# State of New Mexico Supreme Court



Financial Statements

June 30, 2013

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTORY SECTION	
Official Roster	1
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	4
BASIC FINANCIAL STATMENTS	
Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Position	8
Statement of Activities	9
Fund Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds	11
Statement of Revenues and Expenditures – Budget and Actual (Budgetary Basis) – General Fund	12
Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities-Agency Fund	13
Notes to Financial Statements	14
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities – Agency Fund	<b>2</b> 6
Schedule of Operating Transfers	27
COMPLIANCE SECTION	

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in

### OFFICIAL ROSTER June 30, 2013

#### **JUDGES**

Honorable Petra Jimenez Maes Chief Justice

Honorable Edward L. Chavez

Honorable Richard C. Bosson

Justice

Honorable Charles W. Daniels

Justice

Honorable Barbara Vigil

Justice

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIALS**

Joey D. Moya Attorney, Clerk of the New

Mexico Supreme Court



David G. Zlotnick Asa Laws Richard D. Sandoval

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

Hector H. Balderas
New Mexico State Auditor
and
Honorable Petra Jimenez Maes – Chief Justice
New Mexico Supreme Court
Santa Fe, New Mexico

#### **Report on Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons for the general fund of the State of New Mexico Supreme Court (Court), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatements, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error.

In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Court's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Court, as of June 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position thereof and the respective budgetary comparisons for the general fund for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matters**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 4 through 7 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the Court's financial statements, the combining and individual fund financial statements, and the budgetary comparison. The additional schedules listed as "supplementary information" in the table of contents, which includes schedules required by 2.2.2.NMAC, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The additional schedules listed as "supplementary information" in the table of contents, which includes schedules required by 2.2.2.NMAC, are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 6, 2013 on our consideration of the Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Court's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

However, we have also issued our report dated December 6, 2013 on our consideration of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Court's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Zlotnick, Laws & Sandoval, PC

December 6, 2013



The discussion and analysis provides an overview of the objective and program of the Supreme Court of New Mexico. This discussion helps the reader understand the Supreme Court's operation in terms of financial planning and expenditures of the annual budget. The Supreme Court is the entity created by the Constitution to head the judicial branch of state government.

#### **Agency Overview**

The Supreme Court of New Mexico is a constitutionally created entity. See N.M. Const. Art. VI, Sections 1 to 11. The Supreme Court's constitutional powers are also recognized by statute. See, e.g., NMSA 1978, Sections 34-2-1 et. seq.; NMSA 1978, Sections 34-5-10 & 34-5-14. The New Mexico Supreme Court is the highest court in the judicial branch of state government. The Supreme Court hears direct appeals from district court judgments imposing a sentence of death or life imprisonment and from the Public Regulation Commission. The Supreme Court has original jurisdiction in quo warranto and mandamus against all state officers, boards, and commissions, and has superintending control over all inferior courts. The Court also has the power to issue writs of mandamus, error, prohibition, habeas corpus, certiorari, injunctions, and other writs necessary or proper for complete exercise of its jurisdiction. In addition, NM Const. Art. IV, Section 35, provides for the chief justice to preside at impeachment trials; Art. V, Section 3, provides for the Supreme Court to license attorneys, Art. VI, Section 15, provides for designation of judges; and Art. VI, Sec. 31 provides for review of the decisions of the judicial standards commission.

The program is a statewide program that includes oversight and superintending control over all state courts. The main premises of the Supreme Court are in the Supreme Court Building and the assets purchased by the Supreme Court become the property of the Supreme Court Building Commission, although they are maintained by the Supreme Court.

In accordance with its constitutional authority, the Supreme Court has the inherent power to manage the judicial branch of government, which includes the promulgation of rules governing the conduct of court business, the conduct of lawyers and judges, the admission to the practice of law, and the continuing legal education requirements for lawyers and judges.

#### **USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT**

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)

This section includes information on the use of the annual report and management's analysis of the financial position and results of operations for the Court. Information compares this fiscal year with the prior fiscal year.

#### **Financial Statements Overview**

The financial statements are for the purpose of presenting to the reader the financial position and financial changes for the Supreme Court. The financial statements are not intended to report the financial position of the State of New Mexico as a whole.

The Supreme Court has three funds: SHARE fund 13800 is the general fund, which reverts, SHARE fund 25100 is the docket fee suspense fund, which is not reverting, and SHARE fund 79300 is the certificate fee fund which transfers over every month into the State General Fund and does not accrue to the

direct benefit of the Supreme Court. Generally speaking, the Supreme Court is a reverting agency. The Supreme Court's general fund reverts into the State General Fund after the end of the fiscal year. The Supreme Court's revenues are mainly from the State General Fund, with no money received from the docket fees in 2013 and 2012. Pursuant to Section 34-2-6 NMSA 1978, the suspense fund is for the purpose of paying the costs of archiving closed cases and is non-reverting, with a fiscal year 2013 ending balance of \$10,081.

#### **Government - Wide Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements are designed to be corporate-like in that all governmental activities are consolidated into one column. The Supreme Court has no business-type activities. The focus of the Statement of Net Position (Unrestricted Net Position) is designed to be similar to a bottom line for the Supreme Court and its governmental activities. This statement combines and consolidates the governmental fund's current financial resources (short-term spendable resources) with capital assets and long term liabilities.

The Statement of Activities is focused on the cost of various activities that are provided by the Supreme Court's general revenues. This is intended to summarize and simplify the user's analysis of the cost of services.

The governmental activities reflect the Supreme Court's basic services of providing judicial review and oversight of the Judicial Branch of state government.

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

Traditional users of governmental financial statements will find the Fund Financial Statements presentation more familiar. The focus is now on Major Funds, rather than fund types. The Supreme Court only has two such funds: the general fund and the suspense fund. The Governmental Fund presentation is presented on a sources and uses of liquid resources basis.

#### **Budgetary Comparisons**

The budgetary comparison schedules present both the original and the final appropriated budgets for the reporting period as well as the actual inflows, outflows and balances, as stated on the government's budgetary basis.

As required by the Office of the State Auditor under 2 NMAC 2.2, the Statements of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual - are also presented. This information is provided at the approved budget level to demonstrate compliance with legal requirements.

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Statement of Net Position**

	<b>Government Activities</b>			
	2013	}	2012	
Current Assets Liabilities	\$	139,160 (221,782)	160,767 (229,713)	
Total Net Position	\$	(82,622)	(68,946)	

For more detailed information, see the Statement of Net Position.

All amounts appropriated were expended as of June 30, 2013 except for \$11,707 which was reverted to the state general fund. The Court has a deficit in net position at June 30, 2013, as the state does not provide resources for compensated absences until they are paid.

#### **Statement of Activities**

The following schedule represents the revenues and expenses for the current and prior year.

	Government Activities		
	2013	2012	
State General Fund Appropriations	\$ 2,879,300	2,783,900	
Miscellaneous	696	795	
Reversions to State General Fund	(11,707)	(3,421)	
Total Revenues	2,868,289	2,781,274	
Program Expenses	2,881,965	2,784,976	
Change in net position	(13,676)	3,702)	
Net position, beginning of year	(68,946)	(65,244)	
Net position, end of year	\$ (82,622)	(68,946)	

For more detailed information, see the Statement of Activities.

Activity for fiscal year 2013 is consistent with 2012 considering there was no real change in the State General Appropriation.

#### THE SUPREME COURT'S FUNDS

The Supreme Court has one governmental fund which is solely a State general fund appropriated by the State Legislature. The general fund increased in 2013 to help fund some positions that were under funded. The docket fee fund, also known as the suspense fund, did not have any expenditures in fiscal year 2013.

#### **BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS**

The Supreme Court had one budget adjustment during fiscal year 2013, which resulted in a decrease in budget for personal services of \$66,000, an increase in budget for contractual services of \$14,050, and an increase in other costs of \$51,950.

#### **LONG-TERM DEBT ACTIVITY**

The Supreme Court's long-term liabilities are for compensated absences as summarized in Note 4 to the financial statements.

#### **ANTICIPATED CHANGES**

The Supreme Court knows of no anticipated changes that will significantly affect the financial position of the agency.

#### **FINANCIAL CONTACT**

Wanda M. Gonzales, Court Financial Manager, Supreme Court, Co CFO, supwmg@nmcourts.com.

Joey D. Moya, Chief Clerk and CFO, Supreme Court of New Mexico, supjdm@nmcourts.gov

## Statement of Net Position June 30, 2013

	Governmental Activities	
ASSETS Current Assets:		
Investment in State General Fund Investment Pool	\$	139,131
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	139,131
Current Liabilities:     Accounts Payable     Accrued Payroll     Due to State General Fund     Compensated Absences - current Total Current Liabilities	\$	64,597 52,775 11,707 78,616 207,695
Noncurrent Liabilities: Compensated Absences TOTAL LIABILITIES		14,087 221,782
NET POSITION  Restricted - Court Records Maintenance Unrestricted (deficit)  TOTAL NET POSITION  TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION	<u> </u>	10,052 (92,703) (82,651)
TO THE LIMBIETIES AND INC. I CONTION	٠	133,131

# Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

	Governmental Activities	
Expenses: Judicial: Administrative Services	\$ 2,881,965	
Total Expenses	2,881,965	
Program Revenues: Charges for Services Total Program Revenues	667 667	
Net Program Expenses	2,881,298	
General Revenues and Transfers State General Fund Appropriations 2013 State General Fund Reversion Total General Revenues and Transfers	2,879,300 (11,707) 2,867,593	
Change in Net Position	(13,705)	
Net Position, beginning of year	(68,946)	
Net Position, end of year	\$ (82,651)	

### Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds June 30, 2013

	General Fund		'		Total
ASSETS					
Current Assets: Investment in State Gen. Fund Investment Pool Due From Other Funds	\$	129,205		9,926 126	\$ 139,131 126
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	129,205	\$	10,052	\$ 139,257
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES					
Current Liabilities: Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll Due to Other Funds Due to State General Fund	\$	64,597 52,775 126 11,707		- - - -	64,597 52,775 126 11,707
TOTAL LIABILITIES		129,205		-	129,205
FUND BALANCES					
Restricted Unassigned		-		10,052 -	10,052
TOTAL FUND BALANCES		-		10,052	10,052
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	129,205	\$	10,052	\$ 139,257
Amounts reported in the Statement of Net Position differ b	eca	ause:			
Total fund balances					\$ 10,052
Compensated absences accrued in the government-wide financial statements are excluded from the governmental funds statement					 (92,703)
Net Position per Statement of Net Position					\$ (82,651)

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

	General Fund	Suspense Fund	Total
REVENUES			
Charges for Services	\$ -	667	\$ 667
Total Revenues		667	667
EXPENDITURES Current: Judicial: Administrative Services:			
Personal Services and Benefits	2,702,769	-	2,702,769
Contract Services	22,695	-	22,695
Other Costs	142,129	-	142,129
Total Expenditures	2,867,593	-	2,867,593
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	(2,867,593)	667	(2,866,926)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (Uses)			
Transfers In: General Fund Appropriation Transfers Out:	2,879,300	-	2,879,300
2013 Reversions to the State General Fund	(11,707)	=	(11,707)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	2,867,593	-	2,867,593
Net Change in Fund Balances	-	667	667
Fund Balances, beginning		9,385	9,385
Fund Balances, ending	\$ -	10,052	\$ 10,052
Amounts reported In the Statement of Activities	are different be	cause:	
Net Change in Fund Balance			\$ 667
Net change in current and long-term portions of com	pensated abser	nces	(14,372)
Changes in net position of governmental activities			\$ (13,705)
See Notes to Financial Statements.			

# Statement of Revenues and Expenditures Budget and Actual (Budgetary Basis) - General Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

Basis)  2,879,300 2,879,300 2,879,300	(Negative)
	<b>\</b>
	-
,,500 2,073,500	
-	
,300	
, ,	5,555 930
	_
	0,050 139,120 9,300 \$ 2,864,349 3,244 \$ 2,867,593

### Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities- Agency Fund

### June 30, 2013

	Supreme Court Fees Fund
ASSETS	
Cash	\$ -
LIABILITIES	
Deposits Held for Others	\$ -
See Notes to Financial Statements.	

#### **NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The financial statements of the State of New Mexico, Supreme Court (Court) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applied to governmental entities. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the Supreme Court' accounting policies are described below:

#### A. Reporting Entity

#### Supreme Court

The State of New Mexico, Judicial Branch of government created by Article VI, Section 1 of the New Mexico Constitution. The Supreme Court is the court of last resort in the State of New Mexico. The New Mexico Constitution gives the Supreme Court control over all other State Courts. The Supreme Court promulgates the rules and regulations governing procedures for all courts, as well as the Bar and other areas of the judiciary. The rules are published in New Mexico Rules Annotated.

The Supreme Court is a component unit of the Judicial Branch and these financial statements include all funds and activities over which the Court has oversight responsibility. The Court has decision-making authority, the power to designate management, the responsibility to significantly influence operations, and primary accountability for fiscal matters. The Court is part of the primary government of the State of New Mexico and its financial data should be included with the financial data of the State. However, New Mexico does not at present issue an audited Comprehensive Annual Financial report inclusive of all agencies of the primary government. The Court has no component units that are required to be reported in its financial statements.

#### **B. Basic Financial Statements**

The basic financial statements include both government-wide (based on the Supreme Court as a whole) and fund financial statements. Both the government-wide and fund financial statements categorize primary activities as either governmental or business type. The Supreme Court is a single-purpose government entity and has no business type activities. In the government-wide Statement of Net Position, the governmental activities are presented on a consolidated basis and are reflected on the full accrual, economic resource basis, which incorporates long-term assets receivables and deferred outflows of resources, as well as long-term debt and deferred inflows of resources.

The government-wide Statement of Activities reflects both the gross and net cost per functional category, which are otherwise being supported by general government revenues. The Statement of Activities reduces gross expenses by related program revenues, operating and capital grants. The program revenues must be directly associated with the function and consist of fees.

The net cost by function is normally covered by general revenue. Historically, the previous model did not summarize or present net cost by function or activity. Since the Supreme Court only has one program, it does not employ direct cost allocation in the financial statements.

The fund financial statements are very similar to the financial statements presented in the previous model. Emphasis is on the major funds of the governmental category. Non-major funds are summarized into a single column. The Supreme Court's only major fund is its General Fund.

The governmental funds in the fund financial statements are presented on a current financial resource and modified accrual basis of accounting. This is the manner in which these funds are normally budgeted. This presentation is deemed more appropriate to demonstrate legal and covenant compliance, to demonstrate the source and use of liquid resources and to demonstrate how the Supreme Court's actual experience conforms to the budget or fiscal plan. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements governmental column, a reconciliation is presented on the page following each statement, which briefly explains the adjustment necessary to transform the fund based financial statements into the governmental column of the government-wide presentation.

The financial transactions of the Supreme Court are recorded in individual funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, fund balance, revenues, expenditures or expenses and other financing sources or uses. Government resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which the spending activities are controlled.

The Supreme Court Funds are classified into two categories: governmental and fiduciary. Each category, in turn, is divided into separate "fund types."

**Governmental Funds** - are used to account for all or most of the Supreme Court's general government activities, including the collection and disbursement of earmarked monies (Special Revenue Fund).

General Fund - The general fund is the primary operating fund of the Supreme Court, and is presented as a major fund. It accounts for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The operations of the Supreme Court are funded primarily by appropriations from the State General Fund which are recorded as "other financing sources" in the general fund in the year appropriated. The General Fund is a reverting fund. The New Mexico Supreme Court was created by Article VI, Section 1 of the NM Constitution and is SHARE fund 13800.

Supreme Court Suspense Fund - This special revenue fund accounts for revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specific purposes. No expenditures from the special revenue fund can be made for the general operations of the Supreme Court except as authorized by NMSA 1978 34-2-6. The Suspense Fund was established by NMSA 1978, Section 34-2-6. This statute authorized the Supreme Court to retain in the Suspense Fund four dollars (\$4) of fees collected on each case docketed in the Supreme Court. These funds are designated to defray the cost of filming, binding and storing Supreme Court documents and records.

The Suspense Fund does not revert to the State General Fund as it is not a budgeted fund. This is the only special revenue fund of the New Mexico Supreme Court and it is SHARE fund 25100. The Supreme Court has elected to present this fund as a major fund to streamline the financial statement presentation.

**Fiduciary Funds** - are used to account for all assets held on behalf of outside parties, including other governments, or on behalf of other funds within the government. Since the activities in these funds are on behalf of other governments, it is excluded from the entity-wide financial statements.

Supreme Court Fees Fund - This agency fund receives certificate fees which it remits to the State General Fund.

#### C. Non-Current Governmental Assets/Liabilities

Non-current assets and liabilities are not presented in the fund financial statements, but rather are incorporated only in the government-wide Statement of Net Position.

#### **D.** Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The statement of net position and the statement of activities display information about the Court, the primary government, as a whole, without displaying the individual funds or fund types. Generally, these statements distinguish between activities that are governmental and those that are considered business-type activities. The Court has no business-type activities; therefore these statements only reflect governmental activities. Government-wide financial statements exclude information about fiduciary funds and component units that are fiduciary in nature.

The government-wide financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred or economic assets used. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets, deferred outflows of resources and liabilities, deferred inflows of resources resulting from exchange transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from non-exchange transactions are recognized in accordance with requirements of GASB 33.

The General Fund utilizes the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues and other governmental fund financial resource increments are recognized in the accounting period in which they become susceptible to accrual that is, when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period; available meaning collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter (60 days) to be used to pay liabilities of the current period.

Expenditures are recorded as liabilities when incurred. An exception to this general rule is that accumulated unpaid annual, compensatory and certain sick leave are not accrued as current liabilities but as non-current liabilities.

In applying the "susceptible to accrual" concept to intergovernmental revenues pursuant to Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 33 (GASB 33), Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions, the provider should recognize liabilities and expenses and the recipient should recognize receivables and revenues when the applicable eligibility requirements, including time requirements, are met. Resources transmitted before the eligibility requirements are met, under most circumstances, should be reported as advances by the provider and unearned revenue by the recipient.

#### E. Encumbrances

Encumbrances follow the modified accrual basis of accounting. Outstanding valid encumbrances are classified as accounts payable if they are normally paid before 30 days after the end of the fiscal year. After this deadline, the agency may still make the expenditure for a prior year accounts payable; however, they must first obtain DFA's permission to pay prior year bills. "Permission to pay prior year bills" is a penalty under law for not paying bills timely. It penalizes an agency by mandating that they charge an expenditure of one fiscal year to the subsequent fiscal year's budget.

#### F. Capital Assets

By State statute, all capital assets used by the Supreme Court are accounted for by the State of New Mexico Supreme Court Building Commission. The Commission is responsible for the care, custody, and control of capital assets used by agencies of the judicial branch housed within the Supreme Court Building. Capital assets purchased by the Supreme Court are reported as capital outlay expenditures in the General Fund.

#### **G.** Compensated Absences

Qualified employees accumulate annual leave to a maximum of 240 hours as follows:

Years	Hours Earned	
of Service	Bi-Weekly	
1 – 3 years	4.62	
4 – 6 years	5.54	
7 – 13 years	6.46	
14 years or more	7.39	

The maximum accrued annual leave may be carried forward into the beginning of the next calendar year and any excess is lost. When employees terminate, they are compensated for accumulated unpaid annual leave as of the date of termination. Accrued annual leave is recorded as a liability and net changes are recorded as expenditures in the government-wide financial statements. The fund financial statements and budgetary comparison reports only recognize the compensated absences when payments are made to employees.

#### H. Net Position

The government-wide financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as invested in capital assets (net of related debt), restricted and unrestricted.

Invested in Capital Assets (net of related debt)—is intended to reflect the portion of net position which is associated with non-liquid, capital assets less outstanding capital asset related debt. The net related debt is the debt less the outstanding liquid assets and any associated unamortized cost. The Court does not have any related debt.

Restricted Net Position—are liquid assets (generated from revenues and not bond proceeds), which have third-party, legally enforceable, (statutory, bond covenant or granting agency) limitations on their use. When there is an option, the Supreme Court spends restricted resources first.

Unrestricted Net Position—represent unrestricted liquid assets.

#### I. Fund Balances

Governmental funds report fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which the government is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in those funds can be spent. The classifications used in the governmental fund financial statements are as follows:

**Nonspendable** - This classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

**Restricted** - This classification includes amounts that are restricted to a specific purpose. Fund balances are restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either: (a) Externally imposed by creditors (such as debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; (b) Enabling legislation, as the term is used authorizes the Court to assess, levy, charge or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a *legally enforceable* requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation.

**Committed** - This classification includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes to constraints imposed by formal action of the Court's highest level of decision-making authority. Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Court removes or changes the specific use by taking the same type of action (for example resolution, ordinance) it employed to previously commit those amounts.

**Assigned** - This classification includes amounts that are constrained by the Court's *intent* to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed. Intent should be expressed by (a) the governing body itself or (b) a body or official to which the governing body has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes.

**Unassigned** - This classification is the residual classification for the general fund. This classification represents a fund balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that has not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund. The general fund should be the only fund that reports a positive unassigned fund balance amount.

#### J. Compensated Absences

Vested or accumulated vacation leave and vested sick leave are reported in the entity-wide financial statements, since they are not expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources. No current expenditures are reported in the General Fund financial statements for these amounts.

#### K. Long-Term Obligations

Long-term debt is recognized as a liability of a governmental fund when due. For other long-term obligations, only that portion expected to be financed from expendable available financial resources is reported as a liability of the General Fund. The remaining portion of such obligations is reported in the entity-wide financial statements.

#### L. Budgets

The Supreme Court follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

No later than September 1, the Supreme Court submits to the Judicial Budget Office (JBO), the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC), and the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) an appropriation request for the fiscal year commencing the following July 1. The appropriation request includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.

Appropriation request hearings are scheduled by the DFA. Budget hearings are scheduled before the New Mexico House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees. The final outcomes of these hearings are incorporated into the General Appropriations Act. The Act is signed into law by the Governor of the State of New Mexico within the legally prescribed time limit.

The Supreme Court submits to the DFA, no later than May 1, an annual operating budget by category and line item based upon the appropriation made by the Legislature. The DFA – Budget Division reviews and approves the operating budget which becomes effective on July 1. All subsequent budget adjustments must be approved by the State of New Mexico, Administrative Office of the Courts and the director of the DFA - Budget Division and the LFC. The current year budget was adjusted in a legal manner.

Legal budget control for expenditures is by category of line item. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the fiscal year for the General Fund.

Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal year except for multi-year special appropriations. All amounts within the General Fund revert annually to the State General Fund, with the exception of unspent multi-year special appropriations.

Each year the Legislature approves multiple year appropriations, which the State considers as continuing appropriations. The Legislature authorizes these appropriations for two to five years; however, it does not identify the authorized amount by fiscal year. Consequently, the appropriation is budgeted in its entirety the first year the Legislature authorizes it.

The unexpended portion of the budget is carried forward as the next year's beginning budget balance until either the project period has expired or the appropriation has been fully expended. The budget presentation in these financial statements is consistent with this budgeting methodology.

The budget for the General Fund is adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting except for accounts payable accrued at the end of the fiscal year that do not get paid by the statutory deadline (Section 6-10-4 NMSA 1978), that must be paid out of next year's budget. A reconciliation of budgetary basis to GAAP basis will be necessary if all accounts payable at the end of the fiscal year are not paid by the statutory deadline.

#### M. Inter-fund Activity

Inter-fund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers between governmental funds are netted as part of the reconciliation t the government-wide financial statements,

#### **NOTE 2: STATE TREASURER GENERAL FUND INVESTMENT POOL**

State law (Section 8-6-3 NMSA 1978) requires the Court's cash be managed by the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office. Accordingly, the investments of the Department consist of an interest in the General Fund Investment Pool managed by the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office.

At June 30, 2013 the Court had the following invested in the General Fund Investment Pool:

	Share Fund #	Maturity	Account Balance	Fair Value
General Fund	138	1 day	\$ 129,205	\$ 129,205
Supreme Court Fees Fund	793	1 day	-	-
Supreme Court Suspense Fund	251	1 day	9,926	9,926
Total Governmental Funds			\$ 139,131	\$ 139,131

<u>Interest Rate Risk</u> - The New Mexico State Treasurer's Office has an investment policy that limits investment maturities to five years or less on allowable investments. This policy is a means of managing exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. This policy is reviewed and approved annually by the New Mexico State Board of Finance.

<u>Credit risk</u> - The New Mexico State Treasurer pools are not rated.

For additional GASB 40 disclosure information regarding cash held by the New Mexico State Treasurer, the reader should see the separate audit report for the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

#### GENERAL FUND INVESTMENT POOL NOT RECONCILED

For cash management and investment purposes, funds of various state agencies are deposited in the State General Fund investment Pool (the Pool), which is managed by the office of New Mexico State Treasurer. Claims on the Pool are reported as assets by the various agencies investing in the Pool.

In June 2012 an independent expert diagnostic report revealed that the Pool balances have not been reconciled at the "business unit/fund" level since the inception of the Statewide Human resources, Accounting, and management Reporting system (SHARE) system in July of 2006. The Diagnostic reports are available in the Resources section of the Cash Control page of the New Mexico Department of Finance & Administration's website at: http://www.nmdfa.state.nm.us/Cash\_Control.aspx.

By state statute, the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) is responsible for the performance of monthly reconciliations with the balances and accounts kept by the State Treasurer. Therefore, under the direction of the State Controller / Financial Control Division Director, the Financial Control Division (FCD) of the New Mexico Department of Finance & Administration undertook action to address the situation. DFA/FCD initiated the Cash Management Remediation Project (Remediation Project) in partnership with the Office of the New Mexico State Treasurer, the New Mexico Department of Information Technology, and a contracted third party with expertise in the Enterprise System Software used by the State.

The Remediation Project objective was to design and implement changes necessary to ensure ongoing completion of timely, accurate and comprehensive reconciliation of the Pool. DFA has or is in the process of implementing all the recommendations resulting for the Remediation Project and has made changes to the State's SHARE System configuration, cash accounting policies and procedures, business practices, and banking structure. This has enabled DFA to complete timely and accurate reconciliation of bank to book balances at the State and Business Unit level on a post-implementation basis, however it did not resolve historical reconciling items. Additional changes recommended by the Project continue to be cascaded through DFA and state agencies to support the Business Unit by Fund accounting requirements.

A plan to address historical reconciliation items is being assessed and a separate initiative will need to be undertaken to resolve the historical reconciliation items. DFA Management considers it unlikely that this separate initiative will be successful in allocating all historical reconciling items to the State entities invested in the Pool. As a result, any remaining differences post specific allocation to Pool participants will be reported in the State General Fund.

DFA Management in FY 2012 recorded a loss contingency of \$101.7 million in the State General Fund based on its estimate of the effect of issues related to the reconciliation of the Pool, that estimate is still current. Because no specific loss amount is determinable, consistent with generally accepted accounting principles, the amount accrued is the minimum amount that DFA management considers to be probable. Ultimately, the loss could exceed the amount accrued, perhaps by a substantial amount.

The cash transactions processed by the Supreme Court flow through the state general fund investment pool. Since SHARE was implemented, we recognized the statewide cash reconciliation issue and in response, developed internal reconciliation procedures to ensure that cash receipts and disbursements recorded in the SHARE system are in fact transactions that have been initiated by the Supreme Court.

The reconciliation occurs each month and any required adjustments are forwarded to the Financial Control Division at DFA for correction. Monthly reconciliation procedures throughout the fiscal year, include, but are not limited to validation of: allotments, deposits, expenditures, all general entries, operating transfers, payroll expenditures/payroll liabilities, and review of outstanding warrants. The Supreme Court reports the timely completion of the reconciliation process to Court management monthly and conducts monthly management budget reviews to ensure that all cash deposits and financial transactions are accurately recorded and posted to the SHARE system.

This monthly internal reconciliation of cash receipts and disbursements flowing through Supreme Court's share of the state general fund investment pool provides management assurance that the balance reflected in State General Fund Investment Pool account is accurate as of the end of the reporting period. In addition, Supreme Court reconciles other asset and liability accounts on the balance sheet of each fund type. This process also provides additional assurance that transactions affecting the Supreme Court's share in the State General Fund Investment Pool account are accurate.

#### **NOTE 3: DUE TO STATE GENERAL FUND**

The Supreme Court accrued a liability for reversion to the State General Fund for its unexpended general appropriations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. The following is a summary of reversion activity for the fiscal year.

Budget year 2013 reversion	\$ 11,707
Due to State General Fund	\$ 11,707

#### **NOTE 4: COMPENSATED ABSENCES**

The following is a summary of changes in compensated absences:

Balance			Balance	Due within
6/30/12	Additions	Deletions	6/30/13	One Year
\$78,331	102,474	88,102	\$92,703	\$78,616

Compensated absences are liquidated with available financial resources out of the general fund. The State of NM does not budget in the current year, funds to pay any portion of the compensated absence liability at the end of the year; therefore, the current portion of compensated absences is not recorded in the fund financial statements. The Court has no other debt.

#### **NOTE 5: RETIREMENT PLANS**

Plan Description. Substantially all of the Supreme Court's full-time employees participate in public employee retirement system authorized under the Public Employees Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978). The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) is the administrator of the plan, which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. The plan provides for retirement, disability benefits, survivor benefits and cost-of-living adjustments to plan members and beneficiaries. PERA issues a separate, publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to PERA, PO Box 2123, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2123.

Funding Policy. Plan members are required to contribute 8.92% of their gross salary. The Supreme Court is required to contribute 15.09% of the gross covered salary. The contribution requirements of plan members and Supreme Court are established under Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978. The requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. The Supreme Court contributions to PERA for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$ \$208,952 \$177,327 and \$209,518, respectively, equal to the amount of the required contributions for each year.

#### Judicial Retirement Plan

Plan Description. Substantially all of the Judges of the Supreme Court participate in a defined benefit contributory retirement plan through the Judicial Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 12B, NMSA 1978). The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) is the administrator of the plan, which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. The plan provides for retirement, disability benefits, survivor benefits and cost-of-living adjustments to plan members and beneficiaries. PERA issues a separate, publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to PERA, PO Box 2123, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2123.

Funding Policy. Plan members are required to contribute 3.5% of their gross salary if they participate in the plan existing prior to June 30, 1980, or 10.75% if they participate in the plan after June 30, 1980. The Supreme Court is required to contribute 9% of the Judge's gross covered salary. The contribution requirements of plan members and the Supreme Court are established under Chapter 10, Article 12B, NMSA 1978. The requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. The Supreme Court' contributions to the Judicial Retirement Act for the years ending June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$62,092, \$52,202, and \$65,398, respectively, equal to the amount of the required contributions for each year.

#### NOTE 6: POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS - STATE RETIREE HEALTH CARE PLAN

Plan Description. The Supreme Court contributes to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit post-employment healthcare plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA). The RHCA provides health care insurance and prescription drug benefits to retired employees of participating New Mexico government agencies, their spouses, dependents, and surviving spouses and dependents. The RHCA Board was established by the Retiree Health Care Act (Chapter 10, Article 7C, NMSA 1978).

The Board is responsible for establishing and amending benefit provisions of the healthcare plan and is also authorized to designate optional and/or voluntary benefits like dental, vision, supplemental life insurance, and long-term care policies.

Eligible retirees are: 1) retirees who make contributions to the fund for at least five years prior to retirement and whose eligible employer during that period of time made contributions as a participant in the RHCA plan on the person's behalf unless that person retires before the employer's RHCA effective date, in which the event the time period required for employee and employer contributions shall become the period of time between the employer's effective date and the date of retirement; 2) retirees defined by the Act who retired prior to July 1, 1990; 3) former legislators who served at least two years; and 4) former governing authority members who served at least four years.

The RHCA issues a publicly available stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the post-employment healthcare plan. That report and further information can be obtained by writing to the Retiree Health Care Authority at 4308 Carlisle NE, Suite 104, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

Funding Policy. The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-13 NMSA 1978) authorizes the RHCA Board to establish the monthly premium contributions that retirees are required to pay for healthcare benefits. Each participating retiree pays a monthly premium according to a service based subsidy rate schedule for the medical plus basic life plan plus an additional participation fee of five dollars if the eligible participant retired prior to the employer's RHCA effective date or is a former legislator or former governing authority member. Former legislators and governing authority members are required to pay 100% of the insurance premium to cover their claims and the administrative expenses of the plan. The monthly premium rate schedule can be obtained from the RHCA or viewed on their website at <a href="https://www.nmrhca.state.nm.us">www.nmrhca.state.nm.us</a>.

The employer, employee and retiree contributions are required to be remitted to the RHCA on a monthly basis. The statutory requirements for the employer and employee contributions can be changed by the New Mexico State Legislature. Employers that choose to become participating employers after January 1, 1998, are required to make contributions to the RHCA fund in the amount determined to be appropriate by the board.

The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978) is the statutory authority that establishes the required contributions of participating employers and their employees. For employees that were members of an enhanced retirement plan (state police and adult correctional officer member coverage plan 1; municipal police member coverage plans 3, 4 or 5; municipal fire member coverage plan 3, 4 or 5; municipal detention officer member coverage plan 1; and members pursuant to the Judicial Retirement Act) during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 2.5% of each participating employee's annual salary; and each participating employee was required to contribute 1.25% of their salary. For employees that were not members of an enhanced retirement plan during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 2.0% of each participating employee's annual salary; each participating employee was required to contribute 1.0% of their salary.

In addition, pursuant to Section 10-7C-15(G) NMSA 1978, at the first session of the Legislature following July 1, 2013, the legislature shall review and adjust the distributions pursuant to Section 7-1-6.1 NMSA 1978 and the employer and employee contributions to the authority in order to ensure the actuarial soundness of the benefits provided under the Retiree Health Care Act.

The Supreme Court's contributions to the RHCA for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$44,091, \$39,625 and \$35,839, respectively, which equal to the required contributions for each year.

#### **NOTE 7: RISK MANAGEMENT**

The Supreme Court is exposed to various risk of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees or others; or acts of God. The Court is insured through Risk Management Division of the General Services Department, which is accounted for as an internal service fund of the State of New Mexico. In general, Risk Management Division responds to suits against the state and state agencies; manages fund to provide unemployment compensation, tort liability insurance, workman's compensation, and general and property insurance, and attempts to reduce the number of suits against the state and state agencies through the risk management process. The actuarial gains and losses of Risk Management Division were not available and are not included in this report. However, the Court would not be liable for more than the annual premiums. The Court expended \$ 15,434 to obtain this coverage.

# Statment of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities Agency Fund June 30, 2013

	Balance July 1, 2012	Additions	(Deletions)	Balance June 30, 2013
ASSETS				
Cash	\$ -	696	(696)	\$ -
LIABILITIES				
Deposits Held for Others	\$ -	696	(696)	\$ -

See Independent Auditor's Report

# Schedule of Operating Transfers For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

SHARE			TRANSFER			
_	FUND	TITLE	In		Out	
(1)	85300	Department of Finance & Administration	\$ 2,879,300	\$	-	
(1)	14900	FY 2013 Reversion	-		14,951	
	Total		\$ 2,879,300	\$	14,951	

(1) General Fund Appropriation, Laws of 2012, Chapter 19, Section 4

See Independent Auditor's Report



David G. Zlotnick Asa Laws Richard D. Sandoval

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

Hector H. Balderas
New Mexico State Auditor
and
Honorable Petra Jimenez Maes – Chief Justice
New Mexico Supreme Court
Santa Fe, New Mexico

We have audited in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparison for the general fund of the New Mexico Supreme Court (Court), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the New Mexico Supreme Court's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2013.

#### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Court's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Court's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet is important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Court's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Court's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Zlotnick, Laws & Sandoval, P.C.

Elohick fuz \$ Sudoval, P.C

December 6, 2013



## Schedule of Findings and Responses June 30, 2013

### **Status of Prior Year's Findings**

2012-01 – Personnel Records Maintenance Resolved

2012-02 – Fraud Policy Resolved

2012-03 – Responsibility for the Financial Statements Resolved

2012-04 – Budgetary Control Resolved

### **Current Year's Findings**

None

### Other Disclosures June 30, 2013

#### **Preparation of the Financial Statements**

Zlotnick, Laws & Sandoval, PC assisted in the preparation of the financial statements presented in this report. Management is responsible for the financial statements. The Court's management has reviewed and approved the financial statements and related notes and they believe that their records adequately support the financial statements.

#### **Exit Conference**

The contents of this report were discussed on December 11, 2013. The following individuals were in attendance:

New Mexico Supreme Court

Honorable Petra Jimenez Maes Chief Justice

Joey D. Moya Attorney, Clerk of the New Mexico Supreme Court, CFO

Wanda Gonzales Deputy CFO

Zlotnick, Laws & Sandoval, PC

Asa Laws, CPA Partner