \$52,950 and \$51,998, respectively, which equal the required contributions for each year.

O. LEASES

The Court has equipment leases which can be terminated with no penalty to the Court, if the Legislature does not appropriate money to the Court. The leases are for 13 copy machines. All leases may be terminated at any time with sixty days notice if the New Mexico Legislature does not grant sufficient appropriation for the lease or if the Court decides that termination is necessary to protect the best interests of the State of New Mexico. The future minimum rental payments as of June 30, 2011 in the aggregate on the next page are as follows:

Year	Lease
Ended	Payments
2012	42,455
2013	3,255
2014	-
2015	-
2016	_

Lease expenditures and related expenses for the year ended June 30, 2011 were \$73,093.

P. JOINT POWERS AGREEMENTS AND MEMORANDUMS OF UNDERSTANDING

The Court's has one memorandum of understanding as follows:

Participant: Court and the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

Responsible Party: The DA

Description: Reimburse the Court for a portion of expenditures related to

operation of Juvenile Drug Court in Los Lunas.

Term: Begins November 1, 2009 and terminates June 30, 2011

Amount Involved: Up to \$8,000

Revenue/Expenditures Reported: Revenue on the Court's books, expense on the AOC's books

Audit Responsibility: Both parties should have the contract reviewed

Fiscal Agent: Not applicable

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES-ALL AGENCY FUNDS For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

				Disburse-	
	_	2010	Receipts	ments	2011
PROGRAM NAME					
ASSETS					
State Treasurer accounts		33,906	1,343,958	(1,340,267)	37,597
Cash in authorized bank account		3,132,319	4,493,919	(4,761,342)	2,864,896
	\$	3,166,225	5,837,877	(6,101,609)	2,902,493
LIABILITIES	_				
Due to others state agencies		33,906	1,343,958	(1,340,267)	37,597
Due to litigants		3,132,319	4,493,919	(4,761,342)	2,864,896
	\$	3,166,225	5,837,877	(6,101,609)	2,902,493

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS As of June 30, 2011

	Type of Account	Bank/ Treasurer Balance	Deposits In Transit	Outstanding Warrants/ Checks	Book Balance
GENERAL FUND	_				
New Mexico State Treasurer 243-15300	SGFIP \$	301,603			301,603
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS					
New Mexico State Treasurer 243-88000	SGFIP	71,958	_	-	71,958
New Mexico State Treasurer 243-08300	SGFIP	39,831	_	-	39,831
Total Special Revenue Funds		111,789	-		111,789
AGENCY FUNDS					
Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A.					
Court Registry - Valencia	Checking	186,914	1,000	(5,000)	182,914
STO Account - Bernalillo Branch	Checking	7,061	-	-	7,061
STO - Cibola Branch	Checking	149	_	_	149
STO - Los Lunas Branch	Checking	30,387	_	_	30,387
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Checking Accounts		224,511	1,000	(5,000)	220,511
PB-01-66 - IMO: Gene E. Donoghue	Savings	381,957	-	-	381,957
CV-09-2519 - Sonida vs Gately	Savings	441,900	_	_	441,900
CV-10-2316 - RR vs Sandstorm	Savings	34,908	_	-	34,908
CV-10-2414 - RR vs Vaughan	Savings	23,151	_	-	23,151
CV-10-2414 - Sandoval County vs Est of E Busch	Savings	18,702	_	-	18,702
CV-10-2414 - Sandoval County vs Gloria Schlegel	Savings	9,400	_	_	9,400
CV-10-2414 - Sandoval County vs Est of A Taralds	Savings	9,400	_	-	9,400
CV-10-778 - Bank of New York vs Lamb	Savings	20,001	_	-	20,001
PB-08-154 - IMO James D. Shreve, II	Savings	48,870	_	-	48,870
CV-09-2886 - Residential vs Sapeta	Savings	16,088	_	_	16,088
CV-10-1051 - GMAC Mortgage vs Alan Zingler	Savings	6,394	_	_	6,394
CV-10-1151 - Deustche Bank vs Stuart G. Lamb	Savings	5,000	_	_	5,000
	O				
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.	_	1,01 <u>5,771</u> 1,240,282	1,000	(5,000)	1,015,771 1,236,282
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.	_	1,015,771	1,000		1,015,771
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen	_	1,015,771	1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen	A. Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282	1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen	Α.	1,01 <u>5,771</u> 1,240,282	1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins	A. Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775	- 1,000		1,015,771
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank	Savings Checking	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Checking Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-295 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry	Savings Checking	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca CV-08-400 - NMDOT vs Marquez, K Total My Grants State Bank U.S. Bank	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Checking Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca CV-08-400 - NMDOT vs Marquez, K Total My Grants State Bank	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Checking Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083	- 1,000		1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca CV-08-400 - NMDOT vs Marquez, K Total My Grants State Bank U.S. Bank	Savings	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283	- 1,000	(5,000)	1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-295 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca CV-08-400 - NMDOT vs Marquez, K Total My Grants State Bank U.S. Bank Court Registry - Sandoval	Savings Checking Savings Checking	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283	- 1,000	(5,000)	1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283
My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Allumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca CV-08-400 - NMDOT vs Marquez, K Total My Grants State Bank Cust. Bank Court Registry - Sandoval CV-01-733 - Sippy vs Giles, D CV-03-546 - Gldn W vs Unk Heir	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Checking Savings Checking Checking Checking	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283	- 1,000	(5,000)	1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca CV-08-400 - NMDOT vs Marquez, K Total My Grants State Bank U.S. Bank Court Registry - Sandoval CV-01-733 - Sippy vs Giles, D CV-03-546 - Gldn W vs Unk Heir CV-04-224 - ChrtBk vs Slotness	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Checking Savings Checking Checking Checking Checking Checking Checking	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283 262,213 11,914 2,869 10,214	- 1,000	(5,000)	1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N. A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca CV-08-400 - NMDOT vs Marquez, K Total My Grants State Bank Court Registry - Sandoval CV-01-733 - Sippy vs Giles, D CV-03-546 - Gldn W vs Unk Heir CV-04-224 - ChrtBk vs Slotness CV-04-470 - GE Mrtg vs Jacquez, M	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Checking	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283 262,213 11,914 2,869 10,214 4,967	- 1,000	(5,000)	1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283 172,396 11,914 2,869 10,214 4,967
Subtotal for Wells Fargo Savings Accounts Total Wells Fargo Bank of New Mexico N.A My Bank/Belen CV-00-031 - SHD vs Allen CV-09-817 - PNM vs Allwood CV-92-337 - Trra Grn vs Watkins CV-94-291 - Brannin vs Applebee CV-94-425 - SHD vs Alumco CV-95-009 - MidCnt vs Davenport CV-98-592 - Fd Ntl Mrt vs Jones CV-99-375 - Lawyers vs Murphy PB-09-058 - IMO: Robert Allan & Jewel Allan Total My Bank/Belen Grants State Bank Cibola Clerk's Court Registry CV-08-399 - NMDOT vs Baca CV-08-400 - NMDOT vs Marquez, K Total My Grants State Bank U.S. Bank Court Registry - Sandoval CV-01-733 - Sippy vs Giles, D CV-03-546 - Gldn W vs Unk Heir CV-04-224 - ChrtBk vs Slotness	Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Savings Checking Savings Checking Checking Checking Checking Checking Checking	1,015,771 1,240,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283 262,213 11,914 2,869 10,214	- 1,000	(5,000)	1,015,771 1,236,282 18,140 13,785 14,679 18,602 1,952 5,133 11,775 5,299 23,346 112,711 74,039 1,161 58,083 133,283

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS - Continued As of June 30, 2011

	Type of Account	Bank/ Treasurer Balance	Deposits In Transit	Outstanding Warrants/ Checks	Book Balance
AGENCY FUNDS - continued					
U.S. Bank					
CV-05-639 - NMDOT vs Hardall Holding	Checking	28,293	-	-	28,293
CV-05-683 - NM DOT vs Isabelle Jackim	Checking	1	-	-	1
CV-09-1437 Astante vs Le	Checking	4,010	-	-	4,010
CV-06-818 - Board of Educ vs Arlene Derise	Checking	28,485	-	-	28,485
CV-03-980 - City of RR vs George Martindell	Checking	114,369	-	-	114,369
CV-09-2873 - PNM vs Lawrence Andreassen	Checking	29,073	-	-	29,073
CV-06-014 - C-SND vs Jiminez, F	Checking	22,057	-	-	22,057
CV-06-1403 - Bd of Ed vs Rancho	Checking	143,940	-	-	143,940
CV-06-405 - C-RR vs Lofy, Ronal	Checking	21,415	-	-	21,415
CV-06-490 - C-RR vs Keicher, H	Checking	34,584	-	-	34,584
CV-06-591 - C-RR vs Athens, G	Checking	34,555	-	-	34,555
CV-06-719 - NMDOT vs Gilman, D	Checking	40,189	-	-	40,189
CV-06-735 - C-RR vs Kailey, L	Checking	34,639	-	-	34,639
CV-06-983 - C-RR vs McQuay, B	Checking	69,807	-	-	69,807
CV-06-984 - C-RR vs Merten, M	Checking	40,352	-	-	40,352
CV-07-1777 - C-RR vs Jardine	Checking	57,264	-	-	57,264
CV-07-1778 - C-RR vs John Mcgurty	Checking	62,470	-	-	62,470
CV-07-277 - C-RR vs Pasley, M.	Checking	25,204	-	-	25,204
CV-07-278 - C-RR vs O'Halloran	Checking	31,632	-	-	31,632
CV-97-1137 - Chr Bk vs Amador	Checking	3,789	-	-	3,789
CV-97-906 - Cook vs Staufenberg	Checking	11,720	-	=	11,720
PB-08-037 - D Padilla vs P Taylor	Checking	32,296	-	=	32,296
CV-08-1885 - PNM vs One Purpose	Checking	10,142	-	-	10,142
CV-09-1652 - Arroyo vs Estelle Beane Gillman	Checking	67,659	-	=	67,659
CV-09-2408 - Sandoval Cnty vs Tesoro Properties	Checking	239,793	-	-	239,793
Subtotal U.S. Bank		1,187,738			1,187,738
Total U.S. Bank		1,510,034		(89,817)	1,420,217
Grand total of deposits	\$	2,169,420		(89,817)	2,079,603
_					
Recon	ciliation of (Cash by Fund Typ	e		
Total Cash by Type					
Governmental Funds	\$		-	-	413,392
Agency Funds		2,996,310	1,000	(94,817)	2,902,493
Grand total of deposits	\$	3,409,702	1,000	(94,817)	3,315,885

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF PLEDGED COLLATERAL BY BANK AND ACCOUNT As of June 30, 2011

Account Name	Account Type	Bank Balance	Book Balance
Governmental Funds Cash at State Treasurer	SGFIP \$	413,392	413,392
Agency Funds Wells Forge Penk	Chooking ¢		
Wells Fargo Bank Wells Fargo Bank	Checking \$ Savings	224,511 1,015,771	220,511 1,015,771
My Bank/Belen	Checking	112,711	112,711
U.S. Bank	Checking	1,510,034	1,420,217
Grants State Bank	Savings	59,244	59,244
Grants State Bank	Checking	74,039	74,039
Total Agency Funds	\$ =	2,996,310	2,902,493
Total amount of deposit in banks	\$	2,996,310	2,902,493
FDIC coverage		(991,153)	
Total uninsured public funds	\$	2,005,157	
50% Collateral Requirement (Section 6-10-17 NMSA 1978)	\$ <u>-</u>	1,002,579	

Collateralization of the Court's bank deposits is as follows:

The uninsured public funds is as shown above. Deposits at My Bank/Belen and Grants State Bank, Wells Fargo Bank and U.S. Bank are not available because the banks commingle pledged collateral for all state funds they hold. The collateralization of these accounts is monitored by the State Treasurer's office. These banks provide proof of collateral sufficient to cover all State funds deposited. The State Treasurer issues separate financial statements which disclose the collateral pledged to secure amounts held by the State Treasurer.

Custodial Credit Risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Court's deposits may not be returned. The Court does not have a policy for custodial credit risk. The Court's Agency Fund bank balances were exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

As of June 30, 2011

Uninsured and uncollateralized as pledged collateral is		
commingled for all state funds		Unknown
Uninsured, collateral held by the pledging banks, but not in the		
name of the Court or the State of New Mexico	\$_	2,005,157

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

Agency **Transferred From** To **Amount Description** From Fund **Fund** Reference Transferred In **State Appropriations** General Fund Appropriation SGF 34101 15300 Laws 2010, Ch 6, Sec 4 6,092,400 **Other Financing Sources** CASA Appropriation AOC 15300 Laws 2010, Ch 6, Sec 4 408,269 21800 **Total Other Financing Sources** 408,269

Description	Agency Transferred To	From Fund	To Fund	Reference		Amount Transferred Out
General Fund Reversion	DFA	15300	34100	Reversion of current year		
General Fund Appropriation	DFA	15300	34100	miscellaneous income Reversion of FY 11	\$ -	(16,766) (7,776) (24,542)

^{*}Note: The reversions presented in the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Governmental Funds are expected to be reverted in FY 12

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF SPECIAL, DEFICIENCY, SPECIFIC AND CAPITAL OUTLAY APPROPRIATIONS For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

						Out-	
	SHARE			Approp-	Expend-	standing	Unen-
	Identifier		Original	riation	itures	Encumb-	cumbered
Description	#	_	Appropriation	Period	To Date	rances	Balances
Special, Deficiency, Specific and Capital Outlay Appropriations							
				07/01/06-			
Laws 2007 - SB 827/Chapter 42/Section 52/Subsection 2	07-3921	_	25,000	6/30/11	25,000		
Total Special, Deficiency, Specific and Capital Outlay Appropriations		\$	25,000		25,000		



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable Louis P. McDonald, Presiding Judge State of New Mexico Thirteenth Judicial District Court and Mr. Hector H. Balderas, New Mexico State Auditor Office of the State Auditor Santa Fe, New Mexico

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the respective budgetary comparisons for the State of New Mexico, Thirteenth Judicial District Court (Court) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2011 We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Court's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be a material weakness, 10-02.

December 6 2011

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS, continued

A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be significant deficiency, 7-04.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Court's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as findings 03-02, 10-02 and 11-01.

The Court's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. We did not audit the Court's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the agency, the New Mexico State Auditor, the New Mexico Legislature, the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration and applicable federal grantors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hinkle + Landers, PC December 6, 2011

inkle 4 Zandeus, P.C.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

Current and prior year findings are as follows:

Reference FY-Finding #	Findings	Status of Current and Prior Year Findings	Financial Statement Finding	State Audit Rule Finding	Material Weakness	Significant Deficiency
03-02 -	State Treasurer's Account Balance	Repeated	No	Yes	N/A	N/A
07-04 -	Cash Controls of Fiduciary Funds	Repeated/Rev ised	Yes	No	No	Yes
10-01 -	Actual Expenditures Exceed Budget	Resolved	No	Yes	N/A	N/A
10-02	Deficit Fund Balance in General Fund	Repeated	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
10-03	Reversion Not Made Timely to State General Fund	Resolved	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
11-01	Adjustment Report	Current	Yes	No	No	No

03-02-State Treasurer's Account Balance-State Audit Rule Finding

Statement of Condition

The County State Treasurer's bank account balances were greater than \$15,000 for the following months for the following counties:

Sandoval County	12 out of 12 months in fiscal year 2011
Valencia County	12 out of 12 months in fiscal year 2011

Criteria

NMSA 1978 Section 34-6-37 specifies that the State Treasurer shall make withdrawals from the account at least quarterly and in any event so the balance on hand never exceeds \$15,000.

Cause

The law stated above has been on the books for a number of years and the amount of \$15,000 is low in relation to the amount of funds the Court collects on a monthly basis. Therefore, the Court cannot meet this requirement because it is not realistic in relation to the amount of cash they collect. It is virtually impossible to meet this requirement.

Effect

The Court has significant amounts of cash in its bank accounts which makes it more susceptible for misappropriation and the lack of controls and monitoring had increased the risk that this potential misappropriation will go undetected. The Court is out of compliance with the law cited above.

Recommendation

The Court should determine if transfers can be made more often to comply with the law or seek to have the amounts cited in the law increased.

Management Response

Due to the marked growth of this Court, and other Courts throughout the State, and the proportional increase in monies collected by the Courts, it is virtually impossible to comply with this law. It is not uncommon for the Court to receive monies in excess of this amount within a matter of days, sometimes the same day. The process by which these monies are transferred requires at least two days and sometime longer to complete, thus, the resulting overlaps in transference of funds and non-compliance with the law. It is apparent that this statute should be amended to reflect cash flow in the modern era.

<u>07-04—Cash Control Of Fiduciary Funds—Significant Deficiency</u>

Statement of Condition

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the Court elected to be one of the initial users of a new court case management system that also include a bank reconciliation module. The bank reconciliation module was unable to provide an adequate reconciliation reports for four significant agency trust accounts as noted below:

STO Account - Bernalillo Branch STO - Cibola Branch STO - Los Lunas Branch Court Registry – Sandoval

Additional procedures were taken by the auditor to determine that cash for the following accounts was properly reconciled and accounted for:

Criteria

The Court has the fiduciary duty to safeguard and report on the cash being held for others. This includes strong internal controls, checks and balances of the assets.

Effect

The opportunity exists for an individual to make an error or misappropriate cash and not be detected in a timely manner if at all.

Cause

The Court has made improvements to its overall controls over fiduciary cash, but will need to make adjustments to how it reconciles its cash as it appears that the case management bank reconciliation system currently has limitations.

Recommendation

All bank accounts should be fully reconciled and the bank reconciliations should be reviewed by a person independent of the reconciliations process.

Management Response

The Court agrees with this finding. The Court was one of the pilot courts for the Odyssey case management system which also has an accounting ledger module. The accounting module is very rigid, in that amounts are directly linked to activity and cases on the case management module. There were

some initial discrepancies in the balances input to the Odyssey system when it was rolled out causing the ledger to be out of balance. The accounting module does not allow the user to input an un-located difference for the purpose of preparing a timely reconciliation, as such, the Court was "stuck" for a number of months until these differences could be rectified by the Court, JID, and the STO. The Court is currently in process of cleaning up the ledger in order to be able to properly reconcile activity in the case management module to the bank statements.

10-02—Deficit Fund Balance—Material Weakness and State Audit Rule Finding

Statement of Condition

The General Fund has a deficit fund balance due to overspending of special appropriation, which is reference as Laws 2006-HB 622-Chapter 111/Sec 33-Item G.

Criteria

Although the fund balance appeared to exist at the beginning of the 2009 fiscal year to keep the Court's general fund from falling into a deficit fund balance. That beginning fund balance was incorrect. Therefore, it appears that the Court is not in compliance with State Auditor Rule 2.2.2.10 P. "Appropriations to Agencies", which states that budget deficits are generally not allowed.

Effect

The Court has a deficit fund balance in the general fund and it must be determined how it is going to be covered.

Cause

It appears that budgetary controls were not properly in place or properly monitored.

Recommendation

The Court should explore its options to cover the deficit fund balance and take measures to prevent the possibility of a deficit occurring again.

Management Response

Since the SHARE conversion, the Court has had a receivable on the books in the amount of \$50,000 which appears to relate to Special Capital Outlay Appropriations per the Laws 2006 - HB 622 - Ch 111 -Sec 33 - Item G in that amount. Research performed by the Court, the CAFR Unit, and the Administrative Office of the Courts indicates that the Court properly accrued for this Special Capital Outlay Appropriation in fiscal year 2006 as evidenced by the Court's financial statements. In fiscal year 2007, the Financial Control Division INCORRECTLY posted the accrual for the \$50,000 General Fund Capital Outlay Appropriation as a SHARE conversion entry. This posting duplicated and overstated the receivable amount resulting in a prior period adjustment in the 2007 audited financial statements. On May 23, 2008, the Financial Control Division transferred the appropriation to the Court to spend, which resulted in a reduction of the receivable. However, the duplicate receivable of \$50,000 remains on the Court's books. At this time, the Court had multiple appropriations in this exact amount for IT Capital Projects causing further confusion. Given the confusion and chaos surrounding the SHARE conversion, as well as the firing of the Court's auditor for the fiscal year 2007 audit, this misstatement was not identified by the Court, the Financial Control Division, the CAFR Unit, or subsequent audits. Furthermore, there was little in the way of accurate SHARE reporting during and subsequent to the SHARE conversion for the Court to rely upon and a general lack of internal controls within the SHARE system. This made it difficult for the Court to monitor activity. It would appear that the Court has spent these monies as evidenced by fund balance analysis. It is the recommendation of the CAFR Unit

that the duplicate receivable be removed from the books at this time as an error and to adjust fund balance accordingly. This adjustment results in a deficit fund balance in the Court's General Fund of \$50,000, as such; we are requesting a Special Deficiency Appropriation.

11-01—Adjustment Report—Other Matter

Statement of Condition

Once fines and fees are collected at the Court's counter and the clerks close and their registers, designate Court officials review the close-outs reports and have access to both the cash collected and the ability to make adjustments in the computer accounting system that could go undetected.

Criteria

Checks and balances and internal controls should be implemented to mitigate the risk for misappropriation of funds.

Effect

The Court has a weakness in its close-out of funds deficit fund balance in the general fund that can be easily mitigated.

Cause

The final steps of the close-out process, where responsible officials have access to both cash and to the computer accounting system, has not been addressed.

Recommendation

The Court should consider printing out an adjustment report on a weekly or monthly basis that will provide responsible officials of the Court to adequately monitor the risk of someone adjusting the accounting system and misappropriating cash. The adjustment reports should be reviewed, signed and dated by a responsible official who does not have access to cash.

Management Response

The Court will seek additional guidance from JID and the Odyssey programmers in this regard. Per our preliminary discussions with JID, there are a couple of different ways that adjustments can be reviewed and the Court will begin reviewing these adjustments as we prepare our deposits to the State Treasurer's Office.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT EXIT CONFERENCE

For The Year Ended June 30, 2011

An exit conference was held in a closed session on December 6, 2011, at the Court's Offices in Sandoval County, New Mexico. In attendance were the following:

Honorable Louis P. McDonald Chief Judge Jamie Goldberg Court Administrator

Chris Wolf Chief Financial Officer

Farley Vener, CPA, CFE Independent Auditor, Hinkle + Landers, PC

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements of the Court as of June 30, 2011, were substantially prepared by Hinkle + Landers, PC, however, the financial statements are the responsibility of management.