

# HINKLE + LANDERS

Certified Public Accountants + Business Consultants

# SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

# TABLE OF CONTENTS SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY SECTION:	Page
Table of Contents	i
Official Roster	1
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditors' Report	2-3
Management's Discussion and Analysis	- 3 4-9
Frank School of Discussion and Finally on	<b>T</b> 2
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
<b>Government-Wide Financial Statements</b>	
Statement of Net Position—Governmental Activities	10
Statement of Activities—Governmental Activities	11
Statement of factivities Governmental factivities	11
Fund Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	12
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	
(Deficits)—Governmental Funds	13
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances—Budget	O
and Actual (Modified Accrual Budgetary Basis)—General Fund	14
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances—Budget	- 1
and Actual (Modified Accrual Budgetary Basis)—Mediation Fund	15
	-0
Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities—Agency Funds	16
g,	-
Notes to the Financial Statements	17-34
	-/ <b>U</b> I
OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Assets, and Liabilities—All Agency Funds	35
Schedule of Deposits and Investments	36
Schedule of Pledged Collateral by Bank and Account	37
Schedule of Interagency Transfers	38
conclude of interagency framework	Je
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	
and on Compliance and other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial	
Statements Performed in Accordance with Governmental Auditing Standards	39-40
	U) 1-
Summary Schedule of Audit Findings	41
Schedule of Findings and Responses	41
Exit Conference	42

# Official Roster

	As of June 30, 2013				
Name	Judges	Title			
Honorable Henry Quintero		Chief Judge-Division I			
Honorable Judge Jennifer E. DeLan	ney	Division II			
Honorable J.C. Robinson		Division III			
Honorable Daniel G. Viramontes		Division IV			
	Administrative Officials				
Melissa Frost		Court Executive Officer/CFO			
Sylvia Ojinaga		District Court Clerk – Grant County			
Martina Elias		District Court Clerk – Hidalgo County			
Angelic Gutierrez		District Clerk – Luna County			



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Honorable J.C. Robinson, Chief Judge Sixth Judicial District Court Silver City, New Mexico and Mr. Hector H. Balderas, New Mexico State Auditor Santa Fe, New Mexico

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons for the general fund and major special revenue fund of the Sixth Judicial District Court of the State of New Mexico (Court), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

# **Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## **Auditors' Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and the major funds of the Court, as of June 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position and the respective budgetary comparisons for

the general fund and major special revenue fund for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matters**

# Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 4-9 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the Court's financial statements, and the budgetary comparison. The "other supplemental information" in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The additional schedules listed as "other supplemental information are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, additional statement and schedules are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 9, 2013 on our consideration of the Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Court's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Hinkle + Landers, PC October 9, 2013

Hinkle & Landers, P.C.

3

# Management Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

The following is a summary overview of the Sixth Judicial District Court's previous year of operation, including financial information, internal structure, management structure and the financial issues that have impacted this agency in fiscal year 2013. An outline of this agency's approach is to ensure achievement of its future goals and implementation of new projects and improvements.

#### History

The Sixth Judicial District Courts (Court) are established in NMSA 1978, §34-6-9 and sit in Grant, Luna and Hidalgo Counties. The courts are divided into four divisions with two judges based in Grant County and two judges based in Luna County. The workload for the three counties is distributed among the four judges. The District Court provides litigant due process, access to justice, and resolution of disputes in a timely manner. The court staff maintains accurate records of legal proceedings and accounts for funds they are authorized to receive. When called upon, the district court of this judicial district protects the rights and liberties of the citizens of these three counties as guaranteed by the Constitutions of both New Mexico and the United States.

<u>Court Composition:</u> This judicial district is staffed with 4 district judges and 34 employees. There are 14 court employees in Luna County, while Grant County is served by 16 district court employees, with 4 employees working in the Hidalgo County courthouse.

Allotment: The Court received its allotment of \$3,120,100 through House Bill 2 for fiscal year 2013.

This management's discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Sixth Judicial District Court's basic financial statements. The District Court's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: (1) government-wide financial statements, (2) fund financial statements and (3) notes to the financial statements. In addition to the basic financial statements, the report contains other supplementary information.

#### **Internal Funds and Departments**

The revenue for the Sixth Judicial District Court is divided into two (2) funds, which are Fund 14600 and Fund 52100. Each fund has departments for designated programs and/or purposes as indicated below:

Fund	Name	Department
14600		
	Court Regular	0611000000
	Luna Juvenile Drug Court	0621000000
	Juvenile Justice Continuum of Services (JJCS)	0622000000
	Hidalgo Adult Drug Court	0624000000
	Grant Adult Drug Court	0625000000
	CASA	0631000000
52100		
	Mediation/Fund Balance	06411111111

Fund 14600 is designated for court operating costs and fund 52100 is designated for Medication as a non-reverting revenue fund.

#### **Net Position**

The Sixth Judicial District Court reported balances in three net position categories for fiscal year ending June 30, 2013. These categories are Invested Capital Assets, Restricted Assets and Unrestricted Assets.

# Management Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

State statute regarding restricted net assets is required to be utilized for mediation programs that target domestic relations cases. Unrestricted Net Position are negative due to the government's policy to fund compensated absences payable out of current resources as they become due.

While non-current liabilities decreased, due to the drop in compensated absences, the change in total liabilities is a result of improved processing of day-to-day expenditures and the turn-around time from DFA, as our agency's working knowledge of the SHARE program improves.

	_	Statement o	f Net Position
Assets		2013	2012
Current assets	\$	162,578	207,310
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation		132,786	129,819
Total assets	\$	295,364	337,129
Current liabilities Noncurrent liabilities	\$	209,422	264,336
Compensated absences payable expected to be paid after one year		19,776	23,391
Total liabilities	_	229,198	287,727
Net assets			
Invested in capital assets		132,786	129,819
Restricted		32,408	29,436
Unrestricted (deficit)		(99,028)	(109,854)
Total net assets	_	66,166	49,401
Total net assets and liabilities	\$	295,364	337,128

**Statement of Activities:** The following represents the revenue and expenses for fiscal year 2013. The changes in net position as shown below are the result of increases in operating revenues outpacing operating costs to run the Court's programs.

	Statement of Activities		of Activities
	_	2013	2012
Revenues	_		
Program	\$	295,567	221,854
General fund appropriation		3,010,300	2,893,400
Other financing sources		-	132,089
Reversion to state general fund	_	(28,641)	(22,295)
Total revenues	_	3,277,226	3,225,048
Expenses General government	_	(3,260,461)	(3,168,548)
Change in net position:		16,765	56,500
Net position - beginning of year Net assets position - end of year	\$ _	49,401 66,166	(7,099) 49,401

# Management Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

# **Expenditures**

The Department of Finance and Administration designates three (3) categories for expenditures which are utilized by this agency. These categories are Category 200-Personal Services and Benefits, Category 300-Contractual Services and Category 400-Other Costs.

**Long Term Debt:** This agency had a total long term debt which consists of accumulated leave balances, sick leave balance over 600 hours, and terminal leave eligible employees received upon termination:

	2013	2012
Compensated absences payable	\$ 98,877	109854

# **Budgetary Comparison**

The required budgetary comparison schedules above are for the general fund and the special revenue fund for which this agency has a legally adopted annual budget. This is in compliance with the Office of the State Auditor requirements under 2.2.2 NMAC.

The appropriated balance changes for fiscal year 2013 were due to the requested and approved budget adjustment reallocations to different categories. Budget adjustment requests to the Department of Finance and Administration were approved and funding was applied in other categories that assisted in the operation of the court.

# **Review of Departments**

**Court Regular-**This department is composed of various factors and elements which are significant in operating this agency to its full capacity. In fiscal year 2013, the numbers of employees are 25 full-time, 1 part-time, with 4 judges. Due to budget reductions the district decided to employ part-time employees instead of filling the positions full-time. This strategic plan assures all departments are operating. The district has avoided employee furloughs by restructuring programs, multi-tasking, cross training in different programs and redistributing operational needs. All employees were compensated for their services from *Personal Services and Benefits*.

The agency contracted specific contractors for various specialized services for the continuing operation of the agency. There are 2 Special Masters, one located in Deming and one located in Silver City. There is 1 Pro Se Litigant Officer serving all three counties.

**Mediation-**The Court is authorized to collect a \$30 fee each time a domestic relations case is filed/opened in the District Court Clerk's Office. The purpose for the additional fees is to provide mediation for parties when conflict is present.

An experienced mediator is contracted for negotiation between the parties. The mediator is paid from the revenue collected from this fee. In FY 13 the court collected \$14,369 and expended \$18,441. The balance is non-reverting and remains available for use the following year.

**Juvenile Drug Court**—The Juvenile Drug Court program has been in existence since August 2000 and to date has impacted 194 juvenile participants and has obtained a 7.7% recidivism rate three (3) years post-graduation. This program operates in Luna County, which provides services to juveniles, which have committed a delinquent act involving drugs or alcohol. This is an alternative program to probation. The program focuses on assisting juveniles to strive towards personal growth through positive behavior, such as sobriety goals, better behavioral choices, etc. The Sixth Judicial expensed general funds for the Juvenile Drug Court (JDC) in the amount of \$204,866.

# Management Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

**Hidalgo County Adult Drug Court-**The Sixth Judicial District received general funds by the legislature to continue to provide an alternative program of treatment, continuum of care and team involvement to the County of Hidalgo. This program has been active since 2008.

The Drug Court in Hidalgo County has served 76 persons and their families. Drug Court provides a foundation for change and recovery in this very rural section of Southwest New Mexico. Drug Court is considered the "hub" of a collaboration of teams and stakeholders who facilitate the community in assisting our participants become productive members of the community.

Communication is a key element to the process in Hidalgo County and Drug Court has assumed the lead role in providing the opportunity for our stakeholders to participate. Since 2008 the Drug Court has provided the Community with a substance free, taxpaying workforce. The program has pride on the fact that several of the graduates are actively enrolled in college and one is working on a Master's Degree.

This agency expensed a total of \$129,026 during FY 13 for its portion of the program, which includes all operational costs. During FY 13 the program had 19 participants, with 13 graduates completing the program successfully.

**Grant County Adult Drug Court**—The program's mission is striving to prevent and/or reduce substance abuse problems in Grant County by providing treatment, continuum of care services and development of collaborative and cooperative efforts within the communities of this County. This program is funded by a state grant in collaboration with various community service providers of the Total Community Approach Team. The funding is through Optum Health of New Mexico.

The Sixth Judicial was awarded a state grant to operate and manage the Grant County Adult Drug Court (GCADC) in the amount of \$121,219. Such funds were utilized for operational costs.

During FY 13, the Drug Court conducted 25 intakes, with 18 successful graduates. The program maintains a 10.4% recidivism rate; while maintaining an 83.4% retention rate. Employment attainment of Drug Court graduates was 88.9%.

**Juvenile Justice Continuum Services** - The Sixth Judicial expensed general funds for the Juvenile Justice Continuum Services Program (JJCS) in the amount of \$245,825. This funding was distributed to the three counties that comprise in the Sixth Judicial District.

JJCS is supervised by the Board of Directors, which includes District Judge J.C. Robinson (representative for Grant County) and District Judge Daniel G. Viramontes (representative for Hidalgo County and Luna County), Chief JPPO Officer and six key members, representative from the three counties. The Juvenile Drug Program Manager administers the program.

JJCS assists the youth in the community through intervention, prevention and positive youth development through proactive programs such as comprehensive after-school programs, bicycle recycle, teen outreach and others.

**CASA-(Court Appointed Special Advocates)-**During FY 13 this judicial district had one contractor to provide CASA services in all three counties. Contract performance criteria were developed by Healing House to screen, select, train, manage, and remove volunteers. The CASA volunteers were available to serve in each abuse or neglect case in the district's Children's Court. The volunteers visit

# Management Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

the children's homes, attend court hearings, and provide the Court reports and recommendations as how to best deal with children of troubled families.

#### **Internal Management**

The Sixth Judicial District Court is comprised of three counties, which are Grant County (Silver City), Luna County (Deming) and Hidalgo County (Lordsburg). The district's FTE position count as indicated in House Bill 2 totals 36 employees.

The Court's internal mission is to continue to supply justice to the community by operating to its full potential in all three counties by providing eight hours of customer service with normal daily/weekly business hours. All programs are operating accurately and efficiently through team participation.

The Court collects revenues through the clerk's office from filing fees, mediation fees, grant funding and/or fines are deposited to designated accounts, which are then transferred to the State Treasurer's Office, located in Santa Fe, New Mexico. The revenue taken in from all three District Court Clerk's Office for end year FY 13 and FY 12 is as follows:

_	2013	2012
\$	102,382	165,475
	88,463	84,135
_	15,775	22,480
\$	206,620	272,090
	\$ \$	\$ 102,382 88,463 15,775

Each month the Clerk of the Court for each county reports to the State Treasurer's Office. All three counties also provide Trust Accounts for reporting assets held in trust for others and are not available to support the agency's programs. All reports are audited by the Administration Department for accountability and accuracy.

The statewide financial and human resource program called SHARE is provided by the Department of Finance and Administration. This program is utilized with a great amount of efficiency; however, the Financial and HR Departments continue to utilize internal books to maintain internal accuracy, assurance and accountability.

#### **Management Decisions**

The Sixth Judicial District Court's main goal during FY 13 was to manage daily operational needs. The District prepared for a smooth transition into Odyssey on December 10, 2012. E-Filing was another program implemented on April 15, 2013. The Chief Judge is consulted in all major decisions, issues and resolutions. Meetings are also held with the participation of the other judges for involvement, understanding and agreement regarding issues of particular interest to this agency.

There is also communication between the supervisors and the Court Executive Officer on either financial and/or human resource matters that involve subordinates.

#### **Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget**

The agency continued to operate with a limited budget during fiscal year 2013. Fiscal Year 2014 Operating Budget reflects a slight increase in general funding. As the economic conditions become optimistic, this agency has prepared to meet the operating needs by reviewing its budget for any and all possible sources.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT Management Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

The purpose of this financial report is to provide the general public and other interested parties with a general overview of the Sixth Judicial District Court's finances and accountability of funds. Questions or concerns resulting from the review of this report should be addressed to the Sixth Judicial District Court, Administration Department, P. O. Box 2339, (201 N. Cooper Street, First Floor-88061), Silver City, New Mexico, 88062.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION As of June 30, 2013

ASSETS	G	overnmental Activities
Current Assets		
State general fund investment pool	\$	156,333
Other receivables		6,245
Total current assets		162,578
Capital assets, net		132,786
Total assets	\$	295,364
LIABILITIES		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	50,410
Due to the state general fund		28,641
Accrued salaries and employee benefits		51,269
Compensated absences payable - expected to be paid within one year		79,102
Total current liabilities	_	209,422
Non-Current Liabilities		
Compensated absences payable - expected to be paid after one year		19,776
Total liabilities		229,198
NET POSITION		
Invested in capital assets		132,786
Restricted		32,408
Unrestricted		(99,028)
Total unrestricted net position		66,166
Total liabilities and net position	\$	295,364

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

EXPENSES	Governmental Activities
General government	
Judicial services \$	3,226,656
Depreciation expense	33,805
Total program expenses	3,260,461
Less: Program revenues	
Court fees	17,341
Operating grants and contributions (other financing sources)	259,785
Charges for services (miscellaneous income)	18,441
Total program revenues	295,567
Net program (expenses)/revenue and changes in net position	(2,964,894)
REVENUES	
General revenues	
Transfer - state general fund appropriation FY 13	3,010,300
Less transfer - reversions to state general fund	(28,641)
Gain (loss) on disposition of capital asset	
Total net general revenue and other financial sources (uses)	2,981,659
Changes in net position	16,765
Net position, beginning	49,401
Net position, ending	66,166

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS As of June 30, 2013

ASSETS		14600 General Fund	52100 Mediation Fund	Total Govern- mental Funds
State general fund investment pool	\$	123,925	32,408	156,333
Other receivables		6,245	-	6,245
Due from other governments	_			
Total assets	\$_	130,170	32,408	162,578
LIABILITIES  Due to other funds Accounts payable Accrued salaries and expenses benefits Due to state general fund Current liabilities	\$	50,410 51,269 28,641 130,320	- - - -	50,410 51,269 28,641 130,320
FUND BALANCES				
Restricted:				
Mediation program  Unassigned:		-	32,408	32,408
Unassigned	_	(150)	<u> </u>	(150)
Total fund balance		(150)	32,408	32,258
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$_	130,170	32,408	162,578
Reconciliation of the Governmental Fund B	al	ance To Th	e Statement of I	Net Position
Total fund balance governmental funds			\$	32,258
Capital assets (net of depreciation) used in government resources and, therefore, are not reported in the fu			s are not financial	132,786
Long-term debt reported as accrued compensated in the current period and, therefore, are not report Rounding			- •	(98,878)
Net position of governmental activities			\$	66,166

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND

# CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE (DEFICITS) - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

	14600 General Fund	52100 Mediation Fund	Total
REVENUES			
Court Costs	-	17,341	17,341
Misc. revenue	18,441	-	18,441
Total revenues	18,441	17,341	35,782
EXPENDITURES			
Current			
General government:			
Administrative services			
Professional services	2,373,212	-	2,373,212
Contractual services	610,346	14,369	624,715
Other Costs	285,112	-	285,112
Total expenditures	3,268,670	14,369	3,283,039
Excess (deficiency) of revenue over expenditures	(3,250,229)	2,972	(3,247,257)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)			
Transfer - Other financing sources	138,566	-	138,566
Transfer - State general fund appropriation FY 13	3,010,300	-	3,010,300
Transfer - Other financing sources	121,219	-	121,219
Less: Transfer reversion of FY 13	(20,006)	-	(20,006)
Net other financing sources (uses)	3,250,079		3,250,079
Net change in fund balance	(150)	2,972	2,822
Fund balance, beginning		29,436	29,436
Fund balance, ending \$	(150)	32,408	32,258
		\$	2,822

Capital outlays are reported as expenditures in governmental funds, however, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of capital assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense.

Depreciation expense (33,805)
Capital additions 36,773

Expenses reported in the Statement of Activities that do not require the use of current financial resources are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

(Increase) decrease in compensated absences 10,977

Revenues in the Statement of Activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenue in governmental funds.

Rounding (2 Change in net position— governmental activities \$ 16,765

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES BUDGET TO ACTUAL

#### For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

		Budgeted	Amounts	Actual Amounts (Budgetary	Variance with Final Budget Favorable
		Original	Final	Basis)	(Unfavorable)
REVENUES	į				
LETF funds and grants	\$	98,800	138,566	138,566	-
Gifts and grants		-	121,219	121,219	-
Miscellaneous revenue		11,000	11,000	18,441	7,441
Total revenues		109,800	270,785	278,226	7,441
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
General government					
Personal services		2,386,000	2,378,378	2,373,211	5,167
Contractual services		595,400	613,884	610,197	3,687
Other costs		138,700	288,823	285,112	3,711
Total expenditures	•	3,120,100	3,281,085	3,268,520	12,565
-	•				
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over					
(under) expenditures		(3,010,300)	(3,010,300)	(2,990,294)	20,006
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (	USE	ES)			
State general fund appropriations		3,010,300	3,010,300	3,010,300	-
Reversion to SGF		-	-	(20,006)	(20,006)
Total Other financing sources	į	3,010,300	3,010,300	2,990,294	(20,006)
Net change in fund balance		-	-	-	-
Fund balance, beginning		-	-	-	-
Fund balance, ending	\$	-	_	-	
	'				
Reconciliation of	of B	udgetary Basi	s to Fund Fina	ncial Statement	-
Total modified GAAP budget basis expended Amounts expensed in fiscal year 2012 final Contractual services		•		\$ ndget	3,268,520

Amounts expensed in fiscal year 2013 financial statements and paid out of FY 14 budget

Total modified GAAP Basis Governmental Fund Expenditures for fiscal year ended June 30, 2013

Contractual services

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (Modified Accrual Budgetary Basis) For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

Mediation Fund (52100)						
	Budgeted Original	Amounts Final	Actual Amounts (Budgetary Basis)	Variance with Final Budget Favorable (Unfavorable)		
REVENUES						
Other financing sources S	\$ 14,800	14,800	17,341	2,541		
Total revenues	14,800	14,800	17,341	2,541		
EXPENDITURES						
Current:						
General government						
Contractual services	14,800	14,800	14,369	431		
Total expenditures	14,800	14,800	14,369	431		
Excess (deficiency) of revenue	s over					
(under) expenditures			2,972	2,972		
Fund balance, beginning Fund balance, ending		\$	29,436 32,408			

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - AGENCY FUNDS As of June 30, 2013

		Agency Fund
ASSETS		
Litigant fund	\$	563,283
Treasurer fund		9,479
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	572,762
LIABILITIES		
Litigant fund	\$	563,283
Treasurer fund	Τ'	9,479
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$	572,762

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

#### NATURE OF BUSINESS AND REPORTING ENTITY

The Sixth Judicial District Court (Court) operates under Section 34-6-1, 34-6-9 and 34-6-17 through 34-6-46, NMSA 1978 Compilation. The Court covers Grant, Luna, and Hidalgo Counties. The Court is comprised of six divisions as authorized in the above sections. The Court is the State Court of general jurisdiction and is authorized to hear and determine all civil and criminal cases which are not specifically exempted from its jurisdiction. Financing of the Court is primarily by state appropriation and grant funds.

The financial reporting entity as defined by GASB Statement 61 consists of the primary government, organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. This definition of the reporting entity is based primarily on the notion of financial accountability as the "cornerstone of all financial reporting in government."

These financial statements include all funds and activities over which the Court has oversight responsibility. The Court has decision-making authority, the power to designate management, the responsibility to significantly influence operations, and primary accountability for fiscal matters. Additionally, the Court is part of the primary government of the State of New Mexico and its financial data should be included with the financial data of the State, when it issues an audited Comprehensive Annual Financial Report inclusive of all agencies of the primary government.

In evaluating how to define the government, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP and GASB Statement 14.

The basic, but not the only criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity, is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters.

A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the government and/or its citizens, or whether the activity is conducted within the geographic boundaries of the government and is generally available to its citizens.

A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the Court is able to exercise oversight responsibility. Based on the application of these criteria, there are no component units identified or excluded from the reporting entity.

The Sixth Judicial District Court is a component unit of the Judicial Branch and these financial statements include all funds and activities over which the Court has oversight responsibility. The Court is not included in any other government "reporting entity" as described in Section 2100, "Codification of Government Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards."

#### A. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### 1. Basic Financial Statements

The financial statements of the Court have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted (GAAP) in the United States of America as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Account Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted Standard-setting body for establishing governmental Accounting and financial reporting principles. The GASB periodically updates its codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards, which, along with subsequent GASB pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations), constitutes GAAP for governmental units. The more significant of the Court's accounting policies are described below.

The basic financial statements include both government-wide (based on the Court as a whole) and fund financial statements. The reporting model focus is on either the Court as a whole or major individual fund (within the fund financial statements). Both the government-wide and fund financial statements (within the basic financial statements) categorize primary activities as either governmental or business type activities. In the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position, both the governmental and business-type activities columns are presented on a consolidated basis by column, and are reflected on a full accrual, economic resources measurement focus basis, which incorporates long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. The Court did not have any business-type activities during the year ended June 30, 2013.

General Revenues (General Fund appropriation, transfers-in from other state agencies, Federal aid, etc.) normally cover the net cost (by function).

Grant revenues are recognized as soon as the eligibility requirements are met.

This government-wide focus is more on the sustainability of the Court as an entity and the change in aggregate financial position resulting from the activities of the fiscal period. The governmental fund statements are presented on a current financial resource and modified accrual basis of accounting. This presentation is deemed appropriate to (a) demonstrate legal compliance, (b) demonstrate the source and use of liquid resources, and (c) demonstrate how the Court's actual experience conforms to the budget or fiscal plan. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements' governmental activities column, a reconciliation is presented on the page following each statement, which briefly explains the adjustments necessary to transform the fund based financial statements into the governmental activities column on the governmental wide presentation.

The governmental fund statements are presented on a current financial resource and modified accrual basis of accounting. This presentation is deemed appropriate to (a) demonstrate legal compliance, (b) demonstrate the source and use of liquid resources, and (c) demonstrate how the Court's actual experience conforms to the budget of fiscal plan. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements' governmental column, a reconciliation is presented on the page following each statement, which briefly explains the adjustment necessary to transform the fund based financial statements in to the governmental column on the government-wide presentation.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable

with specific function or segment. *Program revenues* derive directly from the program itself or from parties outside the reporting government's taxpayers or citizenry as a whole and include operating grants and contracts that are restricted to meeting the operational necessities of a particular compliance grant requirement. The only charges for services are for photocopies and small miscellaneous charges.

#### 2. Basis of Presentation

The financial transactions of the Court are maintained on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, expenditures and other financing sources or uses.

Government resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. The various funds are summarized by the type and reported by generic classification the accompanying financial statements.

The reporting model, GASB Statement No. 34, sets forth minimum criteria for the determination of major funds based on a percentage of the assets, liabilities, revenues or expenditures. The general fund is always considered a major fund. The Court has elected to consider all their special revenue funds as major funds regardless of size. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

In the governmental fund financial statements, per GASB Statement No. 54, fund balances are classified as nonspendable, restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned). Restricted represents those portions of fund balance where constraints placed on the resources are either externally imposed or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Committed fund balance represents amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Legislative and Executive branches of the State. Assigned fund balance is constrained by the Legislature's and Executive Branch's intent to be used for specific purposes or in some cases by legislation. See Note G for additional information about fund balances.

#### The following are the Court's major funds:

**General Fund**—(14600) The general operating fund of the Court. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in other funds. Any unencumbered balance remaining in the General Fund at the end of the fiscal year reverts to the State of New Mexico, All appropriations received in this fund are revertible funds if not expended in the appropriate time frame. Please refer to the schedule of interagency transfers for details of state appropriations and other financing sources.

The Court receives all State of New Mexico appropriations in their General Fund, the Court's additional funds are as follows:

**Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA)**—The Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) is a program with the mission to support effective volunteer advocacy for the best interests of abused and neglected children involved in the court system. The objective of the program is to ensure that every child has a safe, supportive and permanent home. A CASA is a trained, community volunteer,

appointed by a judge to represent the best interest of the child in court. Once appointed to a case the CASA becomes an official part of the judicial proceeding, working alongside attorneys and social workers as an appointed officer of the court. A CASA program exists in Grant, Luna, and Hidalgo Counties.

**Grant Count Adult Drug Court (GCADC)**—The program receives funding from a state grant through Total Community Approach/United Behavioral and BHSD. The GCADC is designed for 20 participants; however, the program maintains a census of 23 active participants. The program is in its second year of operation. The development of the program is due to the ongoing addiction of defendants who are repeat offenders in criminal court.

**Court Mediation—(08300)** The Court has established a domestic relations mediation program pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5 NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act. Deposits to the fund shall include payments made through the imposition of a sliding fee scale pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5, NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act and the collection of the surcharge provided for in Section 6 (40-12-6, NMSA, 1978 Comp.) of the Act. The fund is a special revenue fund and is non-reverting. For purposes of financial statement presentation, the fund is considered a major fund.

Mediation Program—The Mediation Program is designed to settle disagreements about the care of children following separation and divorce without an extensive courtroom battle. Mediation requires both parties to agree to the rights and responsibilities of each parent and the ways in which the children's needs will be met. A court order requires the parties to meet with an independent mediator for the purpose of reaching an acceptable agreement. Mediation is available in Grant, Luna, and Hidalgo Counties. The Authority for this fund is Section 40-12-4 NMSA 1978.

The Court also has fiduciary funds (trust and agency funds) used to account for assets held by the Court in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governmental units and/or other funds. Trust and agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. The agency fund is used to collect and distribute court fees assessed per State Statute.

- 1) Litigant Fund These monies are amounts collected from persons involved in pending lawsuits. The Court has custody and, if directed by the Court, may invest these monies until refunded to litigants. The interest earned, if stated in the Court Order, is refunded and, if not stated, the interest earned is transferred to the State General Fund.
- 2) Treasurer Fund These monies are collected from individuals filing with the Court. These monies are remitted intact to the New Mexico State Treasurer.

# 3. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The government-wide and the fiduciary financial statements are presented on a full-accrual basis of accounting. The governmental funds in the fund financial statements are presented on a modified accrual basis.

The statement of net position and the statement of activities are prepared using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met. By definition, the resources of fiduciary funds are not available to support Court programs. Therefore, fiduciary funds are excluded from the government-wide financial statements.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Court's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as needed.

## 4. Budgetary Data

The New Mexico State Legislature makes annual appropriations to the Court. Legal compliance is monitored through the establishment of a budget (modified-accrual basis) and a financial control system, which permits a budget to actual expenditure comparison. Expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations for each budget at the appropriation unit level.

Budgeted appropriation unit amounts may be amended upon approval from the Budget Division of the State of New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration within the limitation as specified in the General Appropriation Act. The budget amounts shown in the financial statements are both the original appropriation and the final authorized amounts as legally revised during the year.

The Court follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary date reflected in the financial statements:

- 1. No later than September 1, the Court submits to the Judicial Budget Office (JBO), the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) and the Department of Finance and Administration an appropriation request for the fiscal year commencing the following July. The appropriation includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- 2. Appropriation request hearings are scheduled by the JBO. Recommendations are made by the JBO to the Supreme Court for their approval. The Supreme Court approved appropriation request is then submitted to the Legislature as the Supreme Court's recommended appropriation request for the Court.
- 3. Budget hearings are scheduled before the New Mexico House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees. The final outcome of these hearings is incorporated into the General Appropriations Act.
- 4. The Court's budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013 was amended in a legally permissible manner by increasing or reallocating appropriation unit totals as the need arose during the fiscal year. Individual amendments were not material in relation to the original budget.
- 5. The Act is signed into law by the Governor of the State of New Mexico within the legally prescribed time limit. The-Court submits, no later than May 1<sup>st</sup>, to DFA an annual operating budget by category and line item based upon the appropriations made by the Legislature. The DFA Budget Division reviews and approves the operating budget which becomes effective on July 1.
- 6. All subsequent budget adjustments must be approved by the JBO and the Director of the DFA Budget Division. The budget for the current year was properly amended.
- 7. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the fiscal year for the General Fund and the Special Revenue Funds.
- 8. The budget for the General Fund and the Special Revenue Funds are not adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

(GAAP). The budgets do not include prior year encumbrances paid in the current year in the budgetary amounts. The budgets do not include prior year encumbrances paid in the current year in the budgetary amounts except as noted in Note F of this report.

- 9. Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal year beginning with the year ended June 30, 2013. The Court's General Fund is a reverting fund (funds revert back to the State General Fund).
- 10. Appropriations lapse at the end of the year except for those amounts encumbered with the exception of appropriations presented in the "schedule of special, deficiency, specific and capital outlay appropriation" as referenced in the table of contents of this report.
- 11. Budgets for the General Fund and Special Revenue Funds are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Encumbrances related to single year appropriations lapse at year end. The portion of an encumbrance representing goods and services received by the last day of the fiscal year should be reclassified as accounts payable. Any remaining encumbrances related to single year appropriations must be reclassified as unreserved fund balance and a liability recorded to recognize any amount subject to reversion. Budgetary comparisons presented for the General fund and Special Revenue fund in this report are on the modified accrual budgetary basis, which does not conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as encumbrances are treated as expenditures. This procedure conforms to Department of Finance and Administration and state requirements.
- 12. The budget for this State Agency is adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting except for accounts payable accrued at the end of the fiscal year that do not get paid by statutory deadline (Section 6-10-4 NMSA 1978), that must be paid out of next year's budget. See Note F for amounts paid out of different budget years.
- 13. If there are appropriations for multiple years and related funds are encumbered, there is a reservation of fund balance for encumbrances in the financial statements. This State Agency has no encumbered funds outstanding as of June 30, 2013.
- 14. The legal level of budgetary control is at the appropriation program level.

The budgetary information presented in these financial statements has been amended in accordance with the above procedures. These amendments resulted in the following changes:

#### 5. Encumbrances

Encumbrances outstanding at year-end related to single year appropriations are classified as unreserved fund balance and as a liability recorded to recognize any amounts subject to reversion to the State General Fund.

#### 6. Net Position

The government-wide financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net Position is categorized as invested in capital assets (net of related debt), restricted and unrestricted.

*Invested in Capital Assets* (net of related debt) is intended to reflect the portion of net position which are associated with non-liquid, capital assets less outstanding capital asset related debt. The net related debt is the debt less the outstanding liquid assets and any associated unamortized cost. The Court did not have any related debt during the year ended June 30, 2013.

Restricted net positions reflect the portion of net position that has third party limitations on its use.

Unrestricted (Deficit) Net Position represents net position of the Court that are not restricted for any project or other purpose.

#### **GASB Statement #54**

In February 2009, the GASB issued Statement No. 54 Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions. This statement enhances the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. The fund balance amounts for governmental funds have been reclassified in accordance with GASB Statement 54.

As a result, in the governmental fund financial statements, fund balances previously reported as reserved and unreserved are now reported as nonspendable, restricted, or unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned).

#### **Spending Policy**

When an expenditure/expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted resources are available, it is the Court's policy to use restricted resources first. When expenditures/expenses are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted (committed, assigned and unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the Court's policy to spend committed resources first.

## **Net Position Restricted by Enabling Legislation**

The government-wide statement of net position reports restricted net position. All the restricted net position are considered restricted by enabling legislation under the alternative dispute resolution and domestic relations mediation act and the grants. The enabling legislation has been determined to be legally enforceable.

Legal enforceability means that a government can be compelled by an external party-such as citizens, public interest groups, or the judiciary-to use resources created by enabling legislation only for the purposes specified by the legislation. Generally, the enforceability of an enabling legislation restriction is determined by professional judgment, which may be based on actions such as analyzing the legislation to determine if it meets the qualifying criteria for enabling legislation, reviewing determinations made for similar legislation of the government or other governments, or obtaining the opinion of legal counsel. However, enforceability cannot ultimately be proven unless tested through the judicial process, which may never occur. The determination of legal enforceability should be based on the underlying facts and circumstances surrounding each individual restriction. The determination that a particular restriction is not legally enforceable may lead a government to reevaluate the legal enforceability of similar enabling legislation restrictions, but should not necessarily lead a government to conclude that all enabling legislation restrictions are unenforceable.

#### 7. Fund Balance

The Court's fund balance is classified under the following GASB Statement 54 components:

- **Nonspendable:** Nonspendable fund balance classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (1) not in spendable form or (2) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The Court does not have any nonspendable fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2013.
- **Restricted:** Restricted fund balance represents amounts constrained to specific purposes that are externally imposed or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation (such as taxpayers, grantors, bondholders, and higher levels of government) The

Court's restricted funds in the general fund represent restricted grants and in the special revenue funds the restricted funds represent funds held for the Court's dispute and mediation programs for the year ended June 30, 2013.

- <u>Committed</u>: Committed fund balance is constrained to specific purposes by the highest level of decision-making authority (the New Mexico Legislature and Governor). The Court does not have any committed fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2013.
- <u>Assigned</u>: Assigned fund balance is constrained by the Legislature and Executive branch's intent to be used by the government for specific purposes or in some cases by legislation. Intent can be expressed by the governing body or an official or body to which the governing body delegates authority. The Court does not have any assigned fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2013.
- <u>Unassigned</u>: Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the general fund. The Court does not currently have a minimum fund balance policy. The unassigned amount is \$150 because \$150 of the outstanding accounts payable applied to the subsequent year's budget. The remaining state appropriation was reverted to the State General Fund leaving the general fund with a deficit of \$150.

Modified Accrual—All governmental funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting and the current financial resources measurement focus. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period which is considered to be within sixty days of year-end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general long-term debt, if any, is recognized when due.

In applying the "susceptible to accrual" concept to intergovernmental revenues pursuant to GASB Statement #33, which was also adopted as of July 1, 2001 by the Court, the provider should recognize liabilities and expenses and the recipient should recognize receivables and revenues when the applicable eligibility requirements including time requirements, are met, resources transmitted before the eligibility requirements are met, under most circumstances, should be reported as advances by the provider and deferred revenue by the recipient.

The interfund transactions are eliminated. Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as either "transfers in or out from other funds".

If the New Mexico State Legislature provides a new appropriation for a specific encumbrance, it is carried forward to a new appropriation period to be charged against the new budget. If the Legislature does not provide a new appropriation for an encumbrance, the encumbrance is no longer authorized.

#### **Revenues, Expenditures and Expenses**

Substantially all governmental fund revenues are accrued. The only revenues that the Court receives other than State appropriations are state and federal and other operating grants and other contracts.

Expenditures are recognized when the related fund liability is incurred.

#### 8. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### 9. Inter-fund Activity

Inter fund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers between governmental funds are netted as part of the reconciliation to the government-wide financial statements.

#### 10. Program Revenues

Program revenues include federal and state grants, charges for tapes and copies of court proceedings and court fees collected per statute designated for court operations.

#### 11. Receivables

Receivables consist of amounts due from federal, and other state and local governments. No allowance for doubtful accounts is established, since all amounts are considered collectible in the subsequent fiscal year.

THE REMAINDER OF THE PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# 12. Schedule of Net Position/Fund Balance

# Per Balance Sheet: Governmental Funds Amount Description

miount	Description
32,258	Per Balance Sheet: Special Revenue Fund Balance: Mediation
\$ 32,258	Per Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds
Per: Gover	nmental Wide Statement of Net Assets
\$ 132,786	Net position invested in capital assets
(19,775)	Long-term compensated absences payable as of year end
(79,103)	Current portion of compensated absences payable as of year end
33,908	Total of net position invested in capital assets and compensated absences
32,258	Per Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds net position
\$ 66,166	Grand Total Net Position at year-end

A total of \$98,877 was related to compensated absences payable which is expected to be financed by future state appropriations. A total of \$132,786 was the net position invested in capital assets. The Mediation fund has a total net position of \$32,408.

#### 13. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments.

# 14. Capital Assets

Property and equipment including software, purchased or acquired is carried at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Contributed assets are recorded at the fair market values as of the date received. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. The State's capitalization policy, i.e., the dollar value above which asset acquisitions including software are added to the capital accounts, was changed to \$5,000 as of July 1, 2005 from \$1,000. Assets with historical cost under \$5,000 and over \$1,000 that capitalized prior to July 1, 2005 remain on the Court's capital assets schedule. However, all capital outlay purchases may not necessarily be capitalized. The Court does not capitalize any interest in regards to its capital assets. Computer software which has been developed for internal use and which meets the capitalization minimum of \$5,000 is capitalized and depreciated in accordance with the Court depreciation guidelines. There is no related debt relating to capital assets.

Furniture and Fixtures 7—10 years Equipment and Machinery 5—10 years Vehicles 5 years

#### **Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources**

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *Deferred Outflows of Resources*, represents a consumption of net position that applied to a future period and so will not be recognized as an expense or expenditure until then. The Court has no items that meet this criterion. In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *Deferred Inflows of Resources*, represents an acquisition of net position that applied to a future period and so will not be recognized until then. The Court has no items that meet this criterion.

## 15. Accrued Compensated Absences

Qualified employees accumulated annual leave as follows:

Years of Service	Hours Earned per Month	Days Earned per Month	Days of Maximum Accrual
1 month – 3 years	10	1 1/4	30
Over 3 – 7 years	12	1 ½	30
Over 7 – 14 years	14	1 <sup>3</sup> /4	30
Over 14 years/beyond	16	2	30

The maximum accrued annual leave may be carried forward into the beginning of the next calendar year and any excess is lost. When employees terminate, they are compensated for accumulated unpaid annual leave as of the date of termination, up to the aforementioned maximums at their current hourly rate. At June 30, 2013, accrued compensated absences payable for accumulated annual leave can be seen in the note for long-term debt.

#### 16. Accrued Sick Leave

Chapter 150 Laws of 1983 provides for the payment to employees for accumulated sick leave under certain conditions. Employees who have over 600 hours of accumulated sick leave can receive payment for the hours over 600 up to 120 hours on July 1<sup>st</sup> and January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year. However, the sick leave will be paid at fifty percent of the employee's regular hourly wage. At retirement, employees can receive fifty percent payment for up to 400 hours for the hours over 600 hours of accumulated sick leave. Employees who terminate receive no payment for accumulated sick leave. Therefore, the only sick leave which has been accrued represents the hours earned at June 30, 2013 over 600 hours. In accordance with GASB 16, accrued compensated absences consisted of accumulated annual leave and related salary payments (employers' matching FICA and Medicare payroll taxes).

Expenditures for accumulated sick pay for hours under 600 hours will be recognized as employees take such absences. Accrued vested sick pay is recorded as a liability in the governmental-wide financial statements. As of June 30, 2013, Seven (7) employees of the Court have accrued leave benefits in excess of 600 hours, which amounted to \$2,572 of the total noted below in the long-term debt note.

#### **B. CASH AND INVESTMENTS POLICY**

#### Investments in the State Treasurer's General Fund Investment Pool (GFIP)

All investments are on deposit with the State Treasurer, and cash is on deposit with four financial institutions. Please see the "Schedule of Deposits and Investments" in the "Other Supplementary Information" section of the audit report. Below are required disclosures regarding credit and interest risk.

#### **General Fund Investment Pool Not Reconciled**

In June 2012 an independent diagnostic report revealed that the State of New Mexico's General Fund Investment Pool has not been reconciled since the implementation of the Statewide Human resources, Accounting, and management REporting system (SHARE) in July of 2006. The Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) has commissioned two reports that address statewide cash reconciliation issues. They are (1) *Current State of Diagnostic of Cash Controls*, dated June 20, 2012 prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP and (2) *Cash Management Plan and Business Processes*, dated October 11, 2012, also prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP. The Diagnostic reports are available in the Resources section of the Cash Control page of the NM Department of Finance & Administration's website: <a href="https://www.nmdfa.state.nm.us/Cash Control.aspx">www.nmdfa.state.nm.us/Cash Control.aspx</a>.

DFA has commenced the Cash Management Remediation Project (Remediation Project) in partnership with the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office, the New Mexico Department of Information Technology, and a contracted third party PeopleSoft Treasury expert. The purpose of the Remediation Project is to design and implement the changes necessary to reconcile the General Fund Investment Pool in a manner that is complete, accurate, and timely. The Remediation Project will make changes to the State's current SHARE system configuration, cash accounting policies and procedures, business practices, and banking structure. The scheduled implementation date for the changes associated with the Remediation Project is February 1, 2013. An approach and plan to address the population of historical reconciling items will be developed during the Remediation Project, but a separate initiative will be undertaken to resolve the historical reconciling items. It is DFA's responsibility to perform the monthly reconciliation of the General Fund Investment Pool.

Per the directive issued by the Office of the State Auditor on October 30, 2012, a note disclosure relating to this issue is required for all State of New Mexico state agencies for financial statements issued for fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 and continues into 2013.

That directive instructed agencies to do the following:

- 1. Provide copies of the referenced reports to the agency's Independent Public Accountant (IPA) performing the FY13 audit;
- 2. Explain to the IPA what policies and procedures the agency has in place to ensure that the agency's cash balances in SHARE are correct; and
- 3. Disclose in the agency's notes to the financial statements the facts about the statewide cash reconciliation as of June 30, 2013, and what the agency's policies and procedures were during the FY13 to mitigate the risk that the agency's cash balances would be misstated as of June 30, 2013.

The Court has provided copies of the referenced diagnostic reports to the IPA. The Court has also explained and provided copies to the IPA what policies and procedures are in place that ensures that the cash balances in SHARE are correct to the extent that the Court has control (i.e. collection, depositing, reconciling, bank statement validation, and documentation of outstanding reconciling items) of the cash it receipts and transfers to the state general fund and other state agencies pursuant to state statute.

The Court has an interest in the State General Fund Investment Pool allocated between three fund operating under the oversight of SHARE and the State Treasurers Office. The cash transactions

processed by the Court flow through the state general fund investment pool. Since SHARE was implemented, the Court recognized the statewide cash reconciliation issue and in response, developed internal reconciliation procedures to ensure that cash receipts and disbursements recorded in the SHARE system are in fact transactions that have been initiated by the Court. The reconciliation occurs each month and any required adjustments are forwarded to the Financial Control Division at DFA for correction. Monthly reconciliation procedures throughout the fiscal year, include, but are not limited to validation of: allotments, deposits, expenditures, all general entries, operating transfers, payroll expenditures/payroll liabilities and a review of outstanding warrants. The Court conducts ongoing tracking of all cash deposits and financial transactions to ensure that they are accurately recorded and posted to the SHARE system. This monthly internal reconciliation of cash receipts and disbursements flowing through the Court share of the state general fund investment pool provides management assurance that the balance reflected in State General Fund Investment Pool account is accurate as of the end of the reporting period.

#### **Credit Risk for Investments**

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The General Fund Investment Pool is not rated for credit risk.

#### **Interest Rate Risk for Investments**

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The Court does not have an investment policy that limits investment interest rate risk.

The Court does not have an investment policy that limits investment interest rate risk.

For further information regarding the GFIP, please see the State Treasurer's annual audit report and specifically refer to the GASB 40 disclosure of the investments. That report may be obtained by writing to the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office, P.O. Box 608, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0708.

The New Mexico State Treasurer monitors the collateral for deposits held by it for other state entities, which would include the investment accounts of the Court. The Office of the State Treasurer has its own separate annual independent audit in which the collateral pledged to secure these deposits is disclosed. By law, the Court is not permitted to have any investments other than what is held at the State Treasurer's Office and the Court did not have any other investments during the year ended June 30, 2013. There is no custodial risk at the Court level since the cash amount is under the contract of the New Mexico State Treasurer.

See the "Schedule of Pledged Collateral" for information on Court's Custodial Credit Risk for its bank deposits as reference in the table of contents.

#### C. RECEIVABLES

As of June 30, 2013, receivables consist of:

	Due To		
Туре	Fund	Purpose	Amount
Optim Health	GF	Drug Court	5,294
Miscellaneous	GF	Other Receivables	951
			\$ 6,245

An allowance for doubtful accounts has not been established, as all receivables are considered fully collectible.

#### D. <u>DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS</u>

As of June 30, 2013, no funds were due to other governments:

## E. RECONCILIATION BETWEEN BUDGETARY BASIS AND GAAP BASIS

Because the Court keeps their budget on the modified GAAP basis there is no need to reconcile the budget financial statements to the fund financial statements, with the exception if accounts payables shown on the balance sheet—governmental funds was paid out of a different fiscal year budget. Please see the There were no outstanding accounts payable that were applied against the subsequent year's budget.

#### F. REVERSIONS AND DUE TO STATE GENERAL FUND

Section 6-5-10 NMSA 1978 requires all unreserved, undesignated fund balances in reverting funds and accounts revert to the State General Fund within ten days of the audit report. The amount due to the State General Fund as of June 30, 2013 is as follows:

			<b>Due To</b>	<b>Due From</b>
		General	<b>SHARE</b>	<b>SHARE</b>
Description		Fund	Fund	<b>Fund</b>
Reversion of appropriations FY 13	-\$	20,006	34100	14600
Portion already reverted before submission of audit		8,635	34100	14600
Total due to State General Fund	\$	28,641		

All amounts in the general fund of the Court's fund balance revert except what is noted as grants, on the balance sheet-governmental funds per the table of contents.

#### G. CHANGES IN LONG-TERM DEBT

A summary of changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

	2012	Increases	Decreases	2013	Portion Portion
Compensated absences payable \$	109,854	101,457	(112,434)	98,877	79,102

Prior year compensated absences have been liquidated by the general fund

# H. CONTINGENCIES

#### Risk of loss

The Court is insured through the Risk Management Division of the General Services Department, which is accounted for as an internal service fund of the State of New Mexico. In general, Risk Management Division responds to suits against the State and state agencies, manages funds to provide unemployment compensation, tort liability insurance, workers'

compensation and general and property insurance, and attempts to reduce the number of suits against the State and state agencies through the risk management process. The actuarial gains and losses of Risk Management Division were not available and were not included in this report. However, the Court is not liable for more than the premiums paid.

#### I. INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES AND INTERFUND TRANSFERS

Interfund receivables and payables reflect short term (current) borrowings among the Court's funds in the normal course of business. As of June 30, 2013, there were no inter fund payables and receivables outstanding. Also, there were no interfund transfers.

#### J. CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of changes in the capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

		<b>Balance</b>			<b>Balance</b>
<b>Governmental Activities</b>		2012	<b>Additions</b>	<b>Deletions</b>	2013
Furniture and equipment	\$	52,874	_		52,874
Machinery and equipment		207,514	18,231	-	225,745
Vehicles		86,726	18,542		105,268
		347,114	36,773	_	383,887
Less accumulated depreciati	on fo	r:			
Furniture and equipment		24,765	5,711	-	30,476
Machinery and equipment		76,305	4,282	-	80,587
Vehicles		116,226	23,812		140,038
		217,296	33,805		251,101
Capital assets, net	\$	129,818	2,968	_	132,786

The Court is a single function organization and all depreciation is recorded to its only activity, general government, in the amount of \$33,805.

The Court has idle capital assets as follows; equipment and machinery of \$225,744 with a book basis of \$140,038 and furniture and fixtures of \$52,874 with a book basis of \$30,476.

#### K. PENSION PLAN-PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION

**Plan Description.** Substantially all of the Court's full-time employees participate in a public employee retirement system authorized under the Public Employees Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978). The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) is the administrator of the plan, which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. The plan provides for retirement benefits, disability benefits, survivor benefits and cost-of-living adjustments to plan members and beneficiaries. PERA issues a separate, publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the plan. That report may be obtained by writing to PERA, P.O. Box 2123, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2123. The report is also available on PERA's website at www.pera.state.nm.us.

**Funding Policy:** Plan members are required to contribute 8.92% of their gross salary. The Court is required to contribute 15.09% of the gross covered salary. The contribution requirements of plan

members and the Court are established in State statute under Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978. The requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. The Court's contributions to PERA for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$228,807, \$200,140, and \$242,102, less the amounts disclosed in the JRA Pension plan shown below respectively, which equal the amount of the required contributions for each fiscal year.

#### L. JRA PENSION PLAN

Substantially all of the Court's Judges or Justices participate in a public employee retirement system authorized under the Judicial Retirement Act. The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) is the administrator of the plan, which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. The plan provides for retirement, disability benefits and cost-of-living adjustments to plan members and beneficiaries. PERA issues a separate, publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to PERA, P.O. Box 2123, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2123.

#### Funding Policy Of The JRA Pension Plan

Plan members are required to contribute 10.75% of their gross salary. The Court is required to contribute 8.75% of the gross covered salary. The Court's contributions to JRA for the years ending June 30, 2013, was \$46,601, equal to the amount of the required contributions for each year.

In addition to the above, the Court remits \$30 of each filing fee collected by the Court into the Judicial Retirement Act plan as required by Section 10-12b-11, NMSA 1978. These fees are remitted directly to PERA and are not included within the accompanying financial statements. The Court remitted \$46,601 in filing fees for the year ending June 30, 2013.

#### M. DEFERRED COMPENSATION

The State of New Mexico offers state, local government and school district employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The plan available to all State employees and those local government and school district employees whose employers have elected participation in the plan permits participants to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. There are employees that are making contributions to a Deferred Compensation Plan. Neither the Court nor the State of New Mexico make any contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan. All contributions withheld from participants by the Court have been paid to the New Mexico Public Employee's Retirement Association, which administers the plan

#### N. POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS—STATE RETIREE HEALTH CARE PLAN

**Plan Description.** The Court contributes to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA). The RHCA provides health care insurance and prescription drug benefits to retired employees of participating New Mexico government agencies, their spouses, dependents, and surviving spouses and dependents. The RHCA Board was established by the Retiree Health Care Act (Chapter 10, Article 7C, NMSA 1978). The Board is responsible for establishing and amending benefit provisions of the healthcare plan and is also authorized to designate optional and/or voluntary benefits like dental, vision, supplemental life insurance, and long-term care policies.

Eligible retirees are: 1) retirees who make contributions to the fund for at least five years prior to retirement and whose eligible employer during that period of time made contributions as a participant in the RHCA plan on the person's behalf unless that person retires before the employer's RHCA effective date, in which event the time period required for employee and employer contributions shall become the period of time between the employer's effective date and the date of retirement; 2) retirees defined by the Act who retired prior to July 1, 1990; 3) former legislators who served at least two years; and 4) former governing authority members who served at least four years.

The RHCA issues a publicly available stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the postemployment healthcare plan. That report and further information can be obtained by writing to the Retiree Health Care Authority at 4308 Carlisle NE, Suite 104, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

Funding Policy. The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-13 NMSA 1978) authorizes the RHCA Board to establish the monthly premium contributions that retirees are required to pay for healthcare benefits. Each participating retiree pays a monthly premium according to a service based subsidy rate schedule for the medical plus basic life plan plus an additional participation fee of five dollars if the eligible participant retired prior to the employer's RHCA effective date or is a former legislator or former governing authority member. Former legislators and governing authority members are required to pay 100% of the insurance premium to cover their claims and the administrative expenses of the plan. The monthly premium rate schedule can be obtained from the RHCA or viewed on their website at <a href="https://www.nmrhca.state.nm.us">www.nmrhca.state.nm.us</a>.

The employer, employee and retiree contributions are required to be remitted to the RHCA on a monthly basis. The statutory requirements for the employer and employee contributions can be changed by the New Mexico State Legislature. Employers that choose to become participating employers after January 1, 1998, are required to make contributions to the RHCA fund in the amount determined to be appropriate by the board.

The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978) is the statutory authority that establishes the required contributions of participating employers and their employees. For employees that were members of an enhanced retirement plan (state police and adult correctional officer member coverage plan 1; municipal police member coverage plans 3, 4 or 5; municipal fire member coverage plan 3, 4 or 5; municipal detention officer member coverage plan 1; and members pursuant to the Judicial Retirement Act) during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 2.5% of each participating employee's annual salary; and each participating employee was required to contribute 1.25% of their salary. For employees that were not members of an enhanced retirement plan during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 2.0% of each participating employee's annual salary; each participating employee was required to contribute 1.0% of their salary. In addition, pursuant to Section 10-7C-15(G) NMSA 1978, at the first session of the Legislature following July 1, 2013, the legislature shall review and adjust the distributions pursuant to Section 7-1-6.1 NMSA 1978 and the employer and employee contributions to the authority in order to ensure the actuarial soundness of the benefits provided under the Retiree Health Care Act.

The Court's contributions to the RHCA for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$36,151, \$32,241, and \$30,647, respectively, which equal the required contributions for each year.

#### O. LEASES

The Court has equipment leases and associated maintenance agreements which can be terminated with no penalty to the Court, if the Legislature does not appropriate money to the Court. The lease is for one vehicle through the state transportation pool. The lease may be terminated at any time with 60 days of notice if the New Mexico Legislature does not grant sufficient appropriation for the lease or if the Court decides that termination is necessary to protect the best interests of the State of New Mexico. There are no future minimum rental payments as of June 30, 2013.

Lease expenditures and related expenses for the year ended June 30, 2013 were \$3,090.

#### P. OTHER REQUIRED INDIVIDUAL FUND DISCLOSURES

Generally accepted accounting principles require disclosures of certain information concerning individual funds including:

- A. No funds that maintained a deficit fund balance as of June 30, 2013.
- B. No funds exceeded approved budgetary authority for the year ended June 30, 2013.

#### **Q** CONCENTRATIONS

The Court depends on financial resources flowing from, or associated with, both the Federal Government and the State of New Mexico. Because of this dependency, the Court is subject to changes in specific flows of intergovernmental revenues based on modifications to Federal and State laws and Federal and State appropriations.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - AGENCY FUNDS As of June 30, 2013

2013
563,283
9,479
572,762
563,283
9,479
572,762

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS As of June 30, 2013

	Type of Account		Bank/ Treasurer Balance	Deposits In Transit	Outstanding Warrants/ Checks	Book Balance
GENERAL/MEDIATION FUNDS						
NMST 236-14600 (General Fund)		\$	123,925	-	-	123,925
NMST 236-52100 (Mediation)	SGFIP		32,408			32,408
Total Governmental Funds		_	156,333			156,333
AGENCY ACCOUNTS						
Litigant - Wells Fargo - Silver City	Checking		7,017	_	-	7,017
State Treasurer - Wells Fargo - Deming	Checking		885	-	-	885
State Treasurer - Western Bank - Lordsburg	Checking		1,577	-	-	1,577
Litigant - Wells Fargo - Deming	Checking		260,379	-	-	260,379
State Treasurer - Wells Fargo - Silver City	Checking		247,254	-	-	247,254
Litigant - Western Bank - Lordsburg	Checking		55,650	-	-	55,650
Total Trust Accounts			572,762	_	_	572,762
Grand Total of Deposits		\$	729,095			729,095

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF PLEDGED COLLATERAL BY BANK AND ACCOUNT As of June 30, 2013

Account Name	Account Type		Bank Balance	Book Balance
Governmental Funds Cash at State Treasurer	SGFIP	\$	156,333	156,333
Agency Funds State Treasurer - Wells Fargo - Silver City Litigant - Wells Fargo - Silver City State Treasurer - Wells Fargo - Deming Litigant - Wells Fargo - Deming State Treasurer - Western Bank - Lordsburg Litigant - Western Bank - Lordsburg	Checking Checking Checking Checking Checking	\$	247,254 7,017 885 260,379 1,577 55,650	247,254 7,017 885 260,379 1,577 55,650
Total Agency Funds  Total amount of deposit in banks	checking	\$ \$	572,762	572,762
Less: FDIC coverage Total uninsured public funds		\$	(562,383) 10,379	<u> </u>
State Collateral Requirement - 50% (Section 6-10-17 NMSA Pledged security Over/(under) collateralized	1978)	\$ \$	5,190 - 5,190	

# Collateralization of the Court's bank deposits is as follows:

Custodial Credit Risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Court's deposits may not be returned. The Court does not have a policy for custodial credit risk. The Court's Agency Fund bank balances were exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

As of June 30, 2013	_	Amount
Uninsured and uncollateralized	\$	10,379
The is no collateral held by pledging banks		

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS As of June 30, 2013

<b>5</b>	Agency Transferred	From	То	D. C		Amount
Description	From	Fund	Fund	Reference		Transferred In
State Appropriations General Fund Appropriation	DFA	34101	85300 La	ws 2012, 2nd Ses. Ch 19, Sec 4	\$_	3,010,300
Other Financing Sources						
CASA Grant	AOC	13900	14600 N/	A		63,066
<b>GCADC LETF Funds</b>	AOC	13900	14600 N/	A		19,500
JDC LETF Funds	AOC	13900	14600 N/	A		19,500
<b>HCADC LETF Funds</b>	AOC	13900	14600 N/	A		28,000
JDC LETF Funds	AOC	13900	14600 N/	A		8,500
GCADC UBH Grant	AOC	13900	14600 N/	A		121,219
<b>Total Other Financing Sources</b>					\$	259,785



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT INDEPENDENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable J.C. Robinson, Chief Judge Sixth Judicial District Court Silver City, New Mexico and Mr. Hector H. Balderas, New Mexico State Auditor Office of the State Auditor Santa Fe, New Mexico

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, the budgetary comparisons for the general fund and major special revenue fund of the Sixth Judicial District Court of the State of New Mexico (Court) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements, and the related budgetary comparison of Court, presented as supplemental information, and have issued our report thereon dated October 9, 2013.

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Court's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Court's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Court's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that were not identified.

2500 9th St. NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102

Telephone: 505.883.8788

www.HL-cpas.com

October 9, 2013

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS'** REPORT ON **INTERNAL** CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH **GOVERNMENT** AUDITING STANDARDS, continued

# **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Court's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the Court and others within the agency, the New Mexico State Auditor, the New Mexico Legislature, the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration and applicable federal grantors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hinkle + Landers, PC Albuquerque, NM October 9, 2013

inkle & Zandeus, P.C.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

# **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES**

Current and prior year findings are as follows:

		Status of		State		
Reference		<b>Current and</b>	Financial	Audit		
<b>FY-Finding</b>		<b>Prior Year</b>	Statement	Rule	Material	Significant
#	Findings	Findings	Finding	Finding	Weakness	Deficiency
'	None	None				

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT EXIT CONFERENCE For The Year Ended June 30, 2013

An exit conference was held in a closed session on October 08, 2013, at the Court's Offices in Silver City, New Mexico. In attendance were the following:

<u>Sixth Judicial District Court</u> Honorable Daniel G. Viramontes—Judge Melissa Frost—Court Executive Officer

<u>Hinkle + Landers, PC</u> Farley Vener, CPA, CFE—Independent Auditor

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements of the Court as of June 30, 2013, were substantially prepared by Hinkle + Landers, PC, however, the financial statements are the responsibility of management.