Certified Public Accountants

State of New Mexico

Sixth Judicial District Court

Financial Statements And Required Supplementary Information With Accompanying Auditors Reports

Year Ended June 30, 2012



STATE OF NEW MEXICO SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION WITH ACCOMPANYING AUDITORS' REPORTS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012



Sixth Judicial District Court Table of Contents June 30, 2012

	Exhibit / Schedule	Page
INTRODCUTORY SECTION		
Table of Contents Directory of Officials		4 5
FINANCIAL SECTION		
Independent Auditors' Report Management's Discussion and Analysis		9-10 11-15
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		
Statement of Net Assets Statement of Activities Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds	A-1 A-2 B-1	18 19 20
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund		21
Balances - Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances with the	B-2	22
Statement of Activities Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances -		23
Budget and Actual GAAP Budgetary Basis - General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances -	C-1	24
Budget and Actual GAAP Budgetary Basis - Mediation Fund Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liablities - Agency Funds	C-2 D-1	25 26
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		27-41
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES		
Schedule of Changes in Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds Schedule of Special, Deficiency, Specific and Capital Outlay Appropriations	II	44 45
COMPLIANCE SECTION		
Report on Internal Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> Schedule of Findings and Responses		49-50 51
OTHER DISCLOSURES		52

Sixth Judicial District Court Official Roster June 30, 2012

Name <u>Title</u> <u>Judges</u>

Honorable Henry Quintero Chief Judge - Division I

Honorable J.C. Robinson Division III

Honorable Daniel G. Viramontes Division IV

Administrative Officials

Melissa Frost Court Executive Officer/CFO

Sylvia Ojinaga District Court Clerk - Grant County

Martina Elias District Court Clerk - Hildalgo County

Angelic Gutierrez District Court Clerk - Luna County

This page is intentionally left blank

FINANCIAL SECTION

This page is intentionally left blank



Independent Auditors' Report

Hector Balderas New Mexico State Auditor The Honorable J. C. Robinson, Chief Judge Sixth Judicial District Court Silver City, New Mexico

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the budgetary comparisons for the general fund and mediation special revenue fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State of New Mexico, Sixth Judicial District Court (Court) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Court's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the standards applicable to financial statement audits contained in the New Mexico State Audit Rule 2.2.2 NMAC. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of the State of New Mexico, Sixth Judicial District Court are intended to present the financial position and changes in financial position of only that portion of the governmental activities, major funds, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State that is attributable to the transactions of the Court. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the entire State of New Mexico as of June 30, 2012 and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Court, as of June 30, 2012, and the respective changes in financial position, and the budgetary comparison for the general fund, mediation special revenue fund, and special capital outlay appropriations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 7, 2012 on our consideration of the Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the *Management's Discussion and Analysis* on pages 11 through 15 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the basic financial statements, the combining and individual fund financial statements, and the budgetary comparisons. The additional schedules listed as supporting Schedules I and II in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Accounting & Consulting Group, LLP

Roswell, New Mexico December 7, 2012

Sixth Judicial District court Counties of Grant, Luna and Hidalgo Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information) June 30, 2012

The following is a summary overview of the Sixth Judicial District Court's previous year of operation, including financial information, internal structure, management structure and the financial issues that have impacted this agency in fiscal year 2012. An outline of this agency's approach is to ensure achievement of its future goals and implementation of new projects and improvements.

History

The Sixth Judicial District Courts are established in NMSA 1978, § 34-6-9 and sit in Grant, Luna and Hidalgo Counties. The courts are divided into four divisions with two judges based in Grant County and two judges based in Luna County. The workload for the three counties is distributed among the four judges. The district court provides litigant due process, access to justice, and resolution of disputes in a timely manner. The court staff maintains accurate records of legal proceedings and accounts for funds they are authorized to receive. When called upon, the district court of this judicial district protects the rights and liberties of the citizens of these three counties as guaranteed by the Constitutions of both New Mexico and the United States.

Court Composition: This judicial district is staffed with 4 district judges and 33 employees. There are 14 court employees in Luna County, while Grant County is served by 15 district court employees, with 4 employees working in the Hidalgo County courthouse.

Allotment: The district received its allotment of \$2,893,400 through House Bill 2 for fiscal year 2012.

This management's discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Sixth Judicial District Court's basic financial statements. The District Court's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: (1) government-wide financial statements, (2) fund financial statements and (3) notes to the financial statements. In addition to the basic financial statements, the report contains other supplementary information.

The notes provide additional information that is essential to gain a full understanding of the composition of the financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found on pages 27 to 41.

Internal Funds and Departments

The revenue for the Sixth Judicial District Court is divided into two (2) funds, which are Fund 14600 and Fund 52100. Each fund has departments for designated programs and/or purposes as indicated below:

Fund	Name	Department
14600		
	Court Regular	0611000000
	Juvenile Drug Court	0621000000
	Juvenile Justice Continuum of Services (JJCS)	0622000000
	Hidalgo Adult Drug Court	0624000000
	Grant Adult Drug Court	0625000000
	CASA	0631000000
52100		
	Mediation/Fund Balance	064111111

Fund 14600 is designated for court operating costs and Fund 52100 is designated for Mediation as a non-reverting revenue fund.

Sixth Judicial District court Counties of Grant, Luna and Hidalgo Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information) June 30, 2012

Net Assets

The Sixth Judicial District Court reported balances in three net asset categories for fiscal year ending June 30, 2012. These categories are Invested Capital Assets, Restricted Assets and Unrestricted Assets. State statute regarding restricted net assets is required to be utilized for mediation programs that target domestic relations cases. Unrestricted Net Assets are negative due to the government's policy to fund compensated absences payable out of current resources as they become due. See page 18 for a detailed report of the Statement of Net Assets. The change in total assets is mostly the result of aging of our capital assets.

While non-current liabilities increased, due to the rise in compensated absences, the change in total liabilities is a result of improved processing of day-to-day expenditures and the turn around time from DFA, as our agency's working knowledge of the SHARE program improves.

	2012	2011
Assets		
Current Assets	\$ 207,309	\$ 187,561
Capital Assets	129,819	73,922
Total Assets	\$ 337,128	\$ 261,483
Liabilities		
Current Liabilities	\$ 264,336	\$ 256,982
Non-current Liabilities	23,391	11,600
Total Liabilities	287,727	268,582
Net Assets		
Invested in Capital Assets	129,819	73,922
Restricted	29,436	27,331
Unrestricted	(109,854)	(108,352)
Total Net Assets	\$ 49,401	\$ (7,099)

Statement of Activities: The following represents the revenue and expenses for fiscal year 2012. *See page 19 for a detailed report of the Statement of Activities.* The changes in revenues and expenses of \$42,479 and (\$4,682) respectively are a result of increases in operating revenues being outpaced by increases in operating costs to run the agency's programs.

	2012	2011
Revenue		
General Revenue		
State General Fund Appropriation		
(net of reversions)	\$ 2,871,105	\$ 2,937,303
Other Financing Sources/Transfers In	132,089	29,101
Program Revenue	221,854	216,165
Total Revenue	3,225,048	3,182,569
Expenses		
Judicial Expenses	3,168,548	3,173,230
Change in Net Assets	56,500	9,339
Beginning Net Assets	(7,099)	(16,438)
Ending Net Assets	\$ 49,401	\$ (7,099)

Sixth Judicial District court Counties of Grant, Luna and Hidalgo Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information) June 30, 2012

Expenditures

The Department of Finance and Administration designates three (3) categories for expenditures which are utilized by this agency. These categories are Category 200 - Personal Services and Benefits, Category 300- Contractual Services and Category 400 - Other Costs.

Long Term Debt: This agency had a total long term debt which consists of accumulated leave balances, sick leave balance over 600 hours, and terminal leave eligible employees upon termination:

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	
Accumulated Leave Balance:			
Compensated Absences Payable:	\$109,854	\$108,352	

Additional information on the court's long term debt can be found in Note 4 on page 37 of this report.

Capital Assets: The Court's investment in capital assets for its governmental activities as of June 30, 2012, amounted to \$129,819 (net of accumulated depreciation). Capital outlay purchases during the year consisted of the purchase of equipment and machinery for Grant, Luna and Hidalgo District Courts.

The Court received a special appropriation for capital outlay in HB 154, Ch 125 for Grant County. The amount totals \$220,000 and is to be expensed within three years, ending fiscal year 2012.

Budgetary Comparison

The required budgetary comparison schedules above are for the general fund and the special revenue funds for which this agency has a legally adopted annual budget. This is in compliance with the Office of the State Auditor requirements under 2.2.2 NMAC.

The appropriated balance changes for fiscal year 2012 were due to the requested and approved budget adjustment reallocations to different categories. Budget adjustment requests to the Department of Finance and Administration were approved and funding was applied in other categories that assisted in the operation of the court.

Review of Departments

Court Regular - This department is composed of various factors and elements which are significant in operating this agency to its full capacity. In fiscal year 2012, the numbers of employees are 28 full-time, 3 part-time, 1 temporary, with 4 judges. Due to budget reductions the district decided to employ part-time employees instead of filling the positions full-time. This strategic plan assures all departments are operating. The district has avoided employee furloughs by restructuring programs, multi-tasking, cross training in different programs and redistributing operational needs. All employees were compensated for their services from *Personal Services and Benefits*.

The agency contracted specific contractors for various specialized services for the continuing operation of the agency. There are 2 Special Masters, one located in Deming and one located in Silver City. There are 3 Pro Se Litigant Officers to serve each county.

Mediation - The court is authorized to collect a \$30.00 fee each time a domestic relations case is filed/opened in the District Court Clerk's Office. The purpose for the additional fees is to provide mediation for parties when conflict is present.

An experienced mediator is contracted for negotiation between the parties. The mediator is paid from the revenue collected from this fee. In FY 12 the court collected \$12,896 and expended \$11,374. The balance is non-reverting and remains available for use the following year.

Juvenile Drug Court – The Juvenile Drug Court program has been in existence since August 2000 and to date has impacted 178 juvenile participants and has obtained a 10% recidivism rate three (3) years post graduation. This program operates in Luna County which provides services to juveniles which have committed a delinquent act involving drugs or alcohol. This is an alternative program to probation. The program focuses on assisting juveniles to strive towards personal growth through positive behavior, such as sobriety goals, better behavioral choices, etc. The Sixth Judicial expensed general funds for the Juvenile Drug Court (JDC) in the amount of \$161,364.

Sixth Judicial District court Counties of Grant, Luna and Hidalgo Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information) June 30, 2012

Hidalgo County Adult Drug Court - The Sixth Judicial District received general funds by the legislature to continue to provide an alternative program of treatment, continuum of care and team involvement to the County of Hidalgo. This program has been active since 2008.

The Drug Court in Hidalgo County has served 65 persons and their families since inception. Drug Court provides a foundation for change and recovery in this very rural section of Southwest New Mexico. Since 2008 the Drug Court has provided the Community with a substance free, taxpaying workforce. The program has pride on the fact that several of the graduates are actively enrolled in college and one is working on a Master's Degree.

This agency expensed a total of \$110,017 during FY 12 for its portion of the program, which includes all operational costs. During FY 12 the program had 9 participants, with 6 graduates completing the program successfully. During FY 12 the program maintained a 12.5% recidivism rate; while maintaining an 88% retention rate. Employment attainment of Drug Court graduates was 89%.

Grant County Adult Drug Court – The program's mission is striving to prevent and/or reduce substance abuse problems in Grant County by providing treatment, continuum of care services and development of collaborative and cooperative efforts within the communities of this County. This program is funded by a state grant in collaboration with various community service providers of the Total Community Approach Team. The funding is through Optum Health of New Mexico.

The Sixth Judicial was awarded a state grant to operate and manage the Grant County Adult Drug Court (GCADC) in the amount of \$80,672. Such funds were utilized for operational costs. GCADC has served a total of 92 individuals and their families since inception.

During FY 12, the Drug Court conducted 21 intakes, with 16 successful graduates. The program maintains a 13% recidivism rate; while maintaining a 74% retention rate. Employment attainment of Drug Court graduates was 100%.

Juvenile Justice Continuum Services - The Sixth Judicial expensed general funds for the Juvenile Justice Continuum Services Program (JJCS) in the amount of \$252,202. This funding was distributed to the three counties that comprise in the Sixth Judicial District.

JJCS is supervised by the Board of Directors which includes District Judge Gary M. Jeffreys (representative for Luna County, retired February 24, 2012), District Judge J.C. Robinson (representative for Grant County) and District Judge Daniel G. Viramontes (representative for Hidalgo County, also representative for Luna County after the retirement of Judge Jeffreys), Chief JPPO Officer and six key members, representative from the three counties. The Juvenile Drug Program Manager administers the program.

JJCS assists the youth in the community through intervention, prevention and positive youth development through proactive programs such as comprehensive after-school programs, bicycle recycle, teen outreach and others.

CASA - (Court Appointed Special Advocates) - During FY 12 this judicial district had one contractor to provide CASA services in all three counties. Contract performance criteria were developed by Healing House to screen select, train, manage, and remove volunteers. The CASA volunteers were available to serve in each abuse or neglect case in the district's Children's Court. The volunteers visit the children's homes, attend court hearings, and provide the Court reports and recommendations as how to best deal with children of troubled families.

Internal Management

The Sixth Judicial District Court is comprised of three counties, which are Grant County (Silver City), Luna County (Deming) and Hidalgo County (Lordsburg). The district's FTE position count as indicated in House Bill 2 totals 36 employees. However, the district did not fill all positions due to budget reductions.

The District's internal mission is to continue to supply justice to the community despite budget reductions. As a result, the district operates to its full potential in all three counties by providing eight hours of customer service with normal daily/weekly business hours. All programs are operating accurately and efficiently through team participation. The Sixth continues to avoid employee furloughs by restructuring programs, multi-tasking, cross training in different programs and redistributing operational needs.

Sixth Judicial District court Counties of Grant, Luna and Hidalgo Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information) June 30, 2012

The district collects revenues through the clerk's office from filing fees, mediation fees, grant funding and/or fines are deposited to designated accounts which are then transferred to the State Treasurer's Office, located in Santa Fe, New Mexico. The revenue taken in from all three District court Clerk's Office for end year FY 12 is as follows:

Grant County	\$165,475
Luna County	84,135
Hidalgo County	22,480

Each month the Clerk of the Court for each county reports to the State Treasurer's Office. All three counties also provide Trust Accounts for reporting assets held in trust for others and are not available to support the agency's programs. All reports are audited by the Administration Department for accountability and accuracy.

The statewide financial and human resource program called SHARE is provided by the Department of Finance and Administration. This program is utilized with a great amount of efficiency; however, the Financial and HR Departments continue to utilize excel spreadsheets to maintain internal accuracy, assurance and accountability.

Management Decisions

The Sixth Judicial District Court's main goal during FY 12 was to manage daily operational needs. Also prepare for Odyssey transition with target rollout of December 10, 2012. The Chief Judge is consulted in all major decisions, issues and resolutions. Meetings are also held with the participation of the other judges for involvement, understanding and agreement regarding issues of particular interest to this agency.

There is also communication between the supervisors and the Court Executive Officer on either financial and/or human resource matters that involve subordinates.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget

The agency continued to operate with a limited budget during fiscal year 2012. Fiscal Year 2013 Operating Budget reflects a slight increase in general funding. As the economic conditions become optimistic, this agency has prepared to meet the operating needs by reviewing its budget for any and all possible sources.

The purpose of this financial report is to provide the general public and other interested parties with a general overview of the Sixth Judicial District Court's finances and accountability of funds. Questions or concerns resulting from the review of this report should be addressed to the Sixth Judicial District Court, Administrative Department, P.O. Box 2339, 201 N. Cooper Street, First Floor, Silver City, New Mexico, 88061.

This page is intentionally left blank

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Sixth Judicial District Court Statement of Net Assets Government -Wide June 30, 2012

Assets		vernmental Activities
Current assets		
Investments in State Treasurer's		
General Fund investment pool (Note 2)	\$	207,309
Total current assets		207,309
Noncurrent assets		
Capital assets, net of depreciation (Note 3)		129,819
Total assets	\$	337,128
Liabilities and Net Assets		
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	65,830
Accrued expenses		69,372
Accrued payroll		20,376
Compensated absences - current portion		86,463
Due to state general fund (Note 9)		22,295
Total current liabilities		264,336
Noncurrent liabilities		
Compensated absences		23,391
Total liabilities		287,727
Net Assets		
Invested in capital assets		129,819
Restricted		29,436
Unrestricted (Note 10)		(109,854)
Total net assets		49,401
Total liabilities and net assets	<u>\$</u>	337,128

Sixth Judicial District Court Statement of Activities Government -Wide For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

	Governmental Activities
Expenses	
General Government	
Judicial services	\$ 3,143,571
Depreciation	24,977
Total program expenses	3,168,548
Program revenues	
Federal grants	6,188
Operating grants and contributions	197,392
Miscellaneous revenues	18,274
Total program revenues	221,854
Net program expense	(2,946,694)
General revenues and transfers	
State general fund appropriation	2,893,400
Transfers in - severance tax bond appropriation	132,089
Reversion to the state general fund - FY 2012	(22,295)
Total general revenues and transfers	3,003,194
Change in net assets	56,500
Net assets - beginning of the year	(7,099)
Net assets - end of the year	\$ 49,401

Sixth Judicial District Court Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2012

	General Fund		Mediation Fund		Total	
Assets						
Investments in the State Treasurer's						
General Fund investment pool	\$	177,479	\$	29,830	\$	207,309
Total assets	\$	177,479	\$	29,830	\$	207,309
Liabilities and Fund Balances Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued expenses Accrued payroll Due to State General fund Total liabilities	\$	65,436 69,372 20,376 22,295	\$	394 - - - - 394	\$	65,830 69,372 20,376 22,295
Fund Balances Spendable Restricted Unassigned Total fund balances	_	177,479 - - -		29,436 - 29,436		29,436 - 29,436
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	177,479	\$	29,830	\$	207,309

Exhibit B-1

Sixth Judicial District Court Reconciliation of Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2012

Total fund balances - Governmental Funds

29,436

\$

347,116

(217,297)

Amounts reported for *governmental activities* in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds. These assets consist of:

the funds. These assets consist of:
Property, plant and equipment
Accumulated depreciation
Total capital assets

129,819

Some liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds. Those liabilities of:

Compensated absences

(109,854)

Net assets of governmental activities (See Exhibit A-1)

49,401

Sixth Judicial District Court

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds

For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

	General		Mediation			
		Fund		Fund		Total
Revenues						
Intergovernmental revenues	\$	190,684	\$	12,896	\$	203,580
Miscellaneous		18,274		-		18,274
Total revenues		208,958		12,896		221,854
Expenditures						
Current						
Administrative services						
Personal services		2,253,516		-		2,253,516
Contractual services		605,042		10,791		615,833
Capital outlay		80,874		-		80,874
Other		272,720		-		272,720
Total expenditures		3,212,152		10,791		3,222,943
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(3,003,194)		2,105		(3,001,089)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)						
State general fund appropriations		2,893,400		-		2,893,400
Transfers in - severance tax bond proceeds		132,089		-		132,089
Reversions to the state general fund - FY 2012		(22,295)		-		(22,295)
Total other financing sources and uses		3,003,194				3,003,194
Net change in fund balance		-		2,105		2,105
Fund balances - beginning of the year				27,331		27,331
Fund balances - end of the year	\$		\$	29,436	\$	29,436

Exhibit B-2

Sixth Judicial District Court Reconciliation of Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

Net change in fund balances -- total governmental funds

\$ 2,105

Amounts reported for *governmental activities* in the Statement of Activities are different because:

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures.

However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lifes as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlays exceeded depreciation in the current period:

Capital Outlay 80,874
Depreciation Expense (24,977)
Excess of capital outlay over depreciation expense

Compensated absences reported in the Statement of Activities require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reportable as expenditures in governmental funds.

\$ 56,500

55,897

(1,502)

Change in net assets of governmental activities (See Exhibit A-2)

Sixth Judicial District Court

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Budget and Actual GAAP Budgetary Basis General Fund

For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

	Budgeted Amounts			Actual Amounts (Modified		Variance with Final Budget Positive		
		Original		Final	Ac	crual Basis)	(N	legative)
Revenues								
Other financing sources	\$	110,600	\$	106,225	\$	103,824	\$	(2,401)
State grants		-		84,459		86,860		2,401
Miscellaneous revenue		11,000		11,000		18,274		7,274
Total revenues		121,600		201,684		208,958		7,274
Expenditures								
Administrative services								
Personal services		2,270,900		2,264,259		2,253,516		10,743
Contractual services		605,400		607,425		605,042		2,383
Other		138,700		223,400		221,505		1,895
*Special capital appropriation		132,089		132,089		132,089		
Total expenditures		3,147,089		3,227,173		3,212,152		15,021
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(3,025,489)		(3,025,489)		(3,003,194)		(7,747)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)								
General fund appropriations		2,893,400		2,893,400		2,893,400		-
*Transfers in - severance tax bond proceeds		132,089		132,089		132,089		-
Reversions to the state general fund - FY 2012		-		_		(22,295)		(22,295)
Total other financing sources (uses)		3,025,489		3,025,489		3,003,194		(22,295)
Net change in fund balance		-		-		-	\$	
Prior year fund balance								
End of year fund balance	\$		\$		\$			

^{*}See Schedule II, Schedule of Special, Deficiency, Specific and Capital Outlay Appropriations, on page 45.

Sixth Judicial District Court

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Budget and Actual GAAP Budgetary Basis Mediation Fund

For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

	Budgeted Amounts			Actual Amounts (Modified		Variance with Final Budget Positive		
	Original			Final	Accrual Basis)		(Negative)	
Revenues								
Other financing sources	\$	14,800	\$	14,800	\$	12,896	\$	(1,904)
Total revenues		14,800		14,800		12,896		(1,904)
Expenditures								
Contractual services		14,800		14,800		10,791		4,009
Total expenditures		14,800		14,800		10,791		4,009
Excess (deficiency) of revenues								
over expenditures		-		-		2,105	\$	2,105
Prior year fund balance		27,331		27,331		27,331		
End of year fund balance	\$	27,331	\$	27,331	\$	29,436		

Sixth Judicial District Court Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities Agency Funds June 30, 2012

	Agency Funds			
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents				
Litigant fund	\$ 143,324			
Treasurer fund	 2,484			
Total assets	\$ 145,808			
Liabilities				
Due to external units				
Litigant fund	\$ 143,324			
Treasurer fund	 2,484			
Total liabilities	\$ 145,808			

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Financial Reporting Entity

The Sixth Judicial District Court (the "Court") operates under Sections 34-6-1, 34-6-9, and 34-6-17 through 34-6-46, NMSA 1978 Compilation. The Judicial District covers Grant, Luna and Hidalgo Counties. The District Court is comprised of three divisions as authorized in the above statutes. The District Court is the State Court of general jurisdiction and is authorized to hear and determine all civil and criminal cases, which are not specifically exempted from its jurisdiction. Financing of the Court is by state appropriation. During the 76th fiscal year, the District court established a domestic relations mediation program pursuant to Section 5 (40-12-5, NMSA 1978 Compilation) of the Domestic Relations Mediation Act and the collection of the surcharge provided for in Sections 6 (40-12-6, NMSA 1978 Compilation) of the Act.

In evaluating how to define the District Court, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by employing the criteria set forth in accounting principles generally accepted in the United State of America. The basic, but not the only, criterion for including a potential component unit with the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant demonstration of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing Council, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters. A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the government/and or its citizens, or whether the activity is conducted within the geographic boundaries of the government and is generally available to its citizens. A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entities is the existence of special financing relations, regardless of whether the government is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. Based upon the application of these criteria, no potential component units were included in the District Court's reporting entity.

The Sixth Judicial District Court is a component unit of the Judicial Branch and these financial statements include all funds and activities over which the Court has oversight responsibility. The Court is not included in any other government "reporting entity" as described in Section 2100, "Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards."

The accounting policies of the Sixth Judicial District Court conform to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applicable to governments. The financial statements have incorporated all applicable FASB pronouncements as well as Accounting Principles Board Opinions and Accounting Research Bulletins of the Committee on accounting procedures issued on or before November 30, 1989 unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. A summary of the Court's significant accounting policies follows:

A. Basis of Accounting – GASB Statement 34

The accounts of the Court are organized on a fund basis, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. Government resources are allocated to account for individual funds based upon the purpose for which spending activities are controlled. The various funds are grouped into two broad fund categories and three generic fund types as follows:

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Governmental Funds

General Fund - District Programs

The General Fund is the operating fund of the Court and is considered a major fund. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. Any unencumbered balance remaining in the General Fund at the end of the fiscal year reverts to the General Fund of the State of New Mexico.

The revenues and expenditures of the Court are regularly accounted for in this fund. The SHARE fund number and description of the General fund of the District is #146 - District Regular.

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) - The Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) is a program with the mission to support effective volunteer advocacy for the best interests of abused and neglected children involved in the court system. The objective of the program is to ensure that every child has a safe, supportive and permanent home. A CASA is a trained, community volunteer, appointed by a judge to represent the best interest of the child in court. Once appointed to a case the CASA becomes an official part of the judicial proceeding, working alongside attorneys and social workers as an appointed officer of the court. A CASA program exists in Grant, Luna, and Hidalgo Counties.

Grant County Adult Drug Court (GCADC) – The program receives funding from a state grant through Total Community Approach/United Behavioral and BHSD. The GCADC is designed for 20 participants; however, the program maintains a census of 23 active participants. The program is in its second year of operation. The development of the program is due to the ongoing addiction of defendants who are repeat offenders in criminal court.

Special Appropriation - Capital Outlay Projects – These are funds appropriated to furnish and equip the Grant County Courthouse as directed in Laws of 2009 Chapter 125, House Bill 154. The special appropriation functions in a reimbursement process with quarterly draw forms to be completed in order to receive the reimbursement of expenditures. The capital outlay project consists of a three (3) year agreement with appropriated funds totaling \$220,000. The Capital Outlay is located in SHARE Fund #14600, Department #A093102.

Special Revenue Fund - Mediation Fund

These funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specific purposes. The Mediation Fund is considered a major fund and has a SHARE fund number of #521.

Mediation Program - The Mediation Program is designed to settle disagreements about the care of children following separation and divorce without an extensive courtroom battle. Mediation requires both parties to agree to the rights and responsibilities of each parent and the ways in which the children's needs will be met. A court order requires the parties to meet with an independent mediator for the purpose of reaching an acceptable agreement. Mediation is available in Grant, Luna, and Hidalgo Counties. The Authority for this fund is Section 40-12-4 NMSA 1978.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

A. Basis of Accounting – GASB Statement 34 (continued)

Fiduciary Funds

Agency Funds are used to account for assets held by the Court in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governments, and/or other funds. Agency Funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. The agency funds of the Court are as follows:

- 1) Litigant Fund These monies are amounts collected from persons involved in pending lawsuits. The Court has custody and, if directed by the Court, may invest these monies until refunded to litigants. The interest earned, if stated in the Court Order, is refunded and, if not stated, the interest earned is transferred to the State General Fund.
- 2) Treasurer Fund These monies are collected from individuals filing with the Court. These monies are remitted intact to the New Mexico State Treasurer.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in the government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the governmental Accounting Standards Board. The government has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

B. Basis of Presentation – Fund Accounting

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The statement of net assets and the statement of activities display information about the Court, the primary government, as a whole, without displaying individual funds or fund types. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Generally, these statements distinguish between activities that are governmental and those that are considered business-type activities. The Court has no business-type activities; therefore these statements only reflect governmental activities. Government-wide financial statements exclude information about fiduciary funds and component units that are fiduciary in nature.

The government-wide statements are prepared using the "economic resources" measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred or economic assets used. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. Program revenues consist of operating grants for Juvenile court and CASA services. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund and agency fund financial statements are presented on a current financial resource and modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when "measurable and available". Measurable means knowing or being able to reasonably estimate the amount. Available means collectible within sixty days of year-end in order to pay current liabilities. Expenditures (including capital outlay) are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

B. Basis of Presentation – Fund Accounting (continued)

Fund Financial Statements (continued)

This presentation is deemed appropriate to (a) demonstrate legal compliance, (b) demonstrate the source and use of liquid resources and (c) demonstrate how the Court's actual experience conforms to the budget or fiscal plan. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements, a reconciliation is presented on the page following each statement, which briefly explains the adjustment necessary to transform the fund based financial statements into the government-wide presentation.

When an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available, the Court first uses restricted resources then unrestricted resources.

The accounts of the Court are organized on a fund basis, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures, or expenses as appropriate. Government resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purposes for which spending activities are controlled.

C. Assets, Liabilities and Equity

Investments with the State Treasurer

The Court's investments with the State Treasurer are demand deposits. Deposits are non-interest bearing. The carrying value of such deposits is shown in Note 2. All funds allotted to the Court are held on deposit with the State Treasurer. The State Treasurer issues separate financial statements, which disclose the collateral pledged to secure these deposits in accordance with GASB 40.

Capital Assets

In the government-wide financial statements, capital assets are accounted for as capital assets. All capital assets are valued at historical cost, or estimated historical cost if actual is unavailable, except for donated capital assets, which are recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of donation. Capital assets with a value exceeding \$5,000 are capitalized.

Depreciation is provided over the assets' estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of depreciation. The range of estimated useful lives by type of asset is as follows:

Furniture and fixtures 7-10 years
Equipment and machinery 5-10 years
Vehicles 5 years

In the fund financial statements, capital assets used in governmental fund operations are accounted for as capital outlay expenditures of the governmental fund upon acquisition. The Court does not capitalize computer software or software developed for internal use (if applicable), unless it exceeds the \$5,000 threshold.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

C. Assets, Liabilities and Equity (continued)

Long-Term Liabilities

Compensated Absences – The Court's policy regarding annual leave permits employees to accumulate earned but unused annual leave. The liability for these compensated absences is recorded as current debt in the government-wide statements. In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report only the compensated absences liability payable from expendable available financial resources. As the State of New Mexico does not budget funds in the current year to pay any portion of the compensated absence liability at the end of the fiscal year, no current liability is recorded in the fund financial statements.

Equity

Government-Wide Statements:

Equity is classified as net assets and displayed in three components:

- 1. *Invested in capital assets, net of related debt*, consists of capital assets net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by any outstanding debt. The Court has no outstanding debt relating to capital assets.
- 2. Restricted net assets, consists of net assets with constraints placed on their use either by (1) external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors or laws or regulations by other governments; or (2) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- 3. *Unrestricted* net assets are all other net assets that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt".

Fund Financial Statements:

Governmental fund equity is classified as fund balance. Fund balance is further classified as Nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned and unassigned, as per GASB Statement 54, effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2010.

D. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Per the General Appropriation Act, Laws of 2009, Chapter 28, Section 3, item N, "For the purpose of administering the General Appropriation Act of 2009 and approving operating budgets, the state of New Mexico shall follow the modified accrual basis of accounting for governmental funds in accordance with the manual of model accounting practices issued by the department of finance and administration," The budget is adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting except for accounts payable accrued at the end of the fiscal year that do not get accrued by the statutory deadline per Section 6-10-4 NMSA 1978. Those accounts payable that is not paid timely or accrued by the statutory deadline must be paid out of the next year's budget. Encumbrances related to single year appropriations lapse at year end. Appropriation periods are sometimes for periods in excess of twelve months (multiple-year appropriations). The legal level of budgetary control should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

D. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting (continued)

Each year the Legislature approves multiple year appropriations, which the State considers as continuing appropriations. The Legislature authorizes these appropriations for two to five years; however, it does not identify the authorized amount by fiscal year. Consequently, the appropriation is budgeted in its entirety the first year the Legislature authorizes it. The unexpended portion of the budget is carried forward as the next year's beginning budget balance until either the project period has expired or the appropriation has been fully expended. The budget presentations in these financial statements are consistent with this budgeting methodology.

The Court follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

- 1. No later than September 1, the Court submits to the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC), and the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) an appropriation request for the fiscal year commencing the following July. The appropriation includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- 2. Appropriation request hearings are scheduled by the Judicial Budget Committee (JBC). Recommendations are made by the JBC to the Supreme Court for their approval. The Supreme Court approved appropriation request is then submitted to the Legislature as the Supreme Court's recommended appropriation request for the Court.
- 3. Budget hearings are scheduled before the New Mexico House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees. The final outcome of these hearings is incorporated into the General Appropriations Act.
- 4. The Court's budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012 was amended in a legally permissible manner by increasing or reallocating appropriation unit totals as the need arose during the fiscal year. Individual amendments were not material in relation to the original budget.
- 5. The Act is signed into law by the Governor of the State of New Mexico within the legally prescribed time limit. The Court submits, no later than May 1, to DFA an annual operating budget by category and line item based upon the appropriations made by the Legislature. The DFA Budget Division reviews and approves the operating budget, which becomes effective on July 1. All subsequent budget adjustments must be approved by the Director of the DFA-Budget Division. The current year budget was revised in a legal manner.
- 6. Legal budget control for expenditures is by category of line item.
- 7. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the fiscal year for the Governmental Funds.
- 8. The budget for the Governmental Funds are not adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The budgets do not include prior year encumbrances paid in the current year in the budgetary amounts.
- 9. Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal year beginning with the year ended June 30, 2012. The Court's General Fund is a reverting fund (funds revert back to the State General Fund).
- 10. Appropriations lapse at the end of the year except for those amounts encumbered.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

D. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting (continued)

- 11. Budgets for the General Fund and Special Revenue Fund are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Encumbrances related to single year appropriations lapse at year end. The portion of an encumbrance representing goods and services received by the last day of the fiscal year should be reclassified as accounts payable. Any remaining encumbrances related to single year appropriations must be reclassified as unreserved fund balance and a liability recorded to recognize any amount subject to reversion. Budgetary comparisons presented for the General Fund and Special Revenue Fund in this report are on the modified accrual budgetary basis, which does not conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as encumbrances are treated as expenditures. This procedures conforms to Department of Finance and Administration and state requirements.
- 12. The budget for this State Agency is adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting except for accounts payable accrued at the end of the fiscal year that do not get paid by statutory deadline (Section 6-10-4 NMSA 1978), that must be paid out of next year's budget.
- 13. If there are appropriations for multiple years and related funds are encumbered, there is a reservation of fund balances for encumbrances in the financial statements. This State Agency has no encumbered funds outstanding as of June 30, 2012.
- 14. The legal level of budgetary control is at the appropriation unit level.

The budgetary information presented in these financial statements has been amended in accordance with the above procedures. These amendments resulted in the following changes:

	Excess (def	Excess (deficiency) of			
	revenues over	revenues over expenditures			
	Original	Final			
	Budget	Budget			
Budgeted Funds:					
General Fund	\$ (3,025,489)	\$ (3,025,489)			
Mediation Fund	-	-			

E. Accrued Compensated Absences

Employees are entitled to accumulate annual leave at a rate based on appointment date and length of continuous service. A maximum of 240 hours of annual leave may be carried forward after the pay period beginning in December and ending in January. When employees terminate, they are compensated at their current hourly rate for accumulated unpaid annual leave as of the date of termination, up to a maximum of 240 hours. Employees are entitled to accumulate sick leave at a rate of 3.69 hours per pay period. There is no limit to the amount of sick leave that an employee may accumulate. State agencies are allowed to pay fifty (50) percent of each employee's hourly rate for accumulated sick leave over 600 hours up to 120 hours. Payment may be made only once per fiscal year at a specified pay period in either January or July.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 16 Accounting for Compensated Absences, accrued compensated absences consist of accumulated annual leave, sick leave, and compensatory leave and related salary payments (employers' matching FICA and Medicare payroll taxes).

F. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets, liabilities and disclosures at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues, expenditures, and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

G. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of payroll tax liabilities and benefit liabilities as of June 30, 2012.

H. Program Revenues

Program revenues consist of operating grants and contributions and courts fees collected per statute designated for court operations.

NOTE 2: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS WITH THE STATE TREASURER

General Fund Investment Pool (GFIP) Not Reconciled

In June 2012 an independent diagnostic report revealed that the State of New Mexico's General Fund Investment Pool has not been reconciled since the implementation of the Statewide Human resources, Accounting, and management Reporting system (SHARE) in July of 2006. Pursuant to state law, the Department of Finance and Administration is responsible for the monthly reconciliation. The Department of Finance and Administration has commissioned two reports that address statewide cash reconciliation issues. They are (1) *Current State of Diagnostic of Cash Controls*, dated June 20, 2012 prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP and (2) *Cash Management Plan and Business Processes*, dated October 11, 2012, also prepared by Deloitte Consulting, LLP. The Diagnostic reports are available in the Resources section of the Cash Control page of the New Mexico Department of Finance & Administration's website at: http://www.nmdfa.state.nm.us/Cash_Control.aspx.

Per the directive issued by the Office of the State Auditor on October 30, 2012, a note disclosure relating to this issue is required for all State of New Mexico state agencies for financial statements issued for fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

That directive instructed agencies to do the following:

- 1. Provide copies of the referenced reports to the agency's Independent Public Accountant (IPA) performing the FY12 audit;
- 2. Explain to the IPA what policies and procedures the agency has in place to ensure that the agency's cash balances in SHARE are correct; and
- 3. Disclose in the agency's notes to the financial statements the facts about the statewide cash reconciliation as of June 30, 2012, and what the agency's policies and procedures were during the FY12 to mitigate the risk that the agency's cash balances would be misstated as of June 30, 2012.

The Sixth Judicial District Court has provided copies of the referenced diagnostic reports to the IPA. The AOC has also explained and provided copies to the IPA what policies and procedures are in place that ensures that the cash balances in SHARE are correct to the extent that the AOC has control (i.e. collection, depositing, reconciling, bank statement validation, and documentation of outstanding reconciling items) of the cash it receipts and transfers to the state general fund and other state agencies pursuant to state statute.

The Sixth Judicial District Court has three bank accounts, one trust account for each county, and three sub-accounts under the oversight of the State Treasurer's Office. To the extent possible the Sixth Judicial District Court does reconcile all fines, fees and trust funds that come into its possession including those receipts that are statutorily mandated to be sent to the state general fund. The cash transactions processed by Sixth Judicial District Court flow through the state general fund investment pool. Since SHARE was implemented, the Sixth Judicial District Court recognized the statewide cash reconciliation issue and in response, developed internal reconciliation procedures to ensure that cash receipts and disbursements recorded in the SHARE system are in fact transactions that have been initiated by the Sixth Judicial District Court. The reconciliation occurs each month and any required adjustments are forwarded to the Financial Control Division at DFA for correction. Monthly reconciliation procedures throughout the Fiscal Year, include, but are not limited to validation of:

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 2: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS WITH THE STATE TREASURER (continued)

General Fund Investment Pool (GFIP) Not Reconciled (continued)

allotments, deposits, expenditures, all general entries, operating transfers, payroll expenditures/payroll liabilities by fund, and review of outstanding warrants within the court's statewide case management system. The Sixth Judicial District Court reports the timely completion of the reconciliation process to Court management monthly and conducts monthly management budget reviews to ensure that all cash deposits and financial transactions are accurately recorded and posted to the SHARE system. This monthly internal reconciliation of cash receipts and disbursements flowing through Sixth Judicial District Court's share of the state general fund investment pool provides management assurance that the balance reflected in State General Fund Investment Pool account is accurate as of the end of the reporting period. In addition, Sixth Judicial District Court reconciles other asset and liability accounts on the Balance Sheet of each fund type. This process also provides additional assurance that transactions affecting Sixth Judicial District Court's share in the State General Fund Investment Pool account are accurate.

Investments in the State Treasurer's General Fund Investment Pool (GFIP)

State law (Section 8-6-3 NMSA 1978) requires the Department's cash be managed by the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office. Accordingly, the investments of the Department consist of an interest in the General Fund Investment Pool managed by the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office.

At June 30, 2012 the Sixth Judicial District Court had the following invested in the General Fund Investment Pool:

General Fund Investment Pool \$207,309

Since the fiscal agent banks utilized by the Sixth Judicial District Court, Wells Fargo Bank and Western Bank, are not able to provide and account for interest for each party who has money in the trust account and the Sixth Judicial District Court has no method to collect and disburse interest to the parties who have funds in the trust account, the Sixth Judicial District Court does not provide for interest to those parties. In the event the Sixth Judicial District Court receives a large deposit in trust (in excess of \$100,000) the Sixth Judicial District Court requests a tax identification number from a party and requests authority from the State Treasurer's Office to establish a separate, interest-bearing account for that party wherein the fiscal agent bank issues an IRS Form 1099 to the party who provided its tax identification number, when the bank pays interest.

Credit Risk for Investments

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The General Fund Investment Pool is not rated for credit risk.

Investment Risk

The Court has no investment or deposit policy that would further limit its investment choices. Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment.

For further information regarding the GFIP, please see the State Treasurer's annual audit report and specifically refer to the GASB 40 disclosure of the investments. That report may be obtained by writing to the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office, P.O. Box 608, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0708.

The New Mexico State Treasurer monitors the collateral for deposits held by it for other state entities, which would include the investment accounts of the Court. The Office of the State Treasurer has its own separate annual independent audit in which the collateral pledged to secure these deposits is disclosed. By law, the Court is not permitted to have any investments other than what is held at the State Treasurer's Office and the Court did not have any other investments during the year ended June 30, 2012. There is no custodial risk at the Court level since the cash amount is under the contract of the New Mexico State Treasurer.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 2: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS WITH THE STATE TREASURER (continued)

At June 30, 2012, the Court had the following pledged collateral:

Account Name	Account Type	Bank Balance	Book Balance	
Agency Funds:				
State Treasurer - Wells Fargo Silver City	Checking	\$ 831	\$ 831	
Litigant - Wells Fargo Silver City	Checking	34,700	34,700	
State Treasurer - Wells Fargo Deming	Checking	1,322	1,322	
Litigant - Wells Fargo Deminig	Checking	94,800	94,800	
State Treasurer - Western Bank Lordsburg	Checking	331	331	
Litigant - Western Bank Lordsburg	Checking	13,824	13,824	
Ç	C	\$ 145,808	\$ 145,808	
Total amount of deposits in banks		\$ 145,808 (145,808)		
FDIC coverage Total uninsured public funds		\$ -		
50% Collateral Requirement		\$ -		

At June 30, 2012, the Court had the following deposits and investments:

		Balance Per				
Share		Treasurer/	Recor	nciling	Re	econciled
Fund #	Maturities	Bank	Ite	ms	I	Balance
14600	<180 days	\$ 177,479	\$	-	\$	177,479
52100	<180 days	29,830				29,830
		\$ 207,309	\$		\$	207,309
У	N/A	\$ 831	\$	-	\$	831
	N/A	34,700		-		34,700
	N/A	1,322		-		1,322
	N/A	94,800		-		94,800
ırg	N/A	331		-		331
	N/A	13,824		-		13,824
		\$ 145,808	\$	-	\$	145,808
	Fund # 14600 52100	Fund # Maturities 14600 <180 days 52100 <180 days y N/A	Share Fund # Maturities Treasurer/Bank 14600 <180 days	Share Fund # Maturities Treasurer/ Bank Record Iter 14600 <180 days	Share Fund # Maturities Treasurer/Bank Reconciling Items 14600 <180 days	Share Fund # Maturities Treasurer/Bank Reconciling Items Reconciling Items Reconciling Items 14600 <180 days

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 3: CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of capital asset and depreciation activity for the year ended June 30, 2012 is as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Deletions	Ending Balance		
Furniture and fixtures	\$ 52,876	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52,876		
Equipment and machinery	126,640	80,874	_	207,514		
Vehicles	86,726	-	-	86,726		
	266,242	80,874		347,116		
Less accumulated depreciation						
Furniture and fixtures	19,054	5,711	-	24,765		
Equipment and machinery	105,908	10,319	-	116,227		
Vehicles	67,358	8,947		76,305		
Total accumulated depreciation	192,320	24,977		217,297		
Capital Assets, net	\$ 73,922	\$ 55,897	\$ -	\$ 129,819		

Current depreciation expense is \$24,977.

NOTE 4: COMPENSATED ABSENCES PAYABLE

A summary of changes in compensated absences is as follows:

					Amount
	Beginning		D	Ending	Due Within
	Balance	Increase	Decrease	Balance	One Year
Compensated Absences Payable	\$ 108,352	\$ 87,965	\$ 86,463	\$ 109,854	\$ 86,463

Compensated absences are liquidated with available financial resources out of the general fund. The State of NM does not budget in the current year, funds to pay any portion of the compensated absence liability at the end of the year; therefore, the current portion of compensated absences is not recorded in the fund financial statements. The Court has no other debt.

NOTE 5: PERA RETIREMENT PLAN

Substantially all of the Sixth Judicial District's full-time employees participate in a public employee retirement system authorized under the Public Employees Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978). The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) is the administrator of the plan, which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. The plan provides for retirement, disability benefits and cost-of-living adjustments to plan members and beneficiaries. PERA issues a separate, publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to PERA, P.O. Box 2123, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2123.

Funding Policy

Plan members are required to contribute 7.42% of their gross salary. The Sixth Judicial District Court is required to contribute 16.59% of the gross covered salary. The contribution requirements of plan members and the Sixth Judicial District Court are established under Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978. The requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. The Court's contributions to PERA for the years ending June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 were \$200,140, \$242,102, and \$243,765, respectively, equal to the amount of the required contributions for each year.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 6: JUDICIAL RETIREMENT ACT CONTRIBUTIONS

Substantially all of the Sixth Judicial District Court Judges or Justices participate in defined benefit contributory retirement plans through the Judicial Retirement Act (JRA). Information pertaining to the actuarially computed present value of vested accumulated plan benefits and non-vested accumulated plan benefits, the plan's net assets available for benefits and the assumed rate of return used in computing the present value, and ten-year historical trend information presenting JRA's progress in accumulated sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is not available by individual government agencies participating in the plan. Actuarial pension data for the State of New Mexico, as employer, is provided at the statewide level in a separately issued audit report of the JRA.

Retirement Eligibility

Any person who attains the age of sixty-four years while occupying the office of the judge or justice, and who, upon retirement there from, has served as a judge or justice in any combination for not less then five years, continuously or otherwise, and has ceased to hold office by reason of expiration of his term or voluntary resignation, shall receive his vested retirement allowance, payable in monthly installments from the judicial retirement fund during the remainder of his/her life. Any person who attains the age of sixty years while in one of such offices and who, upon retirement there from, has served as a judge or justice in any combination for not less than fifteen years, continuously or otherwise, and has ceased to hold office by reason of expiration of his term or voluntary resignation, shall receive his vested retirement allowance during the remainder of his life, payable in monthly installments from the judicial retirement fund.

Funding Policy

Judges in office on June 30, 1980 had the option to participate in either the plan prior to June 30, 1980, or the plans after June 30, 1980. Plan members are required to contribute 7.5% of their gross salary. The Sixth Judicial District Court is required to contribute 10.5% of the gross covered salary. The Courts remit \$30 of each filing fee paid into the Judge's Retirement Fund. The Court remitted \$45,068 in filing fees to the plan during the year ended June 30, 2012.

NOTE 7: POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS - STATE RETIREE HEALTH CARE PLAN

Plan Description. The Court contributes to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA). The RHCA provides health care insurance and prescription drug benefits to retired employees of participating New Mexico government agencies, their spouses, dependents, and surviving spouses and dependents. The RHCA Board was established by the Retiree Health Care Act (Chapter 10, Article 7C, NMSA 1978). The Board is responsible for establishing and amending benefit provisions of the healthcare plan and is also authorized to designate optional and/or voluntary benefits like dental, vision, supplemental life insurance, and long-term care policies.

Eligible retirees are: 1) retirees who make contributions to the fund for at least five years prior to retirement and whose eligible employer during that period of time made contributions as a participant in the RHCA plan on the person's behalf unless that person retires before the employer's RHCA effective date, in which event the time period required for employee and employer contributions shall become the period of time between the employer's effective date and the date of retirement; 2) retirees defined by the Act who retired prior to July 1, 1990; 3) former legislators who served at least two years; and 4) former governing authority members who served at least four years.

The RHCA issues a publicly available stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the postemployment healthcare plan. That report and further information can be obtained by writing to the Retiree Health Care Authority at 4308 Carlisle NE, Suite 104, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 7: POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS - STATE RETIREE HEALTH CARE PLAN (cont.)

Funding Policy. The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-13 NMSA 1978) authorizes the RHCA Board to establish the monthly premium contributions that retirees are required to pay for healthcare benefits. Each participating retiree pays a monthly premium according to a service based subsidy rate schedule for the medical plus basic life plan plus an additional participation fee of five dollars if the eligible participant retired prior to the employer's RHCA effective date or is a former legislator or former governing authority member. Former legislators and governing authority members are required to pay 100% of the insurance premium to cover their claims and the administrative expenses of the plan. The monthly premium rate schedule can be obtained from the RHCA or viewed on their website at www.nmrhca.state.nm.us.

The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978) is the statutory authority that establishes the required contributions of participating employers and their employees. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 1.834% of each participating employee's annual salary; each participating employee was required to contribute .917% of their salary. In the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013 the contribution rates for employees and employers will rise as follows:

Fiscal Year	Employer Contribution Rate	Employee Contribution Rate
FY13	2.000%	1.000%

Also, employers joining the program after January 1, 1998 are also required to make a surplus-amount contribution to the RHCA based on one of two formulas at agreed-upon intervals.

The RHCA plan is financed on a pay-as-you-go basis. The employer, employee and retiree contributions are required to be remitted to the RHCA on a monthly basis. The statutory requirements for the contributions can be changed by the New Mexico State Legislature.

The Court's contributions to the RHCA for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 were \$32,241, \$30,647 and \$22,075, respectively, which equal the amount of the required contributions for each year.

NOTE 8: RISKS OF LOSS

The Court obtains coverage through Risk Management Division of the State of New Mexico General Services Department. This coverage includes general liability, worker's compensation, law enforcement liability, civil rights, property, and vehicle. These coverages are designed to satisfy the requirements of the State Tort Claims Act. All employees of the Court are covered by a blanket fidelity bond of \$5,000,000 coverage limit with a \$1,000 deductible per occurrence by the State of New Mexico for the period July 1 through June 30.

NOTE 9: REVERSIONS

The Due to State General Fund in the Statement of Net Assets includes \$22,295 from 2012 that will be remitted to the State General Fund. The reversion amount included only state appropriations. Grant revenues accounted for in the special revenue fund are non-reverting.

NOTE 10: NET ASSETS AND FUND EQUITY

The implementation of GASB #34, which requires restatement of beginning balances for capitalized assets, net of accumulated depreciation, and inclusion of long-term debt results in an unrestricted net asset deficit of \$109,854. This deficit is created primarily by compensated absences, which will be paid from subsequent years' State General Fund appropriations.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 11: INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS

The Court had the following transfers for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012:

	Share		Share		
Transfers From	Fund #	Transfers To	Fund #	Agency #	Amount
General Fund					
State General Fund	85300	General Fund	14600	34101	\$ 2,893,400
General Fund	14600	State General Fund	85300	34101	22,295
Administrative Office of the Courts	13900	General Fund	14600	21800	103,824

NOTE 12: SUBSEQUENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

In November 2010 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued GASB Statement 60, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Service Concession Arrangement, effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting by addressing issues related to service concession arrangements (SCAs), which are a type of public-private or public-public partnership. As used in this Statement, an SCA is an arrangement between a transferor (a government) and an operator (governmental or nongovernmental entity) in which (1) the transferor conveys to an operator the right and related obligation to provide services through the use of infrastructure or another public asset (a "facility") in exchange for significant consideration and (2) the operator collects and is compensated by fees from third parties. The District is analyzing the effects that this pronouncement will have on their financial statements.

In December 2010 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued GASB Statement 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements, effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The objective of this Statement is to incorporate into the GASB's authoritative literature certain accounting and financial reporting guidance that is included in the following pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989, which does not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements:

- 1. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations,
- 2. Accounting Principles Board Opinions, and
- 3. Accounting Research Bulletins of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' (AICPA) Committee on Accounting Procedure.

The District is analyzing the effects that this pronouncement will have on their financial statements.

In June 2011 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued GASB Statement 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position, effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. This Statement provides financial reporting guidance for deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. Concepts Statement No. 4, Elements of Financial Statements, introduced and defined those elements as a consumption of net assets by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period, and an acquisition of net assets by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period, respectively. Previous financial reporting standards do not include guidance for reporting those financial statement elements, which are distinct from assets and liabilities. The District is analyzing the effects that this pronouncement will have on their financial statements.

In March 2012 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued GASB Statement 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The objective of this Statement is to establish accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify, as deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities and recognizes, as outflows of resources or inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities. The District is analyzing the effects that this pronouncement will have on their financial statements.

Sixth Judicial District Court Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2012

NOTE 13: OTHER REQUIRED INDIVIDUAL FUND DISCLOSURES

Generally accepted accounting principles require disclosures of certain information concerning individual funds including:

- A. There were no funds that maintained a deficit fund balance as of June 30, 2012.
- B. There were no funds that exceeded approved budgetary authority for the year ended June 30, 2012.

NOTE 14: CONCENTRATIONS

The District depends on financial resources flowing from, or associated with, both the Federal Government and the State of New Mexico. Because of this dependency, the District is subject to changes in specific flows of intergovernmental revenues based on modifications to Federal and State laws and Federal and State appropriations.

This page is intentionally left blank

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES

Sixth Judicial District Court Schedule of Changes in Assets and Liabilities Agency Funds

For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

		Balance						Balance	
	Jun	ne 30, 2011	30, 2011 Additions		I	Deletions	June 30, 2012		
Assets									
Cash and cash equivalents									
Litigant fund	\$	456,263	\$	231,143	\$	544,082	\$	143,324	
Treasurer fund		22,036		271,322		290,874		2,484	
Total assets	\$	478,299	\$	502,465	\$	834,956	\$	145,808	
Liabilities									
Due to state									
Trust and treasury accounts									
Litigant fund	\$	456,263	\$	231,143	\$	544,082	\$	143,324	
Treasurer fund		22,036		271,322		290,874		2,484	
Total liabilities	\$	478,299	\$	502,465	\$	834,956	\$	145,808	

Schedule II

Sixth Judicial District Court Schedule of Special, Deficiency, Specific and Capital Outlay Appropriations For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

				(Current			
	(Original	Appropriation		Year	Ex	penditures	Final
Description	Apj	propriation	Period	Ex	penditures		To Date	 Budget
Special, Deficiency, Specific and Capital Outlay Appropriations								
Laws 2009 - HB 154/Chapter 125/Section 11	\$	220,000	07/01/09-06/30/12	\$	132,089	\$	220,000	\$ _

This page is intentionally left blank

COMPLIANCE SECTION

This page is intentionally left blank



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Hector Balderas New Mexico State Auditor The Honorable J.C. Robinson, Chief Judge Sixth Judicial District Court Silver City, New Mexico

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the budgetary comparisons for the general fund and special revenue fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State of New Mexico, Sixth Judicial District Court (Court) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents and have issued our report thereon dated December 7, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the standards applicable to financial statement audits contained in the New Mexico State Audit Rule 2.2.2 NMAC.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Court is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Court's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Court's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the Court, the Office of the State Auditor, the Department of Finance and Administration, the Administrative Office of the Courts, the New Mexico Legislature, and applicable federal grantors, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these parties.

Accounting & Consulting Group, LLP

Roswell, New Mexico December 7, 2012

Sixth Judicial District Court
Schedule of Findings and Responses
June 30, 2012

Α.	CURRENT	YEAR	FINDINGS
----	----------------	-------------	-----------------

None.

B. PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS

None.

Sixth Judicial District Court Other Disclosure June 30, 2012

A. EXIT CONFERENCE

The contents of this report were discussed on December 7, 2012. The following individuals were in attendance.

Sixth Judicial District Court

Honorable Henry Quintero – Judge

Melissa Frost – Court Executive Officer

Guadalupe Leftault – Financial Specialist

Accounting & Consulting Group, LLP Shelley Olson – Supervisor