

OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR Hector H. Balderas

Acequia del Rincon

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

Acequia del Rincon Table of Contents

Page

1

2

3

6

7

9

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

Table of Contents

Official Roster

Independent Accountant's Report

Schedule of Capital Outlay Awards to Acequia

Schedule of Findings and Responses

Exit Conference

Acequia del Rincon

Official Roster

Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2012

Board of Commissioners

Al Romero, President

Augustine Valdez, Secretary

Roger Valdez, Treasurer

Mayordomos

None *

Administrative Staff None

*: No mayordomo, however, Mr. Al Romero, president, act as mayordomo if there is in fact a need. Only 8 parcientes in the Acequia.



Hector H. Balderas State Auditor

State of New Mexico OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

Carla C. Martinez Deputy State Audito

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Al Romero, President and Members of the Board of Commissioners Acequia del Rincon P.O. Box 5 Embudo, New Mexico 87531

We have performed the procedures enumerated below for the Acequia del Rincon (Acequia) for the year ended December 31, 2012, solely to assist in determining compliance with the provisions of the Audit Act for a Tier 3 entity per Section 12-6-3 B (3) NMSA 1978 and Section 2.2.2.16 NMAC. The procedures were agreed to by the Acequia through the New Mexico Office of the State Auditor. The Acequia's management is responsible for its accounting records and the subject matter. This agreed upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. Our procedures and findings are as follows

1. Test all state-funded capital outlay expenditures:

a. Determine that the amount recorded as disbursed agrees to adequate supporting documentation. Verify that amount, payee, date and description agree to the purchase order, contract, vendor's invoice and canceled check, as appropriate.

All of the cash disbursements for the capital award projects were tested. The amounts disbursed agreed with the supporting documentation. The amount, payee, date and description of the purchase agreed with the vendor's invoice, contract and canceled check.

b. Determine that cash disbursements were properly authorized and approved in accordance with the budget, legal requirements and established policies and procedures.

The Acequia is not required to submit a budget to the Department of Finance and Administration – Local Government Division. However, a project budget is required to be submitted by the terms of the agreements with the NM Office of the State Engineer Interstate Stream Commission (OSE/ISC) to the OSE/ISC. The cash

2540 Camino Edward Ortiz, Suite A, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508 Local (505) 476-3800 * Fax (505) 827-3512 http://www.saonm.org * 1-866-OSA-FRAUD disbursements tested were properly authorized and approved in accordance with the project budget, legal requirements and the Acequia's procurement policies and procedures.

c. Determine that the bid process (or request for proposal process if applicable), purchase orders, contracts and agreements were processed in accordance with the New Mexico Procurement Code and State Purchasing Regulations (Section 13-1-28 through 13-1-199 NMSA 1978 and 1.4.1 NMAC).

For the work done on the ditch repair projects, the Acequia advertised, obtained bids and quotes where necessary, and entered into contracts and purchase agreements in accordance with its procurement policies and applicable state laws and regulations.

d. Determine the physical existence (by observation) of the capital asset based on expenditures to date.

The repair work was physically observed during a tour of the Acequia del Rincon on October 18, 2013.

e. Verify that status reports were submitted to the state agency per terms of agreement and amounts in the status report agree with the general ledger and other supporting documentation.

The amounts on the reimbursement forms agree with the general ledger and other supporting documentation. Status reports were not required by the terms of the agreements with the OSE/ISC. The Acequia submitted the required budgets and reimbursement forms for the projects to the OSE/ISC.

2. If the project was funded in advance, determine if the award balance (and cash balance) appropriately reflects the percentage of completion based on the project schedule and expenditures to date.

The projects were not funded in advance. The Acequia was required to submit reimbursement forms to the OSE/ISC upon completion of work on the project. The Acequia paid for its project expenditures after the Acequia received checks from the OSE/ISC.

3. If the project is complete, determine if there is an unexpended balance and whether it was reverted per statute and agreement with the grantor.

As shown in Exhibit 1 on page 6, the Acequia expended a total of \$7,754.11 in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, and \$12,238.89 in the previous year. For each appropriation, the cash amounts received equaled the amount of expenditures. Therefore, the Acequia had no unexpended cash balance to revert.

4. Determine whether cash received for the award was accounted for in a separate fund or separate bank account that is non-interest bearing if so required by the capital outlay award agreement.

The checks received from the OSE/ISC were deposited in the Acequia's checking account at Valley National Bank. The capital outlay award agreement did not require a separate fund or separate non-interest bearing bank account to deposit the amounts received.

5. Determine whether reimbursement requests were properly supported by costs incurred by the recipient. Determine whether the costs were paid by the local public body prior to the request for reimbursement.

The Acequia filed Request for Reimbursement Forms with the OSE/ISC based on unpaid invoices submitted to the Acequia by vendors who had performed work on Acequia projects. The costs were not paid by the Acequia prior to the request for reimbursement because the Acequia did not have the necessary funds. The Acequia paid for its project expenditures after the checks were received from the OSE/ISC. A finding was not considered necessary since the Acequia complied with the OSE/ISC's reimbursement procedures requirements.

6. If information comes to the IPA's attention (regardless of materiality) indicating any fraud, illegal acts, noncompliance, or any internal control deficiencies, such instances must be disclosed in the report as required by Section 12-6-6 NMSA 1978. The findings must include the required content per Section 2.2.2.10 (I)(3)(C) NMAC.

See Finding 2012-1 on p. 7 of this report.

7. The report shall include the capital outlay amount awarded, amount received, amount expended, the remaining balance, and the actual legislation and effective dates for each capital outlay appropriation that meets the Tier 3 criteria.

See Exhibit 1 — Schedule of Capital Outlay Awards to Acequia on p. 6 of this report.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Tier 3 agreed upon procedures. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the Acequia, the New Mexico State Auditor, the NM Office of the State Engineer, the NM Department of Finance and Administration — Local Government Division, and the NM State Legislature and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

the State Auditor

Office of the State Auditor October 18, 2013

Acequia del Rincon Exhibit 1 – Schedule of Capital Outlay Awards to Acequia December 31, 2012

	Note 1 & Note 1a
Amount Awarded for Project	\$20,000.00
Amount Received by Acequia	20,000.00
Amount Expended – Previous year	(12,238.89)
Amount Expended – Current Year	(7,754.11)
Remaining Balance	<u>\$ -0-</u>

Agreement Provisions

Note 1: Acequia Capital Appropriation Project Agreement between the NM Interstate Stream Commission (NMISC) and the Acequia del Rincon

Legislative Authority: NM Laws of 2007, Chapter 2, Section 25 Item 14 and

Date of Agreement with NMISC: September 10, 2007 Project Description: To make repairs and improvements to the Acequia del Rincon in Dixon in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Estimated Project Cost: \$20,000 Agreement termination/reversion date: July 1, 2011

Note 1a: Amendment No. 1 (extending expenditure period)

Legislative Authority: NM Laws of 2011, Chapter 183, Session 80 - Amendment No. 1 (Extend time – General Fund)

Date of Agreement with NMISC: November 4, 2011 Project Description: Pursuant to Laws of 2011 Chapter 183 Section 80 Acequia del Rincon repairs and improvements-EXTEND TIME-GENERAL FUND. Estimated Project Cost: \$20,000 Agreement termination date: July 1, 2013

Agreement termination/reversion date: July 1, 2011

Acequia del Rincon Schedule of Findings and Responses Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2012

Status of Prior Year Findings

Not applicable.

Current Year Findings

2012-01 – Late Agreed-upon Procedures Report

Condition:

This agreed-upon procedures report for FY 2012 was not submitted to the NM Office of the State Auditor (OSA) by the due date of May 31, 2013.

Criteria:

Per Section 2.2.2.16(H) NMAC, "Local public bodies with a fiscal year-end other than June 30 must submit the agreed-upon procedures report no later than 5 months after the fiscal year-end."

Effect:

If the report is late, users of the report are not receiving timely information about the results of the agreed-upon procedures.

Cause:

According to State Audit Rule, Section 2.2.2.16.B NMAC, "Annually, the State Auditor shall provide local public bodies written authorization shall provide to proceed with obtaining services to conduct a financial audit or other procedures." The Acequia was unaware that by accepting and spending capital outlay money the Acequia would be required to have a Tier 3 engagement performed.

Recommendation:

The officers of the Acequia should read Section 2.2.2.16 NMAC of the State Audit Rule to understand the specific requirements and due dates for agreed-upon procedures. For future fiscal years, if the Acequia's annual revenue is less than \$50,000 and the Acequia expended at least 50% of, or the remainder of, a single capital outlay award, then the Acequia shall procure services of an IPA for the performance of a Tier 3 Agreed Upon Procedures engagement (Section 2.2.2.16B(3) NMAC). If the annual revenues of the Acequia exceed \$50,000, review Section 2.2.2.16 NMAC for the applicable requirements. If agreed-upon procedures are required for future fiscal years, take the necessary steps to ensure that the agreed-upon procedures report is submitted to the OSA by May 31.

Management's response:

The Acequia del Rincon had previously been utilizing funds from two capital outlay appropriations granted in 2007. These monies have been totally expended as of 12/31/2011. The Acequia officers were totally oblivions to the fact that there was a "Tier" placement in place and therefore not aware of a tier 3 engagement to be performed.

As President of the Acequia del Rincon, I will personally see to it that all the parcientes are aware of this requirement for future capital outlay appropriations. I will familiarize myself, as well as the other officers, with section 2.2.2.16 NMAC of the state audit rule. Revenue and expenditure thresholds and services required for the performance of a tier 3 agreed-upon procedures engagement, and timely filing of required reports.

Acequia del Rincon Exit Conference Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

On October 18, 2013, an exit conference was held with the following individuals to discuss the results of the agreed upon procedures and the contents of this report:

Acequia del Rincon

Al Romero, President

Office of the State Auditor

U. Chan Kim, CPA, Audit Manager