State of New Mexico Supreme Court Building Commission



Financial Statements

June 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODU	ICTORY	SECT	
INIKUUL	JUIORI	3EC II	UIV

Official Roster	1
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	4
Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets	8
Statement of Activities	9
Fund Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – General Fund	11
Statement of Revenues and Expenditures – Budget and Actual – General Fund	12
Notes to Financial Statements	13
OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	
Schedule of Operating Transfers	25
OTHER INFORMATION	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government</i>	
Auditing Standards	
Schedule of Findings and Responses	
Other Disclosures	34

Chairman

OFFICIAL ROSTER June 30, 2012

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Honorable Petra Jimenez Maes
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court

Honorable Saul Cohen Vice Chairman

Edith Cherry Member

Joey D. Moya Building Manager

Chief Clerk of the Supreme Court



David G. Zlotnick Asa Laws Richard D. Sandoval

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Petra Jimenez Maes
New Mexico Supreme Court Building Commission
And
Hector Balderas
New Mexico State Auditor

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information and the budgetary comparisons for the general fund of the State of New Mexico Supreme Court Building Commission (Commission), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012 which collectively comprise the Commission's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Commission as of June 30, 2012, and the respective changes in financial position thereof and the budgetary comparisons for the general fund for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 13, 2012 on our consideration of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 4 through 7 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the basic financial statements, the combining and individual fund financial statements, and the budgetary comparisons. The additional schedules listed as "other supplemental information" in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Zlotnick, Laws & Sandoval, P.C.

Zlohick florz \$ Sudoval, P.C

December 13, 2012



The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) provides an overview of the objective and program of the Supreme Court Building Commission. This discussion helps the reader understand the Supreme Court Building Commission's operation in terms of financial planning and expenditures of the annual budget. The Supreme Court Building Commission was created by statute to manage the Supreme Court Building. The Supreme Court Building Commission is responsible for the building and grounds of the Supreme Court Building and all material contents including books and computer equipment.

Agency Overview

The powers and duties of the Supreme Court Building Commission are set forth by statute. *See* NMSA 1978, Sections 34-3-1 to 34-3-3 (1967). The Supreme Court Building houses the Supreme Court, Supreme Court Building Commission, and Supreme Court Law Library. The Supreme Court Building Commission also provides office space for the New Mexico Court of Appeals and the Administrative Office of the Courts. By statute, the Supreme Court Building Commission has care, custody and control of the Supreme Court Building and its grounds, along with all equipment, furniture and fixtures purchased or used by agencies of the judicial departments housed within it. *See* NMSA 1978, Section 34-3-3 (1967). Such equipment and furniture may also be maintained in part by the individual agencies that purchase or use it.

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)

This section includes information on the use of the annual report, and management's analysis of the financial position and results of operations for the Commission. Information compares this fiscal year with the prior fiscal year.

Financial Statements Overview

The financial statements are for the purpose of presenting to the reader the financial position and financial changes for the Supreme Court Building Commission. The financial statements are not intended to report the financial position of the State of New Mexico as a whole. The Supreme Court Building Commission is one of 100+ agencies in the State that contributes to the financial position of the State of New Mexico. The Supreme Court Building Commission had one fund during the fiscal year ending 2012, the General Fund.

Generally speaking the Supreme Court Building Commission is a reverting agency. The Supreme Court Building Commission General Fund reverts into the State General Fund after the end of the fiscal year. The Supreme Court Building Commission's revenues are mainly from the State General Fund, with nothing received from court fees.

Government - Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to be corporate-like in that all governmental activities are consolidated into one column. The Supreme Court Building Commission has no business type activities. The focus of the Statement of Net Assets (the "Unrestricted Net Assets") is designed to be similar to a bottom line for the Supreme Court Building Commission and its governmental activities.

This statement combines and consolidates governmental fund's current financial resources (short-term spendable resources) with capital assets and long-term liabilities.

The Statement of Activities is focused on cost of various activities that are provided by the Supreme Court Building Commission's general revenues. This is intended to summarize and simplify the user's analysis of the cost of services.

The Governmental Activities reflect the Supreme Court Building Commission's basic services of providing a physical facility and maintaining the contents.

Fund Financial Statements

The Supreme Court Building Commission only has one such fund: the General Fund. The Governmental Fund presentation is presented on a sources and uses of liquid resources basis.

Budgetary Comparisons

In addition to the MD&A, GASB 34 requires a budgetary comparison schedule for the General Fund. The budgetary comparison schedules present both the original and the final appropriated budgets for the reporting period as well as the actual inflows, outflows and balances, as stated on the government's budgetary basis.

As required by the Office of the State Auditor under 2.2.2 NMAC, the Statements of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual is presented. This information is provided at the approved budget level to demonstrate compliance with legal requirements.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Statement of Net Assets

	Government Activities				
	2012	2011			
Current Assets	\$ 38,676	59,845			
Capital Assets	5,189,614	6,563,297			
Total Assets	5,228,290	6,623,142			
Liabilities	 76,480	97,091			
Invested in Capital Assets Unrestricted (deficit)	5,189,614 (37,804)	6,563,297 (37,246)			
Total Net Assets	\$ 5,151,810	6,526,051			

For more detailed information, see the Statement of Net Assets.

Financial Highlights

The Commission's total net assets decreased from \$6,526,051 for fiscal year 2011 to \$5,151,810 for fiscal year 2012, resulting in an overall decrease in net assets in the amount of \$1,374,241. The decrease was primarily in capital assets in fiscal year 2012.

Statement of Activities

The following schedule represents the revenues and expenses for the current and prior year.

	Government Activities			
	2012	2011		
General Revenues:				
State General Fund Appropriations	804,500	771,500		
Severance Tax Bond Proceeds	533,940	414,495		
Miscellaneous Revenue	-	7,149		
Reversions to State General Fund	(3,391)	(9,422)		
Loss on Disposal of Assets	(5,530)			
Total General Revenues	1,329,519	1,183,722		
Program Revenues	650,023	1,873,421		
Total Revenues	1,979,542	3,057,143		
Program Expenses	3,353,783	2,899,896		
Change in net assets	(1,374,241)	157,247		
Net assets, beginning of year	6,526,051	6,368,804		
Net assets, end of year	5,151,810	6,526,051		

For more detailed information, see the Statement of Activities. The 2011 column has been presented as restated (see Note 10 in the Notes to the Financial Statements)

Financial Highlights

The Commission's total revenues for fiscal year 2012 were \$1,979,542 versus fiscal year 2011 which were \$3,057,143. Revenues decreased in 2012 by \$1,077,601 due to other entities purchasing significantly less assets in fiscal year 2012 than 2011. Total expenses for fiscal year 2012 were \$3,353,783 versus fiscal year 2011 which were \$2,899,896. Expenditures increased in 2012 by \$453,887 primarily due to an increase in depreciation expense.

THE SUPREME COURT BUILDING COMMISSION'S FUNDS

The Supreme Court Building Commission has no infrastructure assets, and the following capital assets:

The Supreme Court Building and curtilage (land); Furniture, fixtures and equipment; Data processing equipment; and Vehicles

Most of the data processing equipment, which makes up the majority (>95%) of the Supreme Court Building Commission's current assets after depreciation, was purchased by the Administrative Office of the Courts for the District and Magistrate Courts, and has never been on the premises of the Supreme Court Building, nor in the physical custody of the Supreme Court Building Commission. However, that equipment is an asset of the Supreme Court Building Commission by statute, see NMSA 1978, Section 34-3-3 (1967), as that equipment is an asset purchased by an agency of the judicial department housed within the Supreme Court Building.

Major purchases of capital assets during the year were primarily for replacing the roof for the entire building. The replacement of 20 roofs on the Supreme Court Building commenced in fiscal year 2012, with substantial completion scheduled for the first quarter of fiscal year 2013. Funding was provided through the Capital Outlay Budget

BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

There was one budget adjustment in the amount of \$2,154 for fiscal year 2012 from the Contractual Services budget category to the Other budget category. The Commission received a supplemental appropriation of \$27,000. In addition, the Commission was appropriated \$700,000 through Severance Tax Bond Proceeds, Laws of 2011, Chapter 5, Section 14, to replace the roofs on the Supreme Court Building, to expire in fiscal year 2016. As of the end of fiscal year 2012, \$533,940 has been expended from the capital funds outlay appropriation. Unexpended General Fund appropriations were reverted to the State General Fund.

ANTICIPATED CHANGES

The Supreme Court Building Commission knows of no anticipated changes that will significantly affect the financial position of the agency.

FINANCIAL CONTACT

Joey D. Moya, Building Manager and CFO, Supreme Court Building Commission supjdm@nmcourts.gov

Wanda M. Gonzales, Court Financial Manager, Supreme Court Building Commission supwmg@nmcourts.com.

Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2012

	Governmental Activities		
ASSETS Current Assets: Investment in State General Fund Investment Pool Due From State General Fund Total Current Assets	\$	38,367 309 38,676	
Land Capital Assets, Net		100,311 5,089,303	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	5,228,290	
LIABILITIES Current Liabilities: Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll Compensated Absences - current Total Current Liabilities	\$	13,586 25,090 32,747 71,423	
Noncurrent Liabilities: Compensated Absences TOTAL LIABILITIES		5,057 76,480	
NET ASSETS Invested in Capital Assets Unrestricted (deficit) TOTAL NET ASSETS		5,189,614 (37,804) 5,151,810	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	\$	5,228,290	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

	Governmental Activities		
Expenses:			
Judicial:			
Administrative Services	\$	801,666	
Depreciation		2,552,117	
Total Expenses		3,353,783	
Program Revenues:			
Capital Grants and Contributions		650,023	
Total Program Revenues	650,023		
•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Net Program Expenses		(2,703,760)	
General Revenues and Transfers			
State General Fund Appropriations		804,500	
Severance Tax Bond Proceeds		533,940	
2012 State General Fund Reversion		(3,391)	
Loss on Disposal of Capital Assets		(5,530)	
Total General Revenues and Transfers		1,329,519	
Total deficial nevenues and Transfers		1,323,313	
Change in Net Assets		(1,374,241)	
Net Assets, beginning of year		6,526,051	
Net Assets, end of year	\$	5,151,810	
See Notes to Financial Statements.			

Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds June 30, 2012

		General Fund
ASSETS		
Current Assets: Investment in State General Fund Investment Pool Due From State General Fund	\$	38,367 309
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	38,676
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES		
Current Liabilities: Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll		13,586 25,090
TOTAL LIABILITIES		38,676
FUND BALANCES		
Unassigned (See Note 10) TOTAL FUND BALANCES		<u>-</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	38,676
Amounts reported in the Statement of Net Assets differ becau	ıse:	
Total fund balances	\$	-
Capital assets reported in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the government funds statement	5	5,189,614
Compensated absences accrued in the government-wide financial statements are excluded from the governmental funds statement		(37,804)
Net assets per Statement of Net Assets	\$ 5	,151,810
See Notes to Financial Statements.		

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

		General Fund
REVENUES	\$	
EXPENDITURES Current: General Government: Administrative Services: Personal Services and Benefits Contract Services Other Costs		628,836 8,346 163,927
Capital Outlay Total Expenditures		533,940 1,335,049
(Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures		1,335,049)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (Uses)		
Transfers In: General Fund Appropriation Transfers In: Severance Tax Bond Proceeds Transfers Out: 2012 Reversions to the State General Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)		804,500 533,940 (3,391) 1,335,049
Net Change in Fund Balances		
Fund Balances, beginning		-
Fund Balances, ending	\$	-
Amounts reported In the Statement of Activities are different I	beca	use:
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$	-
Assets purchased by other entities Capital outlay Depreciation expense Loss on Disposal of Capital Assets Net change in total compensated absences	(650,023 533,940 2,552,117) (5,530) (557)
Changes in net assets of governmental activities	\$ (1,374,241)
See Notes to Financial Statements.		

Statement of Revenues and Expenditures Budget and Actual (Budgetary Basis) - General Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

				Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget
		Budg	et	(Budgetary	Positive
		Original	Final	Basis)	(Negative)
REVENUES					_
General Fund Appropriation	\$	777,500	804,500	804,500	
Total Revenues		777,500	804,500	804,500	-
Fund Balance	_	-	-		
Total Revenues and Fund Balance	\$	777,500	804,500		
EXPENDITURES					
Administrative Services:					
Personal Services	\$	618,500	629,430	628,836	594
Contractual Services	Y	10,500	8,346	8,346	-
Other		148,500	166,724	163,927	2,797
Total Expenditures	\$	777,500	804,500	801,109	3,391
	1				
SEVERANCE TAX BOND PROCEEDS (Se	ee No	ote 9)			
REVENUES					
Severance Tax Bond Proceeds	\$	700,000	700,000	533,940	(166,060)
Total Revenues		700,000	700,000	533,940	(166,060)
Fund Balance		-	-		
Total Revenues and Fund Balance	\$	700,000	700,000		
EXPENDITURES					
Administrative Services:	¢	66 500	66 500	F4 440	45.200
Contractual Services Other	\$	66,500	66,500	51,140	15,360
Other Total Expenditures	\$	633,500 700,000	633,500 700,000	482,800 533,940	150,700 166,060
iotai Expeliuitures	- ۷	700,000	700,000		100,000

See Notes to Financial Statements.

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the State of New Mexico, Supreme Court Building Commission (Commission) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standards-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the Commission's accounting policies are described below:

1. Reporting Entity

In evaluating how to define the Commission, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units in the reporting entity by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP. The basic, but not the only, criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters. A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity is conducted within the geographic boundaries of the government and is generally available to its citizens. A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether government is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. Based upon the application of these criteria there are no component units.

Included within the reporting entity:

Building Commission

The Supreme Court Building Commission was created by statute. See NMSA 1978, Section 34-3-1 (1967). The Building Commission operates under a Board of Directors, three residents of the State appointed by the New Mexico Supreme Court. The Building Manager of the Building Commission is responsible for administrative operations and for handling budget matters and all financial transactions. The Building Superintendent is under the Building Manager's supervision.

B. Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include both government-wide (based on the Supreme Court Building Commission as a whole) and fund financial statements. Both the government-wide and fund financial statements categorize primary activities as either governmental or business type. The Supreme Court Building Commission is a single-purpose government entity and has no business type activities. In the government-wide Statement of Net Assets, the governmental activities are presented on a consolidated basis and are reflected on the full accrual, economic resource basis, which incorporates long-term assets and receivables, as well as long-term debt and obligations.

The government-wide Statement of Activities reflects both the gross and net cost per functional category, which are otherwise being supported by general government revenues. The Statement of Activities reduces gross expenses by related program revenues, operating and capital grants. The program revenues must be directly associated with the function.

The net cost by function is normally covered by general revenue. Historically, the previous model did not summarize or present net cost by function or activity. Since the Supreme Court Building Commission only has one program, it does not employ direct cost allocation in the financial statements.

The fund financial statements are very similar to the financial statements presented in the previous model. Emphasis is on the major funds of the governmental category. Non-major funds are summarized into a single column. The Supreme Court Building Commission's only fund is its General Fund which is considered a major fund.

The governmental funds in the fund financial statements are presented on a current financial resource and modified accrual basis of accounting. This is the manner in which these funds are normally budgeted. This presentation is deemed more appropriate to demonstrate legal and covenant compliance, to demonstrate the source and use of liquid resources and to demonstrate how the Supreme Court Building Commission's actual experience conforms to the budget or fiscal plan. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements governmental column, a reconciliation is presented on the page following each statement, which briefly explains the adjustment necessary to transform the fund based financial statements into the governmental column of the government-wide presentation.

The financial transactions of the Supreme Court Building Commission are recorded in individual funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, expenditures or expenses and other financing sources or uses. Government resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which the spending activities are controlled.

The Supreme Court Building Commission's Funds are classified as governmental.

<u>General Fund</u> – The General Fund (SHARE Fund 14000) is the primary operating fund of the Commission. It accounts for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The operations of the Commission are funded primarily by appropriations from the State General Fund, which are recorded as "other financing sources" in the general fund in the year appropriated. The Commission also receives funding from other state funds, which are recorded as interagency transfers. The General Fund is a reverting fund.

C. Non-Current Governmental Assets/Liabilities

Non-current assets and liabilities are not presented in the fund financial statements, but rather incorporated only in the government-wide Statement of Net Assets.

D. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The government-wide financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. The Commission has elected to apply the provisions of all relevant pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), including those issued after November 30, 1989. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred or economic assets used. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from exchange transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from non-exchange transactions are recognized in accordance with requirements of GASB 33.

The General Fund utilizes the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues and other governmental fund financial resource increments are recognized in the accounting period in which they become susceptible to accrual that is, when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period; available meaning collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter (60 days) to be used to pay liabilities of the current period.

Expenditures are recorded as liabilities when incurred. An exception to this general rule is that accumulated unpaid annual, compensatory and certain sick leave are not accrued as current liabilities but as non-current liabilities.

In applying the "susceptible to accrual" concept to intergovernmental revenues pursuant to Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 33 (GASB 33), Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions, the provider should recognize liabilities and expenses and the recipient should recognize receivables and revenues when the applicable eligibility requirements, including time requirements, are met. Resources transmitted before the eligibility requirements are met, under most circumstances, should be reported as advances by the provider and deferred revenue by the recipient. The Severance Tax Bond Proceeds appropriations are recognized in an amount equivalent to the related capital and other expenditures.

E. Encumbrances

Encumbrances follow the modified accrual basis of accounting. Outstanding valid encumbrances are classified as accounts payable if they are normally paid before 30 days after the end of the fiscal year. After this deadline, the agency may still make the expenditure for a prior year accounts payable; however, they must first obtain DFA's permission to pay prior year bills. "Permission to pay prior year bills" is a penalty under law for not paying bills timely. It penalizes an agency by mandating that they charge an expenditure of one fiscal year to the subsequent fiscal year's budget.

F. Capital Assets

Property, plant, and equipment purchased or acquired at a value of \$5,000 or greater are capitalized. Assets are carried at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Contributed assets are recorded at fair market value as of the date received. Additions, improvements, and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed when incurred. Depreciation on all assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives with no salvage value.

	<u>Years</u>
Building and improvements	10-40
Furniture/fixtures	10
Data processing equipment and software	3-5
Equipment	5
Vehicles	5

G. Compensated Absences

Qualified employees accumulate annual leave to a maximum of 240 hours as follows:

Years	Hours Earned			
of Service	Bi-Weekly			
1 – 3 years	4.62			
4 – 6 years	5.54			
7 – 13 years	6.46			
14 years or more	7.39			

The maximum accrued annual leave may be carried forward into the beginning of the next calendar year and any excess is lost. When employees terminate, they are compensated for accumulated unpaid annual leave as of the date of termination. Accrued annual leave is recorded as a liability and net changes are recorded as expenditures in the government-wide financial statements. The fund financial statements and budgetary comparison reports only recognize the compensated absences when payments are made to employees.

H. Net Assets

The government-wide financial statements utilize a net asset presentation. Net assets are categorized as invested in capital assets (net of related debt), restricted and unrestricted.

Invested in Capital Assets (net of related debt)—is intended to reflect the portion of net assets which is associated with non-liquid, capital assets less outstanding capital asset related debt. The net related debt is the debt less the outstanding liquid assets and any associated unamortized cost. The Commission does not have any related debt.

Restricted Assets—are liquid assets (generated from revenues and not bond proceeds), which have third-party, legally enforceable, (statutory, bond covenant or granting agency) limitations on their use. When there is an option, the Supreme Court Building Commission spends restricted resources first.

Unrestricted Assets—represent unrestricted liquid assets.

I. Fund Balances

Governmental funds report fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which the government is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in those funds can be spent. The classifications used in the governmental fund financial statements are as follows:

Nonspendable – This classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted – This classification includes amounts that are restricted to a specific purpose. Fund balances are restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either: (a) Externally imposed by creditors (such as debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; (b) Enabling legislation, as the term is used authorizes the Commission to assess, levy, charge or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a *legally enforceable* requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation.

Committed – This classification includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes to constraints imposed by formal action of the Commission's highest level of decision-making authority. Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Commission removes or changes the specific use by taking the same type of action (for example resolution, ordinance) it employed to previously commit those amounts.

Assigned – This classification includes amounts that are constrained by the Commission's *intent* to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed. Intent should be expressed by (a) the governing body itself or (b) a body or official to which the governing body has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes.

Unassigned — This classification is the residual classification for the general fund. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that has not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund. The general fund should be the only fund that reports a positive unassigned fund balance amount.

J. Compensated Absences

Vested or accumulated vacation leave and vested sick leave are reported in the entity-wide financial statements, since they are not expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources. No current expenditures are reported in the General Fund financial statements for these amounts.

K. Long-Term Obligations

Long-term debt is recognized as a liability of a governmental fund when due. For other long-term obligations, only that portion expected to be financed from expendable available financial resources is reported as a liability of the General Fund. The remaining portion of such obligations is reported in the entity-wide financial statements.

L. Budgets

The Supreme Court Building Commission follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

No later than September 1, the Supreme Court Building Commission submits to the Judicial Budget Office (JBO), the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC), and the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) an appropriation request for the fiscal year commencing the following July 1. The appropriation request includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.

Appropriation request hearings are scheduled by the DFA. Budget hearings are scheduled before the New Mexico House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees. The final outcomes of these hearings are incorporated into the General Appropriations Act. The Act is signed into law by the Governor of the State of New Mexico within the legally prescribed time limit.

The Supreme Court Building Commission submits to the DFA, no later than May 1, an annual operating budget by category and line item based upon the appropriation made by the Legislature. The DFA – Budget Division reviews and approves the operating budget which becomes effective on July 1. All subsequent budget adjustments must be approved by the State of New Mexico, Administrative Office of the Courts and the director of the DFA – Budget Division and the LFC. The current year budget was adjusted in a legal manner.

Legal budget control for expenditures is by category of line item. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the fiscal year for the General Fund.

Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal year except for multi-year special appropriations. All amounts within the General Fund revert annually to the State General Fund, with the exception of unspent multi-year special appropriations.

Each year the Legislature approves multiple year appropriations, which the State considers as continuing appropriations. The Legislature authorizes these appropriations for two to five years; however, it does not identify the authorized amount by fiscal year. Consequently, the appropriation is budgeted in its entirety the first year the Legislature authorizes it. The unexpended portion of the budget is carried forward as the next year's beginning budget balance until either the project period has expired or the appropriation has been fully expended. The budget presentation in these financial statements is consistent with this budgeting methodology.

The budget for the General Fund is adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting except for accounts payable accrued at the end of the fiscal year that do not get paid by the statutory deadline (Section 6-10-4 NMSA 1978), that must be paid out of next year's budget. A reconciliation of budgetary basis to GAAP basis will be necessary if all accounts payable at the end of the fiscal year are not paid by the statutory deadline. No reconciliation was necessary as of June 30, 2012.

M. Program Revenues

The Commission derives program revenues (capital grants and contributions) from the following other state agencies: Administrative Office of the Courts, Court of Appeals, Supreme Court Law Library, and The Supreme Court. These revenues represent capital assets acquired by these entities.

NOTE 2: STATE TREASURER GENERAL FUND INVESTMENT POOL

State law (Section 8-6-3 NMSA 1978) requires the Commission's cash be managed by the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office. Accordingly, the investments of the Commission consist of an interest in the General Fund Investment Pool managed by the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office.

At June 30, 2012 the Commission had the following invested in the General Fund Investment Pool:

	Share			Account	Fair
	Fund #	Maturity		Balance	 Value
General Fund	134	1 day	\$_	38,367	\$ 38,367

<u>Interest Rate Risk</u> – The New Mexico State Treasurer's Office has an investment policy that limits investment maturities to five years or less on allowable investments. This policy is the means of managing exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. This policy is reviewed and approved annually by the New Mexico State Board of Finance.

Credit risk – The New Mexico State Treasurer pools are not rated.

For additional GASB 40 disclosure information regarding cash held by the New Mexico State Treasurer, the reader should see the separate audit report for the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

General Fund Investment Pool Not Reconciled

The General Fund Investment Pool is the State of New Mexico's main operating account. State revenues such as income taxes, sales taxes, rents and royalties, and other recurring revenues are credited to the General Fund Investment Pool. The fund also comprises numerous State agency accounts whose assets, by statute (Section 8-6-3 NMSA 1978), must be held at the State Treasury.

As of June 30, 2012, the General Fund Investment Pool has not been reconciled at the business unit/fund level. Essentially, independent, third-party verification/confirmation of the balances at the business unit/fund level is not possible. Section 6-5-2.1 (J) NMSA 1978 requires DFA to complete on a monthly basis, reconciliations with the balances and accounts kept by the State Treasurer and adopt and promulgate rules regarding reconciliation for state agencies.

Under the direction of the State Controller/Financial Control Division Director, the Financial Control Division of the New Mexico Department of Finance & Administration (DFA/FCD) is taking aggressive action to resolve this serious problem. DFA/FCD has commenced the Cash Management Remediation Project (Remediation Project) in partnership with the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office, the New Mexico Department of Information Technology, and a contracted third party PeopleSoft Treasury expert.

The purpose of the Remediation Project is to design and implement the changes necessary to reconcile the General Fund Investment Pool in a manner that is complete, accurate, and timely. The Remediation Project will make changes to the State's current SHARE system configuration, cash accounting policies and procedures, business practices, and banking structure. The scheduled implementation date for the changes associated with the Remediation Project is February 1, 2013. An approach and plan to address the population of historical reconciling items will be developed during the Remediation Project, but a separate initiative will be undertaken to resolve the historical reconciling items.

The initial phase of the Remediation Project, completed on October 11, 2012, focused on developing a project plan and documenting current statewide business processes. The work product of the initial phase of the Remediation Project is a document entitled Cash Management Plan and Business Processes. This document is available on the Cash Control page of the New Mexico Department of Finance & Administration's website at: http://www.nmdfa.state.nm.us/Cash Control.aspx.

The cash transactions processed by Supreme Court Building Commission flow through the state general fund investment pool. Since SHARE was implemented, we recognized the statewide cash reconciliation issue and in response, developed internal reconciliation procedures to ensure that cash receipts and disbursements recorded in the SHARE system are in fact transactions that have been initiated by the Supreme Court Building Commission.

The reconciliation occurs each month and any required adjustments are forwarded to the Financial Control Division at DFA for correction. Monthly reconciliation procedures throughout the fiscal year, include, but are not limited to validation of: allotments, deposits, expenditures, all general entries, operating transfers, payroll expenditures/payroll liabilities, and review of outstanding warrants. The Supreme Court Building Commission reports the timely completion of the reconciliation process to Commission management monthly and conducts monthly management budget reviews to ensure that all cash deposits and financial transactions are accurately recorded and posted to the SHARE system.

This monthly internal reconciliation of cash receipts and disbursements flowing through Commission's share of the state general fund investment pool provides management assurance that the balance reflected in State General Fund Investment Pool account is accurate as of the end of the reporting period. In addition, Supreme Court Building Commission reconciles other asset and liability accounts on the balance sheet of each fund type. This process also provides additional assurance that transactions affecting the Commission's share in the State General Fund Investment Pool account are accurate.

NOTE 3: DUE FROM STATE GENERAL FUND

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 management discovered that in fiscal year 2010 the accounts payable balance and the accompanying expenditures were erroneously adjusted. As a result of the error the fiscal year 2011 reversion was overstated by \$3,700. The Commission obtained permission from the Department of Finance and Administration to net the current year reversion against the \$3,700 resulting in an amount due from the state general fund of \$309.

NOTE 4: CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Balance 6/30/11	Additions	Deletions	Balance 6/30/12	
Land	\$ 100,311	-	-	100,311	
Capital Assets:					
Buildings & Improvements	3,237,408	482,800	-	3,720,208	
Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment	3,868,791	114,437	-	3,983,228	
Data Processing Equipment	11,068,499	571,352	-	11,639,851	
Vehicles	313,292	15,375	14,425	314,241	
Total	18,588,300	1,183,964	14,425	19,757,839	
Accumulated Depreciation:					
Buildings & Improvements	1,854,122	187,812	-	2,041,934	
Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment	1,593,576	289,026	-	1,882,602	
Data Processing Equipment	8,408,461	2,017,843	-	10,426,305	
Vehicles	168,843	57,436	8,895	217,384	
Total	12,025,003	2,552,117	8,895	14,568,225	
Net Capital Assets	\$ 6,563,297	(1,368,153)	(5,530)	5,189,614	

Current depreciation expense is \$2,552,117.

NOTE 5: COMPENSATED ABSENCES

The following is a summary of changes in compensated absences:

Balance			Balance	Due within
6/30/11	Additions	Deletions	6/30/12	One Year
\$37,246	36,234	35,676	\$37,804	\$32,747

Compensated absences are liquidated with available financial resources out of the general fund. The State of NM does not budget in the current year, funds to pay any portion of the compensated absence liability at the end of the year; therefore, the current portion of compensated absences is not recorded in the fund financial statements. The Commission has no other debt.

NOTE 6: RETIREMENT PLANS

Plan Description. Substantially all of the Supreme Court Building Commission's full-time employees participate in public employee retirement system authorized under the Public Employees Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978). The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) is the administrator of the plan, which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. The plan provides for retirement, disability benefits, survivor benefits and cost-of-living adjustments to plan members and beneficiaries. PERA issues a separate, publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to PERA, PO Box 2123, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2123.

Funding Policy. Plan members are required to contribute 10.67% of their gross salary. The Supreme Court Building Commission is required to contribute 13.34% of the gross covered salary. The contribution requirements of plan members and Supreme Court Building Commission are established under Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978. The requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. The Supreme Court Building Commission contributions to PERA for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$54,807, \$60,521 and \$64,614, respectively, equal to the amount of the required contributions for each year.

NOTE 7: POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS – STATE RETIREE HEALTH CARE PLAN

Plan Description. The Supreme Court Building Commission contributes to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit post-employment healthcare plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA). The RHCA provides health care insurance and prescription drug benefits to retired employees of participating New Mexico government agencies, their spouses, dependents, and surviving spouses and dependents. The RHCA Board was established by the Retiree Health Care Act (Chapter 10, Article 7C, NMSA 1978). The Board is responsible for establishing and amending benefit provisions of the healthcare plan and is also authorized to designate optional and/or voluntary benefits like dental, vision, supplemental life insurance, and long-term care policies.

Eligible retirees are: 1) retirees who make contributions to the fund for at least five years prior to retirement and whose eligible employer during that period of time made contributions as a participant in the RHCA plan on the person's behalf unless that person retires before the employer's RHCA

effective date, in which the event the time period required for employee and employer contributions shall become the period of time between the employer's effective date and the date of retirement; 2) retirees defined by the Act who retired prior to July 1, 1990; 3) former legislators who served at least two years; and 4) former governing authority members who served at least four years.

The RHCA issues a publicly available stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the post-employment healthcare plan. That report and further information can be obtained by writing to the Retiree Health Care Authority at 4308 Carlisle NE, Suite 104, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

Funding Policy. The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-13 NMSA 1978) authorizes the RHCA Board to establish the monthly premium contributions that retirees are required to pay for healthcare benefits. Each participating retiree pays a monthly premium according to a service based subsidy rate schedule for the medical plus basic life plan plus an additional participation fee of five dollars if the eligible participant retired prior to the employer's RHCA effective date or is a former legislator or former governing authority member. Former legislators and governing authority members are required to pay 100% of the insurance premium to cover their claims and the administrative expenses of the plan. The monthly premium rate schedule can be obtained from the RHCA or viewed on their website at www.nmrhca.state.nm.us.

The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978) is the statutory authority that establishes the required contributions of participating employers and their employees. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 1.834% of each participating employee's annual salary; each participating employee was required to contribute 0.917% of their salary. In the fiscal years ending June 30, 2013 through June 30, 2014 the contribution rates for employees and employers will rise as follows:

For employees who are not members of an enhanced retirement plan the contribution rates will be:

	Employer	Employee		
Fiscal Year Employer	Contribution Rate	Contribution Rate		
FY 13	2 000%	1 000%		

For employees who are members of an enhanced retirement plan, during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 2.292% of each participating employee's annual salary, and each participating employee was required to contribute 1.146% of their salary. In the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013 the contribution rates for both employees and employers will rise as follows:

	Employer	Employee
Fiscal Year Employer	Contribution Rate	Contribution Rate
FY 13	2.500%	1.250%

Also, employers joining the program after January 1, 1998 are required to make a surplus-amount contribution to the RHCA based on one of two formulas at agreed-upon intervals.

The RHCA plan is financed on a pay-as-you-go basis. The employer, employee and retiree contributions are required to be remitted to the RHCA on a monthly basis. The statutory requirements for the contributions can be changed by the New Mexico State Legislature.

The Supreme Court Building Commission contributions to the RHCA for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$7,497, \$6,636, and \$5,265, respectively, which equal the required contributions for each year.

NOTE 8: RISK MANAGEMENT

The Supreme Court Building Commission is exposed to various risk of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees or others; or acts of God. The Commission is insured through Risk Management Division of the General Services Department, which is accounted for as an internal service fund of the State of New Mexico. In general, Risk Management Division responds to suits against the state and state agencies; manages fund to provide unemployment compensation, tort liability insurance, workman's compensation, and general and property insurance, and attempts to reduce the number of suits against the state and state agencies through the risk management process. The actuarial gains and losses of Risk Management Division were not available and are not included in this report. However, the Commission would not be liable for more than the annual premiums.

NOTE 9: CAPITAL OUTLAY APPROPRIATIONS

The Commission was appropriated \$700,000 through Severance Tax Bond Proceeds, Laws of 2011, Chapter 5, Section 14, to replace the roofs on the Supreme Court Building, to expire in fiscal year 2016. As of the end of fiscal year 2012, \$533,940 has been expended from the capital funds outlay appropriation. Of the Remaining \$166,060, \$161,471 has been encumbered, and \$4,589 remains unencumbered. The accompanying financial statements only reflect the amounts expended during the year.

Schedule of Operating Transfers For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

	SHARE		TRANSFER				
_	FUND	TITLE		In		Out	
						_	
(1)	14000	Department of Finance & Administration	\$	777,500	\$	-	
(2)	14000	Department of Finance & Administration		27,000		-	
(3)	14000	Department of Finance & Administration		533,940		-	
(4)	1400	FY 2011 Reversion		3,700		-	
(1)	14000	FY 2012 Reversion		-		3,391	
	Total		\$ 1	1,342,140	\$	3,391	

- (1) General Fund Appropriation, Laws of 2011, Chapter 179, Section 4
- (2) General Fund Appropriation, Laws of 2012, Chapter 19, Section 6
- (3) Severance Tax Bond Proceeds, Laws of 2011, Chapter 5, Section 14
- (4) FY 2011 Reversion (see Note 3 to the financial statements)

See Auditor's Report





Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Petra Jimenez Maes
New Mexico Supreme Court Building Commission
And
Hector Balderas
New Mexico State Auditor

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information and the budgetary comparisons for the general fund of the State of New Mexico, Supreme Court Building Commission (Commission), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated December 13, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Commission's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described as item 2012-03 in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described as items 2012-02, 2012-04 and 2012-05 in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be significant deficiencies.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether *Commission's* financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as items 2012-03 through 2012-05.

We also noted certain other matters that are required to be reported pursuant to Government Auditing Standards paragraphs 5.14 and 5.16, and pursuant to Section 12-6-5, NMSA 1978, which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as item 2012-01.

The Commission's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. We did not audit the Commission's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Presiding Judge of the New Mexico Supreme Court Building Commission, others within the entity, the Department of Finance and Administration, the State Auditor, the New Mexico Legislature, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Zlotnick, Laws & Sandoval, PC

Zlotnick florz \$ Sudoval, P.C

December 13, 2012



Status of Prior Year's Findings None

2012-01 - Personnel Records Maintenance - Other

Condition: Documents that are required to be present in personnel records were missing or inadequate. Our review of personnel files revealed the following forms were not retained in the files:

- One I-9 form was missing
- Employee evaluations were not on file. We couldn't determine if they were misfiled or never done.

The personnel files were not always maintained in a systematic and orderly manner.

Criteria: New Mexico Judicial Branch Personnel Rules and Code of Conduct, Audit Rule 2012 2.2.2.10 G NMAC, and 12-6-5 NMSA.

Effect: The Supreme Court Building Commission is out of compliance with personnel rules as they relate to personnel file documentation.

Cause: Responsible personnel did not follow proper procedures in maintaining files.

Auditors' Recommendation: Management must ensure that all personnel files contain all required documents and that those documents are filed in a systematic and orderly manner.

Management's Response: For those employees who are required to receive annual evaluations, management discovered that many had not received an annual performance plan at the beginning of fiscal year 2012. As a result, management consulted with the AOC Human Resources Director to determine if it would be appropriate to give employee evaluations to those employees who did not have a performance plan in place for fiscal year 2012. On the advice of the HR Director, management did not complete employee evaluations for fiscal year 2012. Management did ensure that performance plans were put in place for all applicable employees at the beginning of the fiscal year 2013 and will ensure that timely employee evaluations are completed during the focal point period for fiscal year 2013 as required the New Mexico Judicial Branch Personnel Rules.

2012-02 - Fraud Policy - Significant Deficiency

Condition: Supreme Court Building Commission policies and procedures address some elements of SAS No. 99; however, the Supreme Court Building Commission has not established a formal fraud policy that includes a management assessment of fraud, monitoring, and procedures whereby employees can report fraud.

Criteria: Management is responsible for creating an atmosphere of honesty and ethical behavior. Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 99 created new responsibilities for management to formalize this responsibility.

Cause: Management believed the current policies and procedures and code of conduct addressed the condition.

Effect: The Supreme Court Building Commission has not formally established the manner in which employees can report fraud.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Supreme Court Building Commission formalize a fraud policy as soon as possible. The policy should cover all of the necessary elements described in the condition above.

Management's Response: Management understands the importance of a formal fraud policy and will coordinate with the Administrative Office of the Courts to adopt and implement a formal fraud policy for fiscal year 2013.

2012-03 - Proper Control over Capital Assets - Material Weakness

Condition: The Commission's system of tracking and recording capital assets lacks important controls, including a fiscal year-end review and reconciliation, to insure the proper application of generally accepted accounting principles and adequate tracking and monitoring of capital assets.

Criteria: 2.2.2.10 G. (14): 2.20.1.1 to 2.20.1.18 NMAC, Accounting and Control of Capital Assets of State Government (updated for GASBS 34 as applicable) establishes standards for the accounting for and the controlling of the Capital assets acquired and owned by state agencies.

Effect: The Commission's capital asset reporting is subject to error.

Cause: The current system used by the Commission is not adequate to prevent or detect material misstatements.

Auditors' Recommendation: The Commission needs to reconsider the manner in which it accounts for capital assets. We recommend that the Commission purchase software specifically designed to track capital assets, compute depreciation and create reports useful to management and to independent auditors.

Management's Response: Management understands the need to reconsider the manner in which the Commission accounts for capital assets and will coordinate with the Administrative Office of the Courts to implement a revised method for controlling capital assets.

2012-04 – Responsibility for the Financial Statements – Significant Deficiency

Condition: Responsibility for the financial statements is delegated to lower levels of management. Management is not thoroughly reviewing the initial drafts of the financial statements provided to the auditors. The auditors significantly enhanced the financial statement presentation and disclosures.

Criteria: Good internal controls require that management maintain the ability to prepare financial statements and take responsibility for their content even in situations where they have obtained the assistance of their auditor in preparing the financial statements. The State Audit Rule 2.2.2.8 (J) states that the Supreme Court Building Commission is responsible for the content of the audited financial statements. In addition, 2.20.5.8 (B) NMAC states that the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) responsibilities should be assigned "to any employee within the agency that has the educational background, knowledge, and experience necessary to supervise, monitor, and control the state agency's accounting function." Also, 2.20.5.8 (C)(10) NMAC further states, "it is the responsibility of the CFO to ensure that all reporting of financial information must be timely, complete and accurate, to the state agency's management and to oversight agencies and entities."

Effect: Management's ability to take responsibility for the financial statements is impaired if drafts of the financial statements are not thoroughly reviewed.

Cause: The individual designated as the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) is not an accountant position. The individual designated as the CFO is an attorney and holds other responsibilities.

Auditors' Recommendation: The Chief Justice should take responsibility for verifying that the report has been read, thoroughly understood and approved to allow management to take responsibility for the financial statements. The Supreme Court should seek the assistance of accounting personnel at the Administrative Office of the Courts when drafting the annual financial statements to ensure that this condition does not recur.

Management's Response: The Chief Justice is confident that management can and does take responsibility for the financial statements and disagrees that any deficiencies are attributable to the fact that the CFO is an attorney and not an accountant. Nonetheless, the Chief Justice will ensure that the audit report is read, thoroughly understood, and approved by management and that a process is implemented to coordinate with the Administrative Office of the Courts to improve the method for the annual drafting of financial statements.

2012-05 - Budgetary Control - Significant Deficiency

Condition: The Supreme Court (Court) is purchasing items for the Supreme Court Building Commission (Commission) and not adequately disclosing such actions in the financial statements. In our audit of expenditures, we found an invoice to Boot Barn in the amount of \$1,259.60 that was for goods utilized at the Building Commission.

Criteria: General Fund Appropriation, Laws of 2011, Chapter 179, Section 4; 6-3A-2 NMSA. GASB Concepts Statement No. 1, *Objectives of Financial Reporting*, states:

Financial reporting should assist in fulfilling government's duty to be publicly accountable and should enable users to assess that accountability by (1) Providing information to determine whether current-year revenues were sufficient to pay for current-year services. (2) Demonstrating whether resources were obtained and used in accordance with the entity's legally adopted budget, and demonstrating compliance with other finance-related legal or contractual requirements. (3) Providing information to assist users in assessing the service efforts, costs, and accomplishments of the governmental entity.

Effect: Budgetary statements in the financial statements are misstated. The Court's and the Commission's financial statements do not adequately reflect their respective financial activity.

Cause: Resources were not budgeted for these expenditures at the Building Commission.

Auditors' Recommendation: Management should ensure that budgets are complied with and that financial statements properly reflect the "sources and uses of financial resources" within each respective entity (GASB Concepts Statement No. 1).

Management's Response: Management is confident that there was no misuse of budgeted funds and that adequate control over the budgeted funds is in place. That said, management understands the need to ensure that the financial statements adequately reflect the financial activity of the Supreme Court and Supreme Court Building Commission and will take steps to ensure that future expenditures are adequately reported on the financial statements.

Other Disclosures June 30, 2012

Preparation of the Financial Statements

Zlotnick, Laws & Sandoval, PC assisted in the preparation of the financial statements presented in this report. The Commission's management has reviewed and approved the financial statements and related notes and they believe that their records adequately support the financial statements.

Exit Conference

The contents of this report were discussed on December 13, 2012. The following individuals were in attendance:

New Mexico Supreme Court Building Commission

Honorable Petra Jimenez Maes Chairman

Chief Justice of the Supreme Court

Joey D. Moya Building Manager

Chief Clerk of the Supreme Court

Zlotnick, Laws & Sandoval, PC

Asa Laws, CPA Partner

Richard Sandoval, CPA Partner

Ban Trinh, CPA Staff

Brian Laws, CPA Staff